

Linear algebraic groups

$V = V/\mathbb{C}$ vector space, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$, $GL(V) =$ the ^(general) linear group of automorphisms of $(V, +, \mathbb{C})$
(fin. dimen.)

Choice of basis $\Rightarrow GL(V) \cong GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ $n \times n$ invertible \mathbb{C} -valued matrices

The \mathbb{C} -algebra $\text{End}(V)$, \mathbb{C} -linear maps $V \rightarrow V$, is \mathbb{C} -vector space

Choice of basis $\Rightarrow \text{End}(V) \cong M_n(\mathbb{C})$ $n \times n$ invertible \mathbb{C} -valued matrices, \mathbb{C} -vector space

For $g \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $x_{ij}(g)$ is the (i, j) -th entry of g

Def 1: a/ A subgroup $G \leq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a lin. alg. group if there exists a set A of polynomial functions on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ s.t. $G = \{g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid f(g) = 0 \ \forall f \in A\}$.

A generates the defining ideal of G .

b/ μ : $V \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}^n$ for $V = V/\mathbb{C}$ given by the choice of basis in V , induces $\mu': \text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$. A subgroup $G < GL(V)$

is linear alg. group if $\mu'(G)$ is a lin. alg. ~~subgroup~~ subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. This definition is basis-independent.

Remark: The Hilbert basis theorem $\Rightarrow \forall$ linear alg. group can be defined by a finite number of polyn. equations.

Example 2: a/ (the general linear group)

$G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $A = \emptyset$, in general $GL(V)$.

b/ $G = SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, pol. equation $\det(g) - 1 = 0$, the special linear group. We have $SL(V) = GL(V) \mid_{\det(g) = 1}$, and $\det(g) - 1$ is independent of the choice of basis.

c/ $B(n, \mathbb{C}) < GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices (Borel subgroup) defined by

$A = \{x_{ij}(g) \mid g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \begin{matrix} i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ i > j \end{matrix}\}$

d/ The subgroup of diagonal matrices $D(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$
 (example of Cartan subgroup), where

$$A = \{ x_{ij}(g) \mid g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \begin{matrix} i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ i \neq j \end{matrix} \}$$

e/ The subgroup of upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries equal to 1 (example of unipotent subgroup) $N^+(n, \mathbb{C}) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$

$$A = \{ x_{ij}(g) \mid g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \text{ and } \begin{matrix} x_{ii}(g) = 1 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n \\ x_{ij}(g) \text{ for } j < i \end{matrix} \}$$

In particular, for $n=2$ get $N^+(2, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}$ as abelian lin. alg. subgroup.

f/ $\Gamma \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ defines a non-degenerate bilinear form B_Γ by
 $B_\Gamma(x, y) = x^T \Gamma y$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Then the linear alg. group G_Γ is defined by

$$G_\Gamma = \{ g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid B_\Gamma(gx, gy) = B_\Gamma(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n \}$$

or, $G_\Gamma = \{ g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid g^T \Gamma g = \Gamma \}$.

If B_Γ is symmetric, G_Γ is orthogonal lin. alg. grp.

— " — skew-symmetric, — " — symplectic — " —.

Remark 3: $g^T \Gamma g = \Gamma \Leftrightarrow (g^T)^{-1} \Gamma = \Gamma g \Leftrightarrow \Gamma^{-1} (g^T)^{-1} \Gamma = g$.

Denoting $\sigma_\Gamma(g) := \Gamma^{-1} (g^T)^{-1} \Gamma$, we get $g \in G_\Gamma$ iff $\sigma_\Gamma(g) = g$. This map has some interesting properties:

a/ σ_Γ is an automorphism of G_Γ : $\sigma_\Gamma(e) = e$,
 $\sigma_\Gamma(g_1 g_2) = \sigma_\Gamma(g_1) \sigma_\Gamma(g_2)$

b/ If $\Gamma^T = \pm \Gamma$, then σ_Γ is involution: $(\sigma_\Gamma)^2 = \text{Id}$.

(The ring of regular functions $\mathcal{O}(G)$ of lin. alg. group G)

③ Def 4: The ring of regular functions $\mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ is an algebra over \mathbb{C} generated by (matrix entries) $x_{ij}(g)$ and $\det^{-1}(g)$, $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C})) := \mathbb{C} [x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{nn}, \det^{-1}]$$

V/\mathbb{C} , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = n$, choose a basis, this induces isomorphism $\mu: GL(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

We define regular functions on $GL(V)$ to be of the form $f \circ \mu$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$

$$\mathcal{O}(GL(V)) = \{f \circ \mu \mid f \in \mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))\}$$

(does not depend on the choice of basis, acting by automorphisms of coordinate ring.)

