

Embedding algebras into modules

David Stanovský

Charles University in Prague
Czech Republic

stanovsk@karlin.mff.cuni.cz
<http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~stanovsk>

Summer School on Algebra and Ordered Sets
Tábor 2007

What is it all about?

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>
<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>
<i>f</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>g</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>

... a mess

What is it all about?

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>
<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>
<i>f</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>g</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>

... a mess

This is just $(\mathbb{Z}_7, *)$ with $x * y = 2x + 4y$.
reduct of an abelian group (linear representation)

Subreducts of modules

- \mathbf{A} is called a *reduct* of \mathbf{B} , if all operations of \mathbf{A} are terms of \mathbf{B} .
- \mathbf{A} is called a *subreduct* of \mathbf{B} , if it is a subalgebra of a reduct of \mathbf{B} .

Subreducts of modules

- **A** is called a *reduct* of **B**, if all operations of **A** are terms of **B**.
- **A** is called a *subreduct* of **B**, if it is a subalgebra of a reduct of **B**.

Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, +, -, 0, \alpha \cdot : \alpha \in R)$ be an **R**-module:

Terms of **B** = expressions

$$t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in R$.

Have we seen this talk a year ago?!

Have we seen this talk a year ago?!

NO!!! It was about *semimodules*.

Theorem (J. Ježek)

Every algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule.

Theorem (M. Stronkowski / DS)

An idempotent algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring \Leftrightarrow it is a Szendrei mode.

Theorem (M. Stronkowski)

An entropic algebra satisfying Szendrei identities with onto operations is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring.

Quasi-linear vs. quasi-affine

Linear representation = module term

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

Affine representation = module polynomial

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \mathbf{c}$$

Quasi-linear algebras = subreducts of a modules

Quasi-affine algebras = polynomial subreducts of a modules

Quasi-linear = quasi-affine!

Theorem (Stronkowski, DS)

Quasi-affine algebras are quasi-linear.

Proof:

- 1 use Ježek's embedding of \mathbf{A} into a semimodule \mathbf{M}
- 2 take the smallest congruence α of \mathbf{M} such that the factor is $+-$ cancellative (thus \mathbf{M}/α is a subreduct of a module)
- 3 derive quasiidentities describing that $\alpha \cap A^2$ is trivial
- 4 check that quasi-affine algebras satisfy them

Which algebras are quasi-affine?

- They are *abelian*
 - diagonal is a congruence block on $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$
 - for every term t and $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d} \in A$

$$t(\bar{a}, \bar{c}) = t(\bar{a}, \bar{d}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(\bar{b}, \bar{c}) = t(\bar{b}, \bar{d})$$

Which algebras are quasi-affine?

- They are *abelian*
 - diagonal is a congruence block on $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$
 - for every term t and $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d} \in A$

$$t(\bar{a}, \bar{c}) = t(\bar{a}, \bar{d}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(\bar{b}, \bar{c}) = t(\bar{b}, \bar{d})$$

- (Quackenbush) Not all *abelian* algebras are *quasi-affine*:
an infinite scheme of quasiidentities

Which algebras are quasi-affine?

- They are *abelian*
 - diagonal is a congruence block on $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A}$
 - for every term t and $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d} \in A$

$$t(\bar{a}, \bar{c}) = t(\bar{a}, \bar{d}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad t(\bar{b}, \bar{c}) = t(\bar{b}, \bar{d})$$

- (Quackenbush) Not all *abelian* algebras are *quasi-affine*:
an infinite scheme of quasiidentities
- *Abelian* algebras are *quasi-affine*, if
 - (Kearnes, Szendrei) non-trivial idempotent Mal'tsev condition
 - (Herrman) congruence modular \Rightarrow *affine*
 - (Kearnes, Szendrei) non-trivial congruence lattice identity \Rightarrow *affine*
 - (Kearnes) idempotent simple
 - (TCT) finite simple

Subreducts of modules over commutative rings

Problem

Determine subreducts of modules over *commutative rings*.

Entropy (= all operations commute each other)

$$f(g(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}), \dots, g(x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn})) = \\ g(f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{m1}), \dots, f(x_{1n}, \dots, x_{mn}))$$

Szendrei identities (= replace just one pair)

$$f(f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}), \dots, f(x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nn})) = \\ f(f(x_{\pi(11)}, \dots, x_{\pi(1n)}), \dots, f(x_{\pi(n1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(nn)}))$$

where $\pi : ij \leftrightarrow ji$ for a single fixed ij .

Abelian entropic algebras satisfy Szendrei identities

$$\begin{aligned} &f(f(x_1, x_2, x_3), f(y_1, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = \\ &f(f(x_1, y_1, z_1), f(x_2, y_2, z_2), f(x_3, y_3, z_3)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(f(x_1, x_2, x_3), f(y_1, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = \\ &f(f(x_1, y_1, x_3), f(x_2, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) \end{aligned}$$

Abelian entropic algebras satisfy Szendrei identities

$$\begin{aligned} &f(f(x_1, x_2, x_3), f(y_1, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = \\ &f(f(x_1, y_1, z_1), f(x_2, y_2, z_2), f(x_3, y_3, z_3)) \end{aligned}$$

\Downarrow substitute $x_1 = x_3 = y_2 = \dots = z_3 = x$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(f(x, x_2, x), f(y_1, x, x), f(x, x, x)) = \\ &f(f(x, y_1, x), f(x_2, x, x), f(x, x, x)) \end{aligned}$$

\Downarrow abelianess

$$\begin{aligned} &f(f(x_1, x_2, x_3), f(y_1, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = \\ &f(f(x_1, y_1, x_3), f(x_2, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) \end{aligned}$$

Subreducts of modules over commutative rings

Reminder: they are *abelian* and *entropic*

Embeddable:

- (Stronkowski) cancellative entropic
- (easy) quasi-affine idempotent entropic

Subreducts of modules over commutative rings

Reminder: they are *abelian* and *entropic*

Embeddable:

- (Stronkowski) cancellative entropic
- (easy) quasi-affine idempotent entropic

Future plan:

for entropic:

abelian $\overset{???}{\Rightarrow}$ quasi-affine $\overset{???}{\Rightarrow}$ embeddable

for idempotent entropic:

abelian $\overset{???}{\Rightarrow}$ quasi-affine \Rightarrow embeddable

New results:

D. Stanovský, M. Stronkowski, *Subreducts of modules*, in progress.

Subreducts of modules:

R. Quackenbush, *Quasi-affine algebras*, Algebra Universalis 20 (1985), 318–327.

Á. Szendrei, *Modules in general algebra*, in: Contributions to General Algebra 10 (Proc. Klagenfurt Conf., 1997), Johannes Heyn, Klagenfurt, 1998; pp. 41–53.

All about modes:

A. Romanowska, JDH Smith, *Modes*. World Scientific, 2002.

A. Romanowska, *Semi-affine modes and modals*, Sci. Math. Japon. 61 (2005), 159–194.

Á. Szendrei, *Identities satisfied by convex linear forms*, Alg. Universalis 12 (1981), 103–122.

Embeddings into semimodules:

J. Ježek, *Terms and semiterms*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20 (1979), 447–460.

D. Stanovský, *Idempotent subreducts of semimodules over commutative semirings*, submitted.

M. Stronkowski, *Embeddings of entropic algebras*, PhD Thesis, Warsaw U. of Tech., 2006.