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# Roots of Dynkin and Eucledian diagrams-continued 

## Reminder [Kra, §4.3]

- Let $\Gamma$ be a Dynkin or a Euclidean diagram and

$$
q(x)=\sum_{i \in \Gamma_{0}} x_{i}^{2}-\sum_{i \leq j} d_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}
$$

- Then $(x, y)=q(x+y)-q(x)-q(y)$ is positive semidefinite and $q(x)=\frac{1}{2}(x, x)$.
- A root is a non-zero element of $\Delta=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} \mid q(x) \leq 1\right\}$.
- Facts about roots ([Kra, Prop. 4.3.1]):

1. The basis vector $e_{i}$ is a root for each $i \in \Gamma_{0}$.
2. $x$ is a root iff $-x$ is a root.
3. Each root $x$ is positive $(x>0)$ or negative $(x<0)$.

## Finiteness for roots-the Euclidean case [Kra, §4.3]

Proposition (Proposition 4.3.1(2) and (4))
Let $\Gamma$ be Euclidean. Then:

1. If $x \in \Delta$ and $y \in \operatorname{rad} q$, then $x+y \in \Delta$.
2. $\Delta / \operatorname{rad} q$ is finite.

## Proof.

- $q(x+y)=q(x)+(x, y)+q(y)=q(x)$. This proves 1 .
- Let $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ be the smallest positive radical vector and $i \in \Gamma_{0}$ such that $\delta_{i}=1$.
- If $x \in \Delta$, then $y:=x-x_{i} \delta \in \Delta$ defines the same coset in $\Delta / \mathrm{rad} q$ and $y_{i}=0$.
- Moreover, both $\delta+y$ and $\delta-y$ are positive roots (look at the $i$-th coordinate!)
- Hence $-\delta<y<\delta$.


## Finiteness for roots-the Dynkin case [Kra, §4.3]

## Corollary (Proposition 4.3.1(5))

 If $\Gamma$ be Dynkin, then $\Delta$ is finite.
## Proof.

- There is a Euclidean diagram $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $\Gamma$ is obtained by deleting a vertex $i$ from $\tilde{\Gamma}$.
- A root of $\Gamma$ can be viewed as a root of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ whose $i$-th coordinate is 0 .


## Reflections

## Simple reflections [Kra, §3.2]

- Let $Q$ be a finite quiver, $q(x)=\sum_{i \in Q_{0}} x_{i}^{2}-\sum_{\alpha: i \rightarrow j} x_{i} x_{j}$ and $(x, y)=q(x+y)-q(x)-q(y)$, as before.
- Assume $Q$ has no loops, i.e. no $\bullet$. Then $\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=2\left\langle e_{i}, e_{i}\right\rangle=2$.
- In that case, we can always define the reflection with respect to vertex $i$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i}: \mathbb{Z}^{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n} \\
x & \mapsto x-2 \frac{\left(x, e_{i}\right)}{\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)} e_{i}=x-\left(x, e_{i}\right) e_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Observation: $\sigma_{i}^{2}=1_{\mathbb{Z}^{n}}$.
- Observation: $\left(\sigma_{i}(x), \sigma_{i}(y)\right)=(x, y) \quad\left(\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$.
- Observation: If the underlying graph of $Q$ is Dynkin or Euclidean, then $\sigma_{i}$ permutes roots (as $q(x)=q\left(\sigma_{i}(x)\right)$ ).


## Why reflections?

- If $q$ is positive def. ( $=Q$ Dynkin), then $(-,-): \mathbb{Z}^{n} \times \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ extends to a scalar product $(-,-): \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- Then we can also extend $\sigma_{i}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and we really get a reflection with respect to the hyperplane orthogonal to $e_{i}$ :



## Reflections and roots [Kra, §4.3]

## Lemma ([Kra, Lemma 4.3.2])

Let $Q$ be a quiver whose underlying graph is Dynkin or Euclidean, and let $i \in \Gamma_{0}$. If $x$ is a positive root and $\sigma_{i}(x)$ is not positive, then $x=e_{i}$.

## Proof.

- If $\sigma_{i}(x)$ is not positive, then $\sigma_{i}(x)<0$.
- But $\sigma_{i}(x)=x-\left(x, e_{i}\right) e_{i}$, so $\sigma_{i}(x)_{j}=x_{j}$ for each $j \neq i$.
- It follows that $x_{j}=0$ for all $j \neq i$, so $x=e_{i}$.