$G \subseteq GL(V)$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ is regular if it is a restriction of a regular function on $GL(V)$.

Remark 5:

(a) The affine alg. set $GL(n, \mathbb{C}) = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a principal open set (in \mathbb{C}^{n^2}) For X an affine alg. set, $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ (regular function on X), the principal open set $X_f := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$.

X_f is isomorphic to an affine alg. set in higher dimension:

for $G = GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, $f(g) = \det(g)$ and

$$G \cong \{ (x, t) \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C} \mid t \det(x) - 1 = 0 \}$$

" $\text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C}) = M_n(\mathbb{C})$

(b) A way to define regular functions on $GL(V)$ without a choice of basis: for $B \in \text{End}(V)$, let $f_B: \text{End}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$f_B(Y) = \text{tr}(Y \cdot B)$. If $V = \mathbb{C}^n$, $B = E_{ij}$ elementary matrix, then

$f_{E_{ij}}(Y) = x_{ji}(Y)$. Because $B \rightarrow f_B$ is \mathbb{C} -linear, $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \text{End}(V)^*$

$f_B|_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})}$ is a linear combination of matrix-entry functions, and so regular. The algebra of regular functions on $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is generated by $\{f_B \mid B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})\}$ and \det^{-1} , which can

reformulated as $\mathcal{O}(GL(V))$ is generated by $\{f_B, \det^{-1}\}$ and \det^{-1} (obviously, basis independent.)

(c) Analogously to step (b), there is basis-free definition of a linear algebraic group. For V/\mathbb{C} , $G \leq GL(V)$ is linear alg. group if G is a closed subset of $GL(V)$ (in the Zariski topology.) This agrees with Def 1: the Zariski closed subset of $GL(V)$ are defined by $f(x_{11}(g), \dots, x_{nn}(g), \det^{-1}) = 0$, with f a polynomial of n^2+1 variables. Since $\det(g) \neq 0$, we can multiply f by $\det(g)^k$ for $k \gg 0$ to obtain polynomial equation in matrix entries of g .

The set of regular functions $\mathcal{O}(G)$ on G is commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra. It has finite set of generators, f_B for $B \in \text{End}(V)$ and $\det^{-1}|_G$.
"basis elements"

Define the ideal $I_G := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(GL(V)) \mid f(G) = 0\}$

in $\mathcal{O}(GL(V))$. The quotient map by I_G induces an isomorph.

$$\mathcal{O}(GL(V))/I_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(G).$$

Examples 6:

(a) $D(n, \mathbb{C}) \leq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ the subgroup of diagonal matrices. The coordinate functions x_{ij} are in $I_{D(n, \mathbb{C})}$ if $i \neq j$. The functions x_{ii} are algebraically independent and $\det^{-1}|_{D(n, \mathbb{C})} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_{ii}\right)^{-1} \Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{O}(D(n, \mathbb{C})) = \mathbb{C}[x_{11}, x_{11}^{-1}, \dots, x_{nn}, x_{nn}^{-1}]$ and it is called algebraic torus of rank n .

(b) $G \leq GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ } line. alg. groups $\Rightarrow G \times H \leq GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \times GL(m, \mathbb{C}) \leq GL(m+n, \mathbb{C})$
 $H \leq GL(m, \mathbb{C})$ }
 with $\mathcal{O}(G \times H) \cong \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(H)$

$$\mathcal{O}(G \times H) \cong f' \times f'' \longleftarrow f' \otimes f''$$

$$(g, h) \mapsto f'(g) f''(h)$$

Morphisms of linear alg. groups:

Def 7: $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ morphism of ^{linear} alg. groups G, H fulfills (is given by):

1/ φ is a homomorphism of groups,

2/ φ is regular, i.e. $\varphi^*(f) := f \circ \varphi \in \mathcal{O}(G) \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(H)$.

G, H lin. alg. groups are isomorphic if \exists morphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ s.t. φ^{-1} exists and is a morphism.

