

Torsion and complete dualizable objects in tt-categories with a Noetherian ring action

Joint with Jun Maillard (arXiv:2512.04818)

Jan Šťovíček (Charles University, Prague)

New Directions in Group Theory and Triangulated Categories

March 19, 2026

Table of contents

Motivation: tt-completion

The setup and further examples

Completion on modules of homomorphisms

The dualizables as a triangulated “Cauchy” completion

Consequences of strong generation

Motivation: tt-completion

Model situation—derived torsion and completion

- Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ an ideal and

$$t_{\mathfrak{a}} = \varinjlim_n \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}^n, -): \operatorname{Mod} R \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod} R$$

the functor that assigns to M the largest submodule supported in $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq \operatorname{Spec}(R)$.

- Then we have a recollement (where $U = \operatorname{Spec}(R) \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i_! = \text{inc} & & p^* \\
 & \curvearrowright & \longrightarrow & \curvearrowright & \\
 D_{V(\mathfrak{a})}(R) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & D(R) & \xleftarrow{p_*} & D(U) \\
 & \curvearrowleft & \longleftarrow & \curvearrowleft & \\
 & & i_* & & p_!
 \end{array}$$

- Then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} := i_! i^* = \mathbb{R}t_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (local cohomology).
- [Greenlees-May 1990's, Alonso-Jeremías-Lipman, Porta-Shaul-Yekutieli, Positselski, ...] $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}} := i_* i^* = \widehat{\mathbb{L}(-)}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, where $\widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{a}} := \varprojlim_n R/\mathfrak{a}^n \otimes_R M$.

The relevant abstract structure

Following [Barthel-Heard-Valenzuela 2018],
[Benson-Iyengar-Krause-Pevtsova 2024], ...

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & i_! = \text{inc} & & p^* \\
 & \curvearrowright & \longrightarrow & \curvearrowleft & \longrightarrow \\
 D_{V(\alpha)}(\widehat{R}) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & D(R) & \xleftarrow{p_*} & D(U) \\
 & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\
 & & i_* & & p_!
 \end{array}$$

- Notation: $\Gamma_\alpha := i_! i^* = \mathbb{R}t_\alpha$, $\Lambda^\alpha := i_* i^* = \mathbb{L}(\widehat{-})_\alpha$,
 $L_\alpha := p_* p^*$, $V_\alpha := p_* p_!$.
- There are three distinguished triangulated subcategories of $D(R)$ forming a “torsion-torsion-free triple” in $\mathcal{T} := D(R)$:

$$(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T}, L_\alpha \mathcal{T} = V^\alpha \mathcal{T}, \Lambda^\alpha \mathcal{T}).$$

- Both $\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T}$ and $\Lambda^\alpha \mathcal{T}$ are closed symmetric monoidal:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T}, \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}}, \Gamma_\alpha R, \Gamma_\alpha \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R), \\
 & (\Lambda^\alpha \mathcal{T}, \Lambda^\alpha(- \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}} -), \Lambda^\alpha R, \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R).
 \end{aligned}$$

The Matlis-Greenlees-May equivalence

- Recall that for $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ and $\mathcal{T} = D(R)$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{i_! = \text{inc}} & & \xrightarrow{p^*} \\
 D_{V(\mathfrak{a})}(\widehat{R}) & \xleftarrow{i^*} & D(R) & \xleftarrow{p_*} & D(U) \\
 & & \xrightarrow{i_*} & & \xrightarrow{p^!}
 \end{array}$$

- The full subcategory $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T} \subset D(R)$ is also given cohomologically: $X \in \Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}$ iff $H^n(X) \in \text{Mod } R$ is derived complete for all n .
- Here, M is **derived complete** if $M \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{L}_0 \widehat{M}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Equivalently:

$$\widehat{R^{(J)}}_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \widehat{R^{(I)}}_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