Example


Coxeter transformation

## Change or orientation and admissible orderings [Kra, §3.1]

## Definition

Let $Q$ be a finite quiver. An ordering of vertices $Q_{0}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is admissible, if $\quad(\exists \alpha: i \rightarrow j) \Longrightarrow(i>j)$.

Examples
$Q=(3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1)$.

## Definition

If $Q$ is a quiver and $i \in Q_{0}$, we denote $\sigma_{i} Q$ the quiver obtained from $Q$ by changing orientation of the arrows incident at $i$.

## Lemma

An ordering $Q_{0}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is admissible iff $i$ is a sink of $\sigma_{i-1} \cdots \sigma_{1} Q$ for each $i \in Q_{0}$.

Examples
$Q=(3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1) \rightsquigarrow \sigma_{1} Q=(3 \rightarrow 2 \leftarrow 1) \rightsquigarrow$
$\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} Q=(3 \leftarrow 2 \rightarrow 1) \rightsquigarrow \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} Q=Q$.

## Coxeter transformation [Kra, §4.4]

## Definition

Let $Q$ be a finite quiver with an admissible ordering of vertices, $Q_{0}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. The automorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
c: \mathbb{Z}^{n} & \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \\
x & \mapsto \sigma_{n} \cdots \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

is called the Coxeter transformation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Example } \\
& \text { If } Q=(3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1) \text {, then } \\
& c: e_{2} \mapsto e_{1}, \\
& \quad e_{1} \mapsto-e_{1}-e_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$



## Fixed points of the Coxeter transformation [Kra, §4.4]

Lemma ([Kra, Lemma 4.4.3])
Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then $c(x)=x$ iff $x \in \operatorname{rad} q$.

## Proof.

The following statements are equivalent for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ :

- $c(x)=x$,
- $x_{i}=\sigma_{i}(x)_{i}\left(=x_{i}-\left(x, e_{i}\right)\right)$ for each $i$,
- $\left(x, e_{i}\right)=0$ for each $i$.


## Coxeter and positivity in the Dynkin case [Kra, §4.4]

- If $Q$ is of Dynkin type, then $c: \mathbb{Z}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ permutes the finite set $\Delta$.
- In particular, for each $i$ there is $r_{i}>0$ such that $c^{h_{i}}\left(e_{i}\right)=e_{i}$.
- It follows that $c^{h}=1_{\mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ for some $h>0$. The smallest such $h$ is called the Coxeter number.

Lemma ([Kra, Lemma 4.4.4])
Let $Q$ be of Dynkin type and $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Then $\exists r \geq 0$ such that $c^{r}(x)$ is not positive.

## Proof.

- Put $y=\sum_{r=0}^{h-1} c^{r}(x)$.
- Then $c(y)=y$, so $y \in \operatorname{rad} q=\{0\}$.
- Consequently, $c^{r}(x)$ is not positive for some $0 \leq r<h$.


## Enumerating roots in the Dynkin case

- Let $Q$ be of Dynkin type with admissibly ordered vertices $Q_{0}=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $x$ a positive root.
- Let $r \geq 0$ and $1 \leq s \leq n$ be smallest possible such that

$$
\sigma_{s} \sigma_{s-1} \cdots \sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{n} \cdots \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right)^{r}(x)<0
$$

- Then $\sigma_{s-1} \cdots \sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{n} \cdots \sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}\right)^{r}(x)=e_{s}($ recall Lemma $)$.
- Thus, each positive root has an expression of the form

$$
\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{r} \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{s-1}\left(e_{s}\right)
$$

where all the intermediate roots

$$
\sigma_{t} \cdots \sigma_{n}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{n}\right)^{r^{\prime}} \sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{s-1}\left(e_{s}\right)
$$

for all shorter expressions are also positive!