Def 8: G lin. alg. group, $g \in G$. The ^{left} G -translation L_g on $G(G)$ is defined by $(L_g f)(x) := f(g^{-1}x)$ (right) R_g on $G(G)$
 $(R_g f)(x) := f(xg) \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{matrix} (L_g f)(x) := f(g^{-1}x) \\ (R_g f)(x) := f(xg) \end{matrix}} \right\} f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$

Lemma 9: G lin. alg. group. Then

1/ The group mult. $\mu: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is a regular map,

2/ The inversion $\eta: G \rightarrow G$ is a regular map,

3/ If $f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \exists p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f_i', f_i'' \in \mathcal{O}(G), i \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ s.t.

$$f(gk) = \sum_{i=1}^p f_i'(g) \otimes f_i''(k) \quad \text{for } \forall g, k \in G$$

$$\mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{\text{Example } G, (G)} \mathcal{O}(G \times G) \xrightarrow{\mu: G \times G \rightarrow G} \mathcal{O}(G)$$

4/ For $g \in G$, the maps L_g, R_g are regular.

Pf: 1/ Follows from multipl. of matrices $x_{ik}(gk) = \sum_j x_{ij}(g) x_{jk}(k)$

and from the relation $\det(gk) = \det(g) \det(k)$

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{O}(G \times G) \\ \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{O}(G) \otimes \mathcal{O}(G) \end{array}$$

2/ A consequence of the Cramer's rule for the computation of $x_{ij}(g^{-1})$ in terms of $x_{kl}(g), k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

3/ The formula in 3/ holds for $f = x_{ij}|_G$, and by the multiplicative property of determinant it holds for $f = \det^{-1}|_G$. Since these generate the algebra $\mathcal{O}(G)$, the identity holds for \forall regular functions.

4/ Follows from 3/, when g is fixed. ▣

Lemma 10: $H \leq G$ closed subgroup of a linear alg. group G .

I_H ... the ideal of function in $O(G)$ vanishing on H .

Then $H = \{g \in G \mid R_g(I_H) \subseteq I_H\}$

Pf:

a/ If $g \in H$ and $f \in I_H$, then $R_g(f)(g') = f(g'g) = 0 \quad \forall g' \in H$
 $\Rightarrow R_g(f) \in I_H$, one inclusion is proved.

b/ If $R_g(I_H) \subseteq I_H$, then for $f \in I_H$ we get

$$0 = R_g(f)(e) = f(g) \Rightarrow g \in H. \quad \square$$

Representations of lin. algebraic groups

(we work with \mathbb{C} -representations)

Def 11: G ... lin. alg. gp. A representation of G is a pair (ρ, V) ,
 $V = V/\mathbb{C}$ and $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ is a group homomorphism.

V is regular (rational), if $\dim V < \infty$ and the functions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ g & \longmapsto & \langle \rho(g)v, v^* \rangle \end{array}, \quad v \in V, v^* \in V^*$$

" $v^*(\rho(g)v)$

(called matrix coefficients of ρ) are regular for all $v \in V, v^* \in V^*$ (equivalently, ρ is a morphism of linear alg. group.)

$$\left\{ g \mapsto \langle \rho(g)v, v^* \rangle \right\} \longleftarrow \left\{ \rho(g) \rightarrow \langle \rho(g)v, v^* \rangle \right\}$$

reg. fun on G reg. fun on $GL(V)$

Def 12: V , $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V = \infty$. The representation (ρ, V) of G is locally regular if for any fin. dim. $F \subseteq V$, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F < \infty$, there is a G -invariant fin. dim.

$$F \subseteq E \quad (\rho(g)E \subseteq E) \text{ s.t. } \rho|_E \text{ is a} \\ \forall g \in G$$

regular representation (see Def 11.)

Def 13: Let (ρ, V) and (τ, W) be two regular representations of G . A \mathbb{C} -linear map $T: V \rightarrow W$ is called an intertwining map if $T \circ \rho(g) = \tau(g) \circ T$ for all $g \in G$.

The map T is (called) isomorphism of (ρ, V) and (τ, W) if T is a bijection and an intertwining map.

The representations (ρ, V) and (τ, W) are isomorphic (equivalent) if there \exists an isomorphism $(\rho, V) \cong (\tau, W)$.

The representation (ρ, V) with $V \neq \{0\}$ is reducible, if there exists a G -invariant subspace $W \subseteq V$ s.t. $W \neq \{0\}$ and $W \neq V$. A representation, which is not reducible, is (called) irreducible.

Examples 14:

a/ Subfactor representations: if (ρ, V) is a regular representation and $W \subseteq V$ is G -invariant, then $\sigma := \rho|_W$ is a regular representation of G on W . We also obtain a representation τ of G on the quotient space V/W by $\tau(g)(v+W) = \rho(g)v + W$. A direct proof of the regularity of such represent. is clear.