- Matlis-Greenlees-May equivalence**

$$(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \otimes_{\mathbb{L}R}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}R, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}} \end{array} (\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \otimes_{\mathbb{L}R}, \widehat{\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}R}, \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R).$$

Finiteness conditions

Definition

Let $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, \underline{\text{Hom}})$ be a closed symmetric monoidal category with coproducts:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x \otimes y, z) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x, \underline{\text{Hom}}(y, z)).$$

1. An object $c \in \mathcal{T}$ is **compact** if

$$\bigoplus_i \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(c, x_i) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(c, \prod_i x_i).$$

2. An object $d \in \mathcal{T}$ is **dualizable** if

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(d, \mathbb{1}) \otimes x \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{Hom}}(d, x).$$

Example

If $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}, \underline{\text{Hom}}) = (\text{D}(R), \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}}, R, \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R)$. Then $\mathcal{T}^c = \mathcal{T}^d =$ perfect complexes. One says that \mathcal{T} is **compactly rigidly generated**.

Torsion and complete dualizable complexes

- Recall that for $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ and $\mathcal{T} = D(R)$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_! = \text{inc}} \\ \xleftarrow{i^*} \\ \xrightarrow{i_*} \end{array} & \mathcal{T} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p^*} \\ \xleftarrow{p_*} \\ \xrightarrow{p^!} \end{array} & L_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}
 \end{array}$$

- Now $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T} = D_{V(\mathfrak{a})}(R)$ is also compactly generated (by a Koszul complex $\bigotimes_{i=1}^s (R \xrightarrow{a_i} R)$ if $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$).
- Also $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \bigotimes_{\mathbb{L}R}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}R, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R)$ is tensor-triangulated with internal Hom's.
- Problem:** $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is typically not compact! E.g. if $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (p)$, then $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}R \cong E(\mathbb{Z}/(p))[-1]$ (the Prüfer module).
- So $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^c$ is not tensor-triangulated, but $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^c \subseteq (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d$ and the latter is a small tensor-triangulated category.
- By the Matlis-Greenlees-May equivalence: $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d \simeq (\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d$.

Completion in tt-geometry

Theorem ([Balmer-Sanders 2024, Benson-Iyengar-Krause-Pevtsova 2022])

Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring and $\alpha \subseteq R$. Then

$$(\Gamma_\alpha D(R))^d \simeq (\Lambda^\alpha D(R))^d \simeq D^{\text{per}}(\widehat{R}_\alpha).$$

Context

- [Balmer 2005] suggested to view small tensor triangulated categories as commutative ring-like objects and defined the spectrum and other concepts. This was the foundation of **tensor triangular geometry**.
- This allows to transfer ideas from algebraic geometry to other fields: modular representation theory of finite groups, spectra in the sense of topology, ...
- The above (and also [Naumann-Pol-Ramzi 2024]) suggest that $\Gamma_\alpha: \mathcal{T}^c = \mathcal{T}^d \rightarrow (\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^d$ might be a good way to generalize $\widehat{(-)}_\alpha: D^{\text{per}}(R) \rightarrow D^{\text{per}}(\widehat{R}_\alpha)$.

The setup and further examples

The setup

- From now on, \mathcal{T} will be a compactly generated triangulated category. We will assume that \mathcal{T} carries a compatible symmetric monoidal structure $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ (i.e. \mathcal{T} is tensor-triangulated, [Hovey-Palmieri-Strickland 1997])
- It follows from the Brown representability that internal Hom's exist: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(x, y)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(- \otimes x, y)$.
- We will also assume that $\mathcal{T}^c = \mathcal{T}^d$ (i.e. that \mathcal{T} is rigidly compactly generated tensor triangulated).
- We will also assume that \mathcal{T} is R -linear over a graded commutative noetherian ring, i.e. the graded Hom groups

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x, y) = \{f: x \rightarrow y[n]\}$$

are R -modules and composition is bilinear.