## Reflection functors

## Reflection functors [Kra, §3.3]

- Let $Q$ be a quiver with a sink $i \in Q_{0}$. So $i$ is a source in $Q^{\prime}:=\sigma_{i} Q$.
- We define additive functors $S_{i}^{-}: \operatorname{Rep}_{K}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \rightleftarrows \operatorname{Rep}(Q): S_{i}^{+}$.
- Consider $M=\left(M_{i}, f_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{Rep}_{K} Q$ and the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{i}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)} \bigoplus_{(\alpha: j \rightarrow i) \in Q_{1}} M_{j} \xrightarrow{\left(f_{\alpha}\right)} M_{i}
$$

- We define $S_{i}^{+}(M)=\left(M_{i}^{\prime}, f_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)$ as follows

1. $M_{i}^{\prime}$ is as above and $M_{j}^{\prime}=M_{j}$ if $j \neq i$.
2. If $(\alpha: i \rightarrow k) \in Q_{1}^{\prime}$, then $f_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ is as above, and if $(\alpha: j \rightarrow k) \in Q_{1}^{\prime}$ has $j \neq i$, then $f_{\alpha}^{\prime}=f_{\alpha}$.

- If $N=\left(N_{i}, g_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{Rep}_{K}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$, then $S_{i}^{-}(N)$ is defined dually using

$$
N_{i} \xrightarrow{\left(g_{\alpha}\right)} \bigoplus_{(\alpha: i \rightarrow j) \in Q_{1}^{\prime}} N_{j} \xrightarrow{\left(g_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)} N_{i}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 0
$$

## Reflections versus reflection functors [Kra, §3.3]

- Consider $Q$ with a sink $i \in Q_{0}, Q^{\prime}:=\sigma_{i} Q$, and

$$
S_{i}^{-}: \operatorname{Rep}_{K}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \rightleftarrows \operatorname{Rep}{ }_{K}(Q): S_{i}^{+} .
$$

- Then we have natural morphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\iota_{i}: S_{i}^{-} S_{i}^{+}(M) & \mapsto M \\
\pi_{i}: N & \rightarrow S_{i}^{+} S_{i}^{-}(N)
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma ([Kra, Lemma 3.3.2])

1. $M \cong\left(S_{i}^{-} S_{i}^{+}(M)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Coker} \iota_{i}$ and

Coker $\iota_{i}$ is a direct sum of copies of the simple $S(i)$.
2. $N \cong\left(S_{i}^{+} S_{i}^{-}(N)\right) \oplus \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{i}$ and
$\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{i}$ is a direct sum of copies of the simple $S(i)$.
3. If $M \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ and $M$ has no summand isomorphic to $S(i)$, then $\operatorname{dim} S_{i}^{+}(M)=\sigma_{i}(\operatorname{dim} M)$.
4. If $N \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$ and $N$ has no summand isomorphic to $S(i)$, then $\underline{\operatorname{dim}} S_{i}^{-}(N)=\sigma_{i}(\underline{\operatorname{dim}} N)$.

Lemma ([Kra, Lemma 3.3.3])
Let $Q$ be a quiver, $i \in Q_{0}$ a sink and $M=\left(M_{j}, f_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{rep}_{k}(Q)$ indecomposable. TFAE:

1. $M \not \approx S(i)$.
2. $S_{i}^{+}(M) \neq 0$.
3. $S_{i}^{+}(M)$ is indecomposable.
4. $S_{i}^{-} S_{i}^{+}(M) \cong M$.
5. The map $\left(f_{\alpha}\right): \bigoplus_{\alpha: j \rightarrow i} M_{j} \rightarrow M_{i}$ is surjective.
6. $\sigma_{i}(\underline{\operatorname{dim}} M)>0$.
7. $\sigma_{i}(\underline{\operatorname{dim}} M)=\underline{\operatorname{dim}} S_{i}^{+}(M)$.

## Bijections between indecomposable representations [Kra, §3.3]

Theorem ([Kra, Theorem 3.3.5])
Let $Q$ be a quiver with sink $i \in Q_{0}$ and $Q^{\prime}=\sigma_{i} Q$. Then the functors $S_{i}^{+}$and $S_{i}^{-}$induce mutually inverse bijections between

1. the isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of $Q$ and
2. the isomorphism classes of indecomposable representations of $Q^{\prime}$, with the exception of the simple representation $S(i)$ (both over $Q$ and $Q^{\prime}$ ), which is annihilated by these functors.

Moreover, $\underline{\operatorname{dim}} S^{ \pm} M=\sigma_{i}(\underline{\operatorname{dim}} M)$ for every indecomposable representation $M$ of the corresponding quiver which is not isomorphic to $S(i)$.