(b) Defining representation: Let $G < GL(V)$ lin. alg. groups.

The representation $\rho(g) = g$ on V is regular, since the matrix coefficients $\langle g \cdot v, v^* \rangle = f_{v \otimes v^*}(g)$ are regular functions on G .

(c) Dual representation: (ρ, V) a regular representation. The dual (contragredient) represent. (ρ^*, V^*) is defined by

$$\langle \rho^*(g)(v^*), v \rangle = \langle v^*, \rho(g^{-1})(v) \rangle \quad \begin{array}{l} v \in V \\ v^* \in V^* \end{array}$$

and by its very definition, (ρ^*, V^*) is regular repr.

$$M^T \in \text{End}(V^*) \text{ dual to } M \in \text{End}(V) \Rightarrow \rho^*(g) = \rho(g^{-1})^T$$

If $W \subseteq V$ G -invariant subspace, then

$$V^* \supseteq W^\perp = \{ v^* \in V^* \mid \langle v^*, w \rangle = 0 \quad \forall w \in W \}$$

is a G -invariant subspace of V^* . In particular,

(ρ, V) is irreducible $\Rightarrow (\rho^*, V^*)$ is also irred.

The canonical isom. $(V^*)^* \simeq V$ implies $(\rho^*)^* \simeq \rho$.

(d) Direct sum: $(\rho, V), (\sigma, W)$ regular repr. of G . Define the direct sum representation $\rho \oplus \sigma$ on $V \oplus W$ by

$$(\rho \oplus \sigma)(g)(v, w) := (\rho(g)v, \sigma(g)w), \quad \begin{array}{l} g \in G \\ v \in V \\ w \in W \end{array}$$

Then $(\rho \oplus \sigma, V \oplus W)$ is a regular representation of G , since

$$\langle (\rho \oplus \sigma)(g)(v, w), (v^*, w^*) \rangle = \langle \rho(g)v, v^* \rangle + \langle \sigma(g)w, w^* \rangle$$

for $v \in V, v^* \in V^*, w \in W, w^* \in W^*$.

(e) Tensor product: $(\rho, V), (\sigma, W)$ regular repr. of G , define the tensor product repr. $\rho \otimes \sigma$ on $V \otimes W$ by

$$(\rho \otimes \sigma)(g) [v \otimes w] = \rho(g)v \otimes \sigma(g)w \quad \begin{matrix} g \in G \\ v \in V \\ w \in W \end{matrix}$$

which is regular since

$$\langle (\rho \otimes \sigma)(g) [v \otimes w], v^* \otimes w^* \rangle = \langle \rho(g)v, v^* \rangle \langle \sigma(g)w, w^* \rangle$$

$v \in V, v^* \in V^*, w \in W, w^* \in W^*$

(f) Representation induced on $\text{End}(V)$:

$G \subset GL(V)$, (ρ, V) the defining representation. Consider the representation $\rho \otimes \rho^*$ on $V \otimes V^* \simeq \text{End}(V)$, given by $g \mapsto (A \rightarrow g \cdot A := gAg^{-1})$ for $A \in \text{End}(V)$. The previous examples imply this is a regular represent.

Lemma 15: The representations $(L, \mathcal{O}(G))$ and $(R, \mathcal{O}(G))$ are locally regular.

Pf: As we already proved, $\forall_{f \in \mathcal{O}(G)}$ there are $f_i', f_i'' \in \mathcal{O}(G)$

s.t. $f(gh) = \sum_i f_i'(g) f_i''(h)$. Hence

$$L(x)f = \sum_i f_i'(x^{-1}) f_i'', \quad R(x)f = \sum_i f_i''(x) f_i'$$

It follows that the subspaces

$$V_L(f) := \langle L(x)f \mid x \in G \rangle, \quad V_R(f) := \langle R(x)f \mid x \in G \rangle$$

are finite-dimensional and left/right invariant for G -translation.