- Finally, assume that \mathcal{T} is Noetherian: $\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)$ is Noetherian over R for all $x, y \in \mathcal{T}^c$.

Examples

1. “Trivial”: R commutative Noetherian,
 $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}) = (\mathrm{D}(R), \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}}, R)$.
2. More generally: S can be a graded commutative dg algebra or an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum whose cohomology/homotopy ring $R = H^*(S)$ is graded commutative Noetherian.
3. Modular representation theory of finite groups
[Benson-Iyengar-Krause-Pevtsova]:
 $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}) = (\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{Inj}kG), \otimes_k, k)$. In this case,
 $R = H^*(G; k) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Ext}_{kG}^n(k, k)$.
4. Combine the above, [Barthel-Benson-Iyengar-Krause-Pevtsova]: S a commutative Noetherian ring, G a finite flat group scheme, $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ such that \mathcal{T}^c is the bounded derived category of G -lattices over S . Again $R = H^*(G; S)$ (Noetherian by [Lau 2023], [van der Kallen 2023]).

Abstract torsion and complete objects

Theorem

Let \mathcal{T} and R be as above, $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be a homogeneous ideal. Put

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T} := \{x \in \mathcal{T} \mid \forall c \in \mathcal{T}^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(c, x) \text{ is } \mathfrak{a}\text{-power torsion} \}$$

- Then we have

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i_! = \text{inc}} \\ \xleftarrow{i^*} \\ \xrightarrow{i_*} \end{array} \mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p^*} \\ \xleftarrow{p_*} \\ \xrightarrow{p^!} \end{array} L_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T},$$

- the torsion and completion functors $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} = i_! i^*$ and $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}} = i_* i^*$.
- the “torsion-torsion-free triple” $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, L_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})$,
- the “MGM equivalence”:

$$(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\underline{\text{Hom}}) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}} \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}} \end{array} (\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \widehat{\otimes}, \Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}, \underline{\text{Hom}}),$$

- [Maillard-Š.] and a cohomological description of $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}$:

$$\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T} := \{x \in \mathcal{T} \mid \forall c \in \mathcal{T}^c : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(c, x) \text{ is derived } \mathfrak{a}\text{-complete} \}.$$

Properties of $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}$

- As mentioned, $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1})$ is tensor triangulated.
- It is again compactly generated by Koszul objects $c//\mathfrak{a}$, where $c \in \mathcal{T}^c$ and $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is a set of homogeneous generators for \mathfrak{a} . Here $c//\mathfrak{a} := c \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^s \text{cone}(\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{a_i} \Sigma^{|a_i|}\mathbb{1}) \right)$.
- We have $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}) \cap \mathcal{T}^c = (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^c \subseteq (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d$, but the inclusion is typically not an equality. The reason is that the tensor unit

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1} = \text{hocolim}(\mathbb{1}//\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}//\mathfrak{a}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{1}//\mathfrak{a}^3 \rightarrow \dots)$$

is typically **not** compact.

- $((\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d, \otimes, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1})$ is an (essentially) small tensor triangulated category with internal Hom's and there is a tt-functor

$$\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}: \mathcal{T}^c = \mathcal{T}^d \rightarrow (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d.$$

Completion on modules of homomorphisms

A canonical action of the completed ring

- Let \widehat{R} be the graded adic completion of R at \mathfrak{a} .
- We know that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}, \Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{1}, \Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1})$$

is a derived complete R -module, so we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}} \\ \widehat{R} & \xrightarrow{\exists!} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathbb{1}). \end{array}$$

- It follows that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}$ admits a canonical action of the (graded commutative Noetherian) ring \widehat{R} .

Theorem (Maillard-Š.)

Let \mathcal{T} and $\alpha \subseteq R$ be as above. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T}}(x, y)$ is a Noetherian \widehat{R} -module for each pair $x, y \in (\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^d$.

