

New tools of set-theoretic homological algebra and their applications to modules

Jan Trlifaj

Univerzita Karlova, Praha

Workshop on infinite-dimensional representations
of finite dimensional algebras

Manchester, September 17th, 2015

Classic structure theory: direct sum decompositions

A class of modules \mathcal{C} is **decomposable**, provided that there is a cardinal κ such that each module in \mathcal{C} is a direct sum of strongly $< \kappa$ -presented modules from \mathcal{C} .

Examples

1. (Kaplansky) The class \mathcal{P}_0 of all projective modules is decomposable.
2. (Faith-Walker) The class \mathcal{I}_0 of all injective modules is decomposable iff R is a right noetherian ring.
3. (Huisgen-Zimmermann) $\text{Mod-}R$ is decomposable iff R is a right pure-semisimple ring. In fact, if M is a module such that $\text{Prod}(M)$ is decomposable, then M is Σ -pure-injective.

Note: Krull-Schmidt type theorems hold in the cases 2. and 3.

Such examples, however, are rare in general – most classes of modules are not decomposable.

Example

Assume that the ring R is **not right perfect**, that is, there is a strictly decreasing chain of principal left ideals

$$Ra_0 \supsetneq \cdots \supsetneq Ra_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \cdots a_0 \supsetneq \cdots$$

Then the class \mathcal{F}_0 of all flat modules is not decomposable.

Example

There exist arbitrarily large indecomposable flat abelian groups.

Transfinite extensions

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \text{Mod-}R$. A module M is **\mathcal{A} -filtered** (or a **transfinite extension** of the modules in \mathcal{A}), provided that there exists an increasing sequence $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$ consisting of submodules of M such that $M_0 = 0$, $M_\sigma = M$,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$ for each limit ordinal $\alpha \leq \sigma$, and
- for each $\alpha < \sigma$, $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an element of \mathcal{A} .

Notation: $M \in \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A})$. A class \mathcal{A} is **filtration closed** if $\text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$.

Eklof Lemma

${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \text{KerExt}_R^1(-, \mathcal{C})$ is filtration closed for each class of modules \mathcal{C} .

In particular, so are the classes \mathcal{P}_n and \mathcal{F}_n of all modules of projective and flat dimension $\leq n$, for each $n < \omega$.

Deconstructible classes

A class of modules \mathcal{A} is **deconstructible**, provided there is a cardinal κ such that $\mathcal{A} = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa})$ where $\mathcal{A}^{<\kappa}$ denotes the class of all strongly $< \kappa$ -presented modules from \mathcal{A} .

All decomposable classes closed under direct summands are deconstructible.

For each $n < \omega$, the classes \mathcal{P}_n and \mathcal{F}_n are deconstructible.

[Eklof-T.]

More in general, for each set of modules \mathcal{S} , the class ${}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$ is deconstructible. Here, $\mathcal{S}^\perp = \text{KerExt}_R^1(\mathcal{S}, -)$.

Approximations for relative homological algebra

A class of modules \mathcal{A} is **precovering** if for each module M there is $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that each $f' \in \text{Hom}_R(A', M)$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ factorizes through f :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \uparrow & \nearrow f' & \\ A' & & \end{array}$$

The map f is an **\mathcal{A} -precover** of M .

If f is moreover right minimal (that is, f factorizes through itself only by an automorphism of A), then f is an **\mathcal{A} -cover** of M .

If \mathcal{A} provides for covers for all modules, then \mathcal{A} is called a **covering class**.

The abundance of approximations

[Enochs]

Each precovering class closed under direct limits is covering.

[Enochs], [Šťovíček]

All deconstructible classes are precovering.

In particular, the class ${}^{\perp}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$ is precovering for any set of modules \mathcal{S} .
Note: If $R \in \mathcal{S}$, then ${}^{\perp}(\mathcal{S}^{\perp})$ coincides with the class of all direct summands of \mathcal{S} -filtered modules.

Flat cover conjecture

\mathcal{F}_0 is covering for any ring R , and so are the classes \mathcal{F}_n for each $n > 0$.

The classes \mathcal{P}_n ($n \geq 0$) are precovering. ...

Bass modules

Let R be a ring and \mathcal{F} be a class of finitely presented modules.