This is easily generalized to a fin.-dim. $E \subseteq \mathcal{O}(G)$

with a basis $\{f_1, \dots, f_p\} \Rightarrow V_L = \sum_i V_L(f_i)$ resp. $V_R = \sum_i V_R(f_i)$ are regular representations. \square

10) Lie algebra of a linear algebraic group

The situation for Lie groups: $\text{Lie}(G) := T_e G$, $e \in G$ unit element

$$C^\infty(G, TG)^G \subseteq C^\infty(G, TG) = \mathcal{X}(G),$$

↑ left-invariant vector field

$$C^\infty(G, TG)^G \xrightarrow{\sim} T_e G \text{ as Lie algebras}$$

$$G < GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \text{Lie}(G) \leq \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$$

$X \in \mathfrak{gl}(m, \mathbb{R})$ is in $\text{Lie}(G)$ iff $\exp(tX) \in G \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

on a smooth manifold: tangent vectors are defined by equivalence classes of smooth curves in it (passing through a point at which the tangent vector is considered.)

$X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$: Leibniz property $X(fg) = X(f)g + fX(g)$

$\Rightarrow X \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{F}(M))$ algebra of smooth functions
 ↑ derivations

We distinguish Lie grps

$$G < GL(V)$$

$$\text{Lie}(G)$$

lin. alg. grps

$$G < GL(V)$$

of

(it can be shown that $\text{Lie}(G)$ and \mathfrak{a}_G coincide as Lie subalgebras of $\text{End}(V)$.)

Def 16: A derivation of an algebra A is a linear map $D: A \rightarrow A$ s.t.

$$D(a \cdot b) = D(a)b + aD(b). \text{ In the case } \mathcal{O}(G) = A, \text{ with } G$$

a linear algebraic group, a derivation of A is called a vector field on G . The space of all derivations on $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X}(G)$.

(11) Def 17: A derivation in $\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is left-invariant, if it commutes with left translations $L_g, g \in G$. The space of left-invariant derivations on $\mathcal{O}(G)$ is (called) the Lie algebra of G , denoted \mathfrak{g} , or $\mathfrak{X}_L(G)$.

$\mathfrak{X}(G)$... ∞ -dim. Lie algebra: D_1, D_2 vector fields $\Rightarrow [D_1, D_2]$ is a vector field

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(ab) &= D_1(a)b + aD_1(b) \\ D_2(ab) &= D_2(a)b + aD_2(b) \end{aligned} \Rightarrow [D_1, D_2](ab) = [D_1, D_2](a)b + a[D_1, D_2](b)$$

for $[D_1, D_2] = D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1$

Moreover, the bracket of invariant vector fields is invariant vector field \Rightarrow the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{X}(G)$.

Def 18: G ... Lie alg. group. If $x \in G$, then a tangent vector to G at x is a \mathbb{C} -lin. map $v: \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ fulfilling

$$v(fg) = v(f)g(x) + f(x)v(g) \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{O}(G)$$

The set of all tangent vectors at x is (called) tangent space $T_x G$ of G at x .

Questions: Is \mathfrak{g} finite dimensional? If yes, what is its dimension?
If $G < H$ Lie alg. groups, subgroups of $\text{End}(V)$, how \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{g} are related?

We show that $\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_e G$; there is $\theta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_e G$ given by evaluation at $e \in G$, and we prove θ is an isomorphism.

Th 19: G ... Lie alg. groups. The evaluation map $\theta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T_e G$ defined by $\theta(X) = X(e), X \in \mathfrak{g}$, is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces.

Pf: We introduce the extension map $\eta: T_e G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$(\eta(X)f)(g) = X(L_{g^{-1}}(f)), \quad X \in T_e G, f \in \mathcal{O}(G), g \in G$$

(12) We prove the series of claims:

a/ $\eta(X)$ is a derivation, i.e. $\eta(X) \in \mathfrak{X}(G) \equiv$ vector fields on G

We have for $f, f' \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, $X \in T_e G$, $y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(X)(ff')(y) &= X(L_{y^{-1}}(ff')) = X(L_{y^{-1}}(f))f'(y) + X(L_{y^{-1}}(f'))f(y) \\ &= [\eta(X)f]f'(y) + [\eta(X)f']f(y).\end{aligned}$$

b/ $\eta(X) \in \mathfrak{a}_g$, i.e. $\eta(X)$ commutes with the left translations by G

We have for $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, $X \in T_e G$, $y \in G$, $x \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}[L_y(\eta(X)f)](x) &= [\eta(X)f](y^{-1}x) = X(L_{x^{-1}y}f) = X(L_{x^{-1}}(L_y f)) \\ &= \eta(X)(L_y(f))(x).\end{aligned}$$

c/ $\eta \circ \theta = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{a}_g}$, in particular η is surjective