The proof is based on arguments of [Benson-Iyengar-Krause-Pevtsova] and the following version of the Nakayama lemma:

Lemma (Porta-Shaul-Yekutieli 2015, Positselski 2017)

Let M be a derived α -complete graded R -module. If $M/\alpha M = 0$, then $M = 0$.

In particular, if $M/\alpha M$ is a Noetherian R -module, then M is a Noetherian \widehat{R} -module.

Completion on modules of homomorphisms

The category $(\Gamma_a \mathcal{T}, \otimes, \Gamma_a \mathbb{1})$ shares many features with $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$:

- $\Gamma_a \mathcal{T}$ is compactly generated, tensor triangulated, has a Noetherian \widehat{R} -action.
- The main difference: $(\Gamma_a \mathcal{T})^c \subseteq (\Gamma_a \mathcal{T})^d$ and $\Gamma_a \mathbb{1} \notin (\Gamma_a \mathcal{T})^c$.

The relation between \mathcal{T}^c and $(\Gamma_a \mathcal{T})^d$ is even tighter:

Theorem (Maillard-Š.)

Let $x, y \in \mathcal{T}^c$. Then the functor $\Gamma_a: \mathcal{T}^c \rightarrow (\Gamma_a \mathcal{T})^d$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x, y)_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\wedge} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma_a \mathcal{T}}(\Gamma_a x, \Gamma_a y).$$

Idea of the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x, y)_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\wedge} &= \varprojlim_n R/(\mathfrak{a}^n) \otimes_R \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x, y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(x // \mathfrak{a}^n, y) \xleftarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{hocolim}_n x // \mathfrak{a}^n, y). \end{aligned}$$

The dualizables as a triangulated “Cauchy” completion

Ruminations about torsion compacts and dualizables

Question

Can we reconstruct $(\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^d$ from its full triangulated subcategory $(\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$ by some form of completion (as one constructs \widehat{R} from its torsion quotients R/α^n)?

Some “evidence” supporting that the question makes sense:

1. [Krause 2020] and [Neeman ~2020] found methods to reconstruct $D^b(\text{mod } R)$ from $D^{\text{per}}(R)$ for nice enough rings R .
2. The relation $(\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c \subseteq (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^d$ is reminiscent to that of $D^{\text{per}}(R) \subseteq D^b(\text{mod } R)$:

Lemma

- (a) *If $c \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$ and $d \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^d$, then $c \otimes d \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$.*
- (b) *If $c \otimes d \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$ for all $c \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$, then $d \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^d$.*
- (c) *If $c \otimes d \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$ for all $d \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^d$, then $c \in (\Gamma_{\alpha}\mathcal{T})^c$.*

Triangulated reconstruction result

Theorem (Maillard-Š.)

Let \mathcal{T} and $\alpha \subseteq R$ be as above. Then we can recover $(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^d$ as an \widehat{R} -linear tensor-triangulated category from the approximate unital R -linear tensor-triangulated full category $(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c$. More precisely,

1. the functor category $\text{Mod}(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c$ becomes a closed symmetric monoidal category with the Day convolution product, so that the Yoneda embedding $y: (\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c$ becomes a strong monoidal functor.
2. The restricted Yoneda functor $y: \Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \text{Mod}(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c$, $x \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, x)|_{(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c}$ induces an equivalence
$$y: (\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^d \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\text{Mod}(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c \right)^d.$$
3. The triangles in $(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^d$ are recovered as suitable colimits of triangles in $(\Gamma_\alpha \mathcal{T})^c$.