$\varinjlim_{\omega} \mathcal{F}$ denotes the class of all **Bass modules** over \mathcal{F} , that is, the modules B that are countable direct limits of modules from \mathcal{F} .

W.l.o.g., such B is the direct limit of a chain

$$F_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} F_i \xrightarrow{f_i} F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \dots$$

with $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(F_i, F_{i+1})$ for all $i < \omega$.

The classic Bass module

Let \mathcal{F} be the class of all finitely generated projective modules. Then the Bass modules coincide with the countably presented flat modules.

If R is not right perfect, then a classic instance of such a Bass module B arises when $F_i = R$ and f_i is the left multiplication by a_i ($i < \omega$) where $Ra_0 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq Ra_n \dots a_0 \supsetneq Ra_{n+1}a_n \dots a_0 \supsetneq \dots$ is strictly decreasing.

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules

[Raynaud-Gruson]

A module M is **flat Mittag-Leffler** provided the functor $M \otimes_R -$ is exact, and for each system of left R -modules $(N_i \mid i \in I)$, the canonical map $M \otimes_R \prod_{i \in I} N_i \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} M \otimes_R N_i$ is monic.

The class of all flat Mittag-Leffler modules is denoted by \mathcal{FM} .

$$\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{FM} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0.$$

\mathcal{FM} is filtration closed and closed under pure submodules.

$M \in \mathcal{FM}$, iff each countable subset of M is contained in a countably generated projective and pure submodule of M .

In particular, all countably generated modules in \mathcal{FM} are projective.

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules and approximations

Theorem

Assume that R is not right perfect. Then the class \mathcal{FM} is not precovering, and hence not deconstructible.

Idea of proof: Choose a non-projective Bass module B over $\mathcal{P}_0^{<\omega}$, and prove that B has no \mathcal{FM} -precover.

The main tool: Tree modules.

The trees

Let κ be an infinite cardinal, and T_κ be the set of all finite sequences of ordinals $< \kappa$, so

$$T_\kappa = \{\tau : n \rightarrow \kappa \mid n < \omega\}.$$

Partially ordered by inclusion, T_κ is a tree, called the **tree on κ** .

Let $\text{Br}(T_\kappa)$ denote the set of all branches of T_κ . Each $\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)$ can be identified with an ω -sequence of ordinals $< \kappa$:

$$\text{Br}(T_\kappa) = \{\nu : \omega \rightarrow \kappa\}.$$

$$|T_\kappa| = \kappa \text{ and } |\text{Br}(T_\kappa)| = \kappa^\omega.$$

Notation: $\ell(\tau)$ denotes the length of τ for each $\tau \in T_\kappa$.

Decorating trees by Bass modules

Let $D := \bigoplus_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$, and $P := \prod_{\tau \in T_\kappa} F_{\ell(\tau)}$.

For $\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)$, $i < \omega$, and $x \in F_i$, we define $x_{\nu i} \in P$ by

$$\pi_{\nu \upharpoonright i}(x_{\nu i}) = x,$$

$$\pi_{\nu \upharpoonright j}(x_{\nu i}) = g_{j-1} \dots g_i(x) \text{ for each } i < j < \omega,$$

$$\pi_\tau(x_{\nu i}) = 0 \text{ otherwise,}$$

where $\pi_\tau \in \text{Hom}_R(P, F_{\ell(\tau)})$ denotes the τ th projection for each $\tau \in T_\kappa$.

Let $X_{\nu i} := \{x_{\nu i} \mid x \in F_i\}$. Then $X_{\nu i}$ is a submodule of P isomorphic to F_i .

The tree modules

Let $X_\nu := \sum_{i < \omega} X_{\nu i}$, and $G := \sum_{\nu \in \text{Br}(T_\kappa)} X_\nu$.

Basic properties

- $D \subseteq G \subseteq P$.
- There is a 'tree module' exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow G \rightarrow B(\text{Br}(T_\kappa)) \rightarrow 0.$$

- G is a flat Mittag-Leffler module.

Proof of the Theorem

Assume there exists a \mathcal{FM} -precover $f : F \rightarrow B$ of the classic Bass module B . Let $K = \text{Ker}(f)$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow F \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0.$$

Let κ be an infinite cardinal such that $|R| \leq \kappa$ and $|K| \leq 2^\kappa = \kappa^\omega$.