We have for $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, $X \in \mathfrak{a}_g$, $y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(\theta(X)f)(y) &= \theta(X)(L_{y^{-1}}f) = X(L_{y^{-1}}f)(e) = L_{y^{-1}}(X(f))(e) = \\ &= [\underbrace{(\eta \circ \theta)}_{\text{Id}}(X)]f = X(f)(y).\end{aligned}$$

d/ $\theta \circ \eta = \text{Id}_{T_e G}$, in particular η is injective

We have for $X \in T_e G$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$

$$\theta(\eta(X))(f) = \eta(X)(f)(e) = X(L_{e^{-1}}f) = X(f).$$

$$(\theta \circ \eta)(X)(f)$$

□

Particular description in the case $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$:

Th 20: Let $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and X_A a derivation of $\mathcal{O}(G)$ defined by $X_A(f)(g) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} f(g(\text{Id} + tA))$, $g \in G$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

a/ the vector field X_A is left-invariant,

b/ if $E_{ij} = X E_{ij}$, $E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ elementary matrix, then

$$X_A = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} E_{ij} \quad \text{with} \quad E_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{kj}},$$

c/ $[X_A, X_B] = X_{[A, B]}$ for $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, so the map

d/ $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is complex Lie algebra homomorphism.
 $A \mapsto X_A$

Recall that given $B \in \text{End}(V)$, we define a function $\text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{f_B} \mathbb{C}$

Then $(X_A f_B)(g) = f_{AB}(u)$ $Y \mapsto f_B(Y) = \text{tr}_V(YB)$

$\text{End}(V) \xrightarrow{f_{AB}} \mathbb{C}$

$Y \mapsto f_{AB}(Y) = \text{tr}_V(YA)$

e/ The map $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is an isomorphism.
 $A \mapsto X_A$

Pf: a/ Both sides are equal to

$$L_g(X_A(f))(u) = X_A(f)(g^{-1}u) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g^{-1}u(\text{Id} + tA)),$$

$$X_A(L_g(f))(u) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} F(u(\text{Id} + tA)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g^{-1}u(\text{Id} + tA)).$$

$F(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$

b/ The first formula follows from linearity in A , the second from the chain rule for differentiation and the relation

$$u e_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n x_{ki}(u) e_{kj}.$$

c/ The linearity of the map $A \mapsto X_A$, it is sufficient to consider the case $A = e_{ij}$, $B = e_{kl}$: $[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} e_{il} - \delta_{il} e_{kj}$ (true by the matrix multiplication.) Hence it is sufficient to show $[E_{ij}, E_{kl}] = \delta_{jk} E_{il} - \delta_{il} E_{kj}$:

$$\sum_{p,q} x_{pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{pj}} (x_{qk}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ql}} - \sum_{p,q} x_{qk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ql}} (x_{pi}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{pj}} =$$

$$= \sum_{p,q} \delta_{jk} \delta_{pq} x_{pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{ql}} - \sum_{p,q} \delta_{il} \delta_{pq} x_{qk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{pj}} = \delta_{jk} E_{il} - \delta_{il} E_{kj}.$$

d/ Let $A, B \in \text{End}(V)$. Then

(14)

$$\begin{aligned} (X_A(f_B))(u) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f_B(u(\text{Id} + tA)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \text{tr}(uB + tuAB) = \\ &= \text{tr}(uAB) = f_{AB}(u). \end{aligned}$$

e/ injectivity of $A \mapsto X_A$: if $X_A(f) = 0 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{O}(G)$, then
 $X_A(f_B)(I) = f_{AB}(I) = \text{tr}(AB) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \text{End}(V)$.

Because the bilinear form $\text{End } V \times \text{End } V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate,
 $A, B \mapsto \text{tr}(AB)$

$$A = 0.$$

surjectivity of $A \mapsto X_A$: first, if $v \in T_e(\text{GL}(V))$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(\text{GL}(V))$, then $v(f) = \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{ij}}(e) v(x_{ij})$, which follows from the fact that $v(1) = v(1 \cdot 1) = 2v(1) \Rightarrow v(1) = 0$, and v annihilates all terms in the Taylor expansion of f at e of order at least two (if $g, g' \in \mathcal{O}(G)$ both vanish at e , then $v(gg') = 0$.)