More on the context of the reconstruction result

- Exactly as Neeman's reconstruction of $D^b(\text{mod } R)$ from $D^{\text{per}}(R)$, the proof is completely enhancement-free.
- Our setup has a few features very different from Neeman's:
 - (a) Neeman's proof relies heavily on metrics from t -structures, while our setup is not t -structure friendly at all (it can easily happen $\Sigma^n \cong \text{Id}_{\mathcal{T}}$, e.g. if R has invertible elements of non-zero degree).
 - (b) Neeman approximates from $x \in D^b(\text{mod } R)$ by a direct system $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow \dots$ in $D^{\text{per}}(R)$ such that

$$\text{Hom}(x_1, y) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(x_2, y) \leftarrow \text{Hom}(x_3, y) \leftarrow \dots$$

eventually stabilizes. In our situation, these inverse systems only have the Mittag-Leffler property (i.e. are very close to systems of surjections).

- (c) Unlike Neeman, we substantially use the monoidal structure.

Consequences of strong generation

Strong generators

Definition

Let \mathcal{T}^c be a small idempotent complete triangulated category.

- If $\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{T}^c$ are additive subcategories, we write

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \star \mathcal{S}_2 = \{x \in \mathcal{T}^c \mid \exists s_1 \rightarrow x \rightarrow s_2 \rightarrow \text{ with } s_i \in \mathcal{S}_i\}.$$

- If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^c$ is additive and $n \geq 1$, we put

$$\mathcal{S}^{\star n} = \underbrace{\mathcal{S} \star \cdots \star \mathcal{S}}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

- If $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}^c$ is additive, we put $\text{thick}_1(\mathcal{S}) = \text{add}\{\bigcup \mathcal{S}[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. For $n \geq 1$, we write $\text{thick}_n(\mathcal{S}) = \text{add}(\text{thick}_1(\mathcal{S})^{\star n})$, and $\text{thick}(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \text{thick}_n(\mathcal{S})$ (= the smallest thick subcategory containing \mathcal{S}).
- We say that \mathcal{T}^c is **strongly generated** (or has **finite Rouquier dimension**) if $\exists g \in \mathcal{T} \exists n \geq 0 : \text{thick}_{n+1}(g) = \mathcal{T}$.

Examples with strong generation

1. R regular commutative Noetherian of finite Krull dimension, $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}) = (D(R), \otimes_R^{\mathbb{L}}, R)$.
2. More generally: $\mathcal{T} = D(S)$, where S is a graded commutative dg algebra of \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum such that $R = H^*S$ is regular Noetherian of finite Krull dimension.
3. $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1}) = (K(\text{Inj}kG), \otimes_k, k)$ where k is a field and G is a finite group.
4. Let S be a nice enough regular commutative Noetherian ring of finite Krull dimension, G a finite flat commutative group scheme, $(\mathcal{T}, \otimes, \mathbb{1})$ such that \mathcal{T}^c is the bounded derived category of G -lattices over S . Then \mathcal{T}^c is strongly generated using a recent result by Neeman for the commutative ring SG .

Theorem (Maillard-Š.)

Let \mathcal{T} and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be as above. Assume that g is a strong generator of \mathcal{T}^c . Then:

1. $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}g$ is a strong generator of $(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d$ and $\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}g$ is a strong generator of $(\Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d$.
2. An object $x \in \Lambda^{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T}$ is dualizable if and only if $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(c, x)$ is a finitely generated \widehat{R} -module for each $c \in \mathcal{T}^c$ (equivalently for $c = g$).

“Perfect” pairing via representability

Theorem (Maillard-Š.)

Let \mathcal{T} and $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq R$ be as above and assume that such that \mathcal{T}^c admits a strong generator. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}: (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^{c,\mathrm{op}} \times (\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d \rightarrow \mathrm{tors}R \subseteq \mathrm{mod} R$$

is a perfect pairing in the sense that

1. the restricted Yoneda functor

$(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_R((\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^{c,\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{tors}R)$ is fully faithful and the essential image consists precisely of the cohomological functors, and

2. the restricted Yoneda functor

$(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^{c,\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_R((\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}\mathcal{T})^d, \mathrm{tors}R)$ is fully faithful and the essential image consists precisely of the homological functors.

Thank you for your attention!