Consider the 'tree module' exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow B^{(2^\kappa)} \rightarrow 0,$$

so $G \in \mathcal{FM}$ and D is a free module of rank κ . Clearly, $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

Let $\eta : K \rightarrow E$ be a $\{G\}^\perp$ -preenvelope of K with a $\{G\}$ -filtered cokernel.

Consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & F & \xrightarrow{f} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \eta \downarrow & & \varepsilon \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & P & \xrightarrow{g} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Coker}(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Coker}(\varepsilon) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Then $P \in \mathcal{FM}$. Since f is an \mathcal{FM} -precover, there exists $h : P \rightarrow F$ such that $fh = g$. Then $f = g\varepsilon = fh\varepsilon$, whence $K + \text{Im}(h) = F$. Let $h' = h \upharpoonright E$. Then $h' : E \rightarrow K$ and $\text{Im}(h') = K \cap \text{Im}(h)$.

Consider the restricted exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(h') \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \operatorname{Im}(h) \xrightarrow{f \upharpoonright \operatorname{Im}(h)} B \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $E \in G^\perp$ and $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$, also $\operatorname{Im}(h') \in G^\perp$.

Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \operatorname{Im}(h'))$ to the 'tree-module' exact sequence above, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(D, \operatorname{Im}(h')) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(B, \operatorname{Im}(h'))^{2^\kappa} \rightarrow 0$$

where the first term has cardinality $\leq |K|^\kappa \leq 2^\kappa$, so the second term must be zero.

This yields $\operatorname{Im}(h') \in B^\perp$. Then $f \upharpoonright \operatorname{Im}(h)$ splits, and so does the \mathcal{FM} -precover f , a contradiction with $B \notin \mathcal{FM}$. □

The role of the Bass modules

[Šaroch]

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of countably presented modules, and \mathcal{L} the class of all locally \mathcal{C} -free modules.

Let B be a Bass module over \mathcal{C} such that B is not a direct summand in a module from \mathcal{L} .

Then B has no \mathcal{L} -precover.

A generalization via tilting theory

T is a (large) **tilting module** provided that

- T has finite projective dimension,
- $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T^{(\kappa)}) = 0$ for each cardinal κ , and
- there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_r \rightarrow 0$ such that $r < \omega$, and for each $i < r$, $T_i \in \text{Add}(T)$, i.e., T_i is a direct summand of a (possibly infinite) direct sum of copies of T .

$\mathcal{B} = \{T\}^{\perp\infty} = \bigcap_{1 \leq i} \text{Ker Ext}_R^i(T, -)$ the **right tilting class** of T .
 $\mathcal{A} = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{B}$ the **left tilting class** of T .

- $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \text{Add}(T)$.
- Right tilting classes coincide with the classes of finite type, that is, they have the form \mathcal{S}^{\perp} where \mathcal{S} is a set of strongly finitely presented modules of bounded projective dimension.
- $\mathcal{A} = \text{Filt}(\mathcal{A}^{<\omega})$, hence \mathcal{A} is precovering. Moreover, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \varinjlim \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$.

Σ -pure split tilting modules

A module M is Σ -pure split provided that each pure embedding $N' \hookrightarrow N$ with $N \in \text{Add}(M)$ splits.

A tilting module T is Σ -pure split, iff $\mathcal{A} = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$, iff \mathcal{A} closed under direct limits.

Examples

Let $T = R$. Then T is a tilting module of projective dimension 0, and T is Σ -pure split iff R is a right perfect ring.

Each Σ -pure injective tilting module is Σ -pure split.

Each finitely generated tilting module over any artin algebra is Σ -pure injective.

Locally T -free modules

Let R be a ring and T a tilting module.

A module M is **locally T -free** provided that M possesses a set \mathcal{H} of submodules such that

- $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\leq \omega}$,
- each countable subset of M is contained in an element of \mathcal{H} ,
- \mathcal{H} is closed under unions of countable chains.

Let \mathcal{L} denote the class of all locally T -free modules.

Note: If M is countably generated, then M is locally T -free, iff $M \in \mathcal{A}^{\leq \omega}$.