Now assume $X \in \mathfrak{X}_L(\text{GL}(V))$, we want to find $A \in \text{End}(V)$ s.t. $X = X_A$. Let us define the matrix $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ by

$a_{ij} = X(e)(x_{ij})$. By definition of X_A ,

$$X_A(e)(x_{ij}) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} x_{ij}(\text{Id} + tA) = a_{ij} = X(e)(x_{ij})$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{(by the initial} \\ \text{claim)} \end{array} X(e) = X_A(e) \Rightarrow X = X_A. \quad \blacksquare$$

TR.19

Def 21: G - lin. alg. grp. The subgroup $H < G$ is a closed subgroup if there is a system $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of functions in $\mathcal{O}(G)$ such that

$$H = \{g \in G \mid f_\alpha(g) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in A\},$$

ie. H is closed in G in the Zariski topology.

Recall that G is lin. alg. group iff there is V/\mathbb{C} s.t. G is a closed subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$. For G lin. alg. group, $H < G$ a closed subgroup $\Rightarrow H$ is lin. alg. group: $\mathcal{O}(H) = \{f = \tilde{f}|_H \mid \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(G)\}$.

(15)

Th 22: $H < G$ closed subgroup of lin. alg. group G . Let us define the map $\alpha: T_e H \rightarrow T_e G$ by $\alpha(v)(\tilde{f}) = v(\tilde{f}|_H)$, $v \in T_e H, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(G)$.

Then α is injective and $\alpha(T_e H) = \{w \in T_e(G) \mid w(\underbrace{I_H}_0)\}$
 $(\Rightarrow T_e H$ is identified with a vector subspace of $T_e G$)

\hookrightarrow in the light of the isomorphism $\eta: T_e G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_L(G) \cong \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\mathfrak{h} = T_e H = \{X \in T_e G \mid \eta(X)(I_H) \subset I_H\}.$$

Pf: a) $i: H \rightarrow G$ embedding, $i^*: \mathcal{O}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(H)$
 $\tilde{f} \mapsto i^*(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f} \circ i = \tilde{f}|_H, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(G)$

We have $I_H = \{\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(G) \mid \tilde{f}|_H = 0\}$, $\mathcal{O}(H) = \mathcal{O}(G)/I_H$.

The map i^* is surjective, therefore α is injective. All elements $\alpha(v), v \in T_e H$, annihilates by definition I_H , and vice versa: if $w \in T_e G$ annihilates I_H , it quotients to a functional on $\mathcal{O}(H)$ satisfying the Leibniz property (\Rightarrow defines an element $v \in T_e H$ with $\alpha(v) = w$.)

\hookrightarrow there are two inclusions:

- if $X \in T_e G$, $\eta(X)(I_H) \subset I_H$, then $\forall f \in I_H$ and $e \in H$:
 $0 = \eta(X)(f) = X(L_{e^{-1}} f) = X(f)$, so $X \in T_e H$.

- we have $L_{x^{-1}}(f) \in I_H \quad \forall f \in I_H, x \in H$. Then if $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, we get for all $x \in H$

$$\eta(X)(f)(x) = X(L_{x^{-1}}(f)) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \eta(X)(f) \in I_H. \quad \square$$

In the case $H < G = GL(V)$, $\text{End}(V) \cong$ Lie algebra of $GL(V)$, $X_A = \eta(A)$, $A \in \text{End}(V)$, we have:

Corollary 23: $G < GL(V)$ lin. alg. group. Then $\mathfrak{g} = \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid X_A(I_G) \subset I_G\}$.

(16) Description of tangent vectors at $e \in G$:

Lemma 24: $G \dots$ lin. alg. grp, $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow G$ a rational map given by $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ s.t. $\varphi(0) = \text{Id}$ and $\varphi(z) \in G \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$ except possibly for a finite set of non-zero complex numbers. Then the matrix $A := \frac{d}{dz} \Big|_{z=0} \varphi(z)$ belongs to \mathfrak{g} .

The tangent map: the transition from lin. alg. groups G to their Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} reduces many problems to linear algebras.

Def 25: G, G' lin. alg. grps, $\varphi: G \rightarrow G'$ morphism, $\varphi^*: \mathcal{O}(G') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$ the map of their regular (coordinate) rings, $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi$. Then the tangent map (differential of φ)

$d\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ is defined by

$$[(d\varphi)(X)](f') = X(\varphi^*(f')) \quad \forall f' \in \mathcal{O}(G'), \\ X \in \mathfrak{g} \quad d\varphi(X) \in \mathfrak{g}'$$

(the range of $d\varphi$ is in \mathfrak{g}' .)