Locally T -free modules

For any ring R and any tilting module T , we have

$$\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L} \subseteq \varinjlim \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}.$$

Example

Let R be an arbitrary ring and $T = R$. Then

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{FM} \subseteq \varinjlim \mathcal{A}^{<\omega} = \mathcal{F}_0.$$

Locally T -free modules and approximations

Theorem

Let R be a ring and T be a tilting module. Then TFAE:

- 1 \mathcal{L} is (pre)covering.
- 2 \mathcal{L} is deconstructible.
- 3 T is Σ -pure split.

Note: The theorem on flat Mittag-Leffler modules stated earlier is just the particular case of $T = R$.

The role of Bass modules, and Enochs' Conjecture

Theorem

\mathcal{L} is (pre)covering, iff \mathcal{A} is closed under direct limits, iff $B \in \mathcal{A}$ for each Bass module B over $\mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$ (i.e., $\varinjlim_{\omega} (\mathcal{A}^{<\omega}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$).

Enochs' Conjecture

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of modules. Then \mathcal{C} is covering, iff \mathcal{C} is precovering and closed under direct limits.

Corollary

Enochs' Conjecture holds for all left tilting classes of modules.

A finite dimensional example

Let R be an indecomposable hereditary finite dimensional algebra of infinite representation type.

Then there is a partition of $\text{ind-}R$ into three sets:

q ... the indecomposable preinjective modules

p ... the indecomposable preprojective modules

t ... the regular modules (the rest).

Then p^\perp is a right tilting class (and $M \in p^\perp$, iff M has no non-zero direct summands from p).

The tilting module T inducing p^\perp is called the **Lukas tilting module**.

The left tilting class of T is the class of all **Baer modules**.

The locally T -free modules are called **locally Baer modules**.

Non-precovering classes of locally Baer modules

Theorem

- The class of all Baer modules coincides with $\text{Filt}(p)$.
- The Lukas tilting module T is countably generated, but has no finite dimensional direct summands, and it is not Σ -pure split. So \mathcal{L} is not precovering (and hence not deconstructible).

The Bass modules behind the scene

The relevant Bass modules can be obtained as unions of the chains

$$P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} P_i \xrightarrow{f_i} P_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \dots$$

such that all the P_i are preprojective (i.e., in $\text{add}(p)$), but the cokernels of all the f_i are regular (i.e., in $\text{add}(t)$).

Almost split maps and sequences

Definition

Let R be a ring and N a non-projective module. An epimorphism of modules $f : M \rightarrow N$ is **right almost split**, if f is not split, and if $g : P \rightarrow N$ is not a split epimorphism in $\text{Mod-}R$, then g factorizes through f .

Dually, we define a **left almost split** monomorphism $f' : N' \rightarrow M'$ for N' non-injective.

A short exact sequence of modules $0 \rightarrow N' \xrightarrow{f'} M \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ is **almost split**, if it does not split, f is a right almost split epimorphism, and f' is a left almost split monomorphism.

Theorem (Auslander)

Let N is an (indecomposable) finitely presented non-projective module with local endomorphism ring. Then there always exists a right almost split epimorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$.

Auslander's problem and generalized tree modules

Auslander'1975

Are there further cases where a right almost split epimorphism ending in a non-projective module N exists?

A negative answer has recently been given using (generalized) tree modules:

Theorem (Šaroch'2015)

Let R be a ring and N be a non-projective module. TFAE:

- 1 Then there exists a right almost split epimorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$.
- 2 N is finitely presented and its endomorphism ring is local.

Corollary

Let R be a ring and $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ an almost split sequence in $\text{Mod-}R$. Then N is finitely presented with local endomorphism ring, and N' is pure-injective.

References

1. L. Angeleri Hügel, J. Šaroch, J. Trlifaj: “Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions”, preprint (2015).
2. R. Göbel, J. Trlifaj: “Approximations and Endomorphism Algebras of Modules”, 2nd rev. ext. ed., GEM 41, W. de Gruyter, Berlin 2012.
3. J. Šaroch, “On the non-existence of right almost split maps”, preprint, arXiv: 1504.01631v3.
4. A. Slávik, J. Trlifaj: “Approximations and locally free modules”, Bull. London Math. Soc. 46(2014), 76-90.