Lemma 26: The map $\varphi^*: \mathcal{O}(G') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$, $\varphi^*(f') = f' \circ \varphi$, commutes with the left translation:

$$L_{g^{-1}} \circ \varphi^* = \varphi^* \circ L_{\varphi^{-1}(g)} \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Pf: $f' \in \mathcal{O}(G')$, evaluate both sides applied to f' at $g' \in G$:

LHS: $L_{g^{-1}}(f' \circ \varphi)(g') = f'(\varphi(g \cdot g'))$,

RHS: $L_{\varphi^{-1}(g)}(f')(\varphi(g')) = f'(\varphi(g) \varphi(g')). \quad \blacksquare$

Th 27: Let $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ be a morphism of alg. grps. Then $d\varphi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a homomorphism of \mathbb{C} -Lie algebras. If K is a linear alg. grp., $\rho: H \rightarrow K$ another morphism, then $d(\rho \circ \varphi) = (d\rho) \circ (d\varphi)$. In particular, if $K = G$ and $\rho \circ \varphi$ is the identity map, then

(17) then $d(\rho \circ \varphi) = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ \Rightarrow isomorphic lin. alg. groups do have isomorphic Lie algebras.

Pf: $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $X' := d\varphi(X)$, $Y' := d\varphi(Y)$. For $f' \in \mathcal{O}(G')$

$$\begin{aligned} [X', Y'](f') &= [d\varphi(X), d\varphi(Y)](f') = d\varphi(X) d\varphi(Y)(f') - \\ &\quad - d\varphi(Y) d\varphi(X)(f') = d\varphi(X)(d\varphi(Y)f') - d\varphi(Y)(d\varphi(X)f') \\ &= X(\varphi^*(d\varphi(Y)f')) - Y(\varphi^*(d\varphi(X)f')), \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$(d\varphi [X, Y])(f') = [X, Y](f' \circ \varphi) = XY(f' \circ \varphi) - YX(f' \circ \varphi),$$

and the equality follows if

$$\varphi^*(d\varphi(Y)f') = Y(f' \circ \varphi) = Y(\varphi^*(f')) \quad (\text{and similarly for } Y \leftrightarrow X.)$$

The evaluation at $g \in G$ gives

$$\text{RHS: } Y(\varphi^*(f'))(g) = Y(L_{g^{-1}} \varphi^*(f')),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS: } \varphi^*(d\varphi(Y)f')(g) &= d\varphi(Y)(f')(\varphi(g)) = d\varphi(Y)(L_{\varphi^{-1}(g)}(f')) \\ &= Y(\varphi^*(L_{\varphi^{-1}(g)}(f'))) \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 26 implies equality of RHS and LHS. \square

Differential of a representation: special example of a differential of a morphism is differential of representation.

$\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ a repr. of lin. alg. grp. G

($\Rightarrow \pi$ is a morphism of lin. alg. grps.) Then $d\pi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$

is a morphism of Lie algebras. A consequence of Th 27 is

Th 28: Let $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(V)$ a representation of G on V . Then for all $f' \in \mathcal{O}(GL(V))$, and all $A \in \mathfrak{g}$,

(18)

$$X_A(f' \circ \pi) = X_{d\pi(A)}(f') \circ \pi,$$

and the value $d\pi(A)$ is characterized by this relation uniquely.

Pf: The formula follows from $X(\psi^*(f')) = \psi^*(d\psi(X))(f')$, $f' \in \mathcal{O}(GL(V))$ discussed in the proof of Th 27.

Assume $\exists D \in \text{End}(V)$ such that $X_A(f' \circ \pi) = X_D(f') \circ \pi$ holds $\forall f' \in \mathcal{O}(GL(V))$. Then $\forall C \in \text{End}(V)$

$$X_A(f_C \circ \pi)(I) = (X_D f_C) \circ \pi(I) = f_C D(I) = \text{tr}_V(DC),$$

so the map $\text{End}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a linear functional

$$C \mapsto X_A(f_C \circ \pi)(I)$$

on $\text{End}(V)$ represented by $\text{tr}_V(D \cdot ?)$. Because this is non-degenerate bilinear form on $\text{End}(V)$, D is determined uniquely. \square

Examples:

- a/ sub-, quotient (factor)-representation: (ρ, V) regular repr. of G , $W \subset V$ G -invariant subspace, $\sigma = \rho|_W$ and τ is the factor-representation on V/W . Then $d\rho$ restricts to $d\sigma$ on W , and the diff. $d\tau$ is the factor-repr. of $d\rho$ on V/W .
- b/ Defining repr: $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ lin. alg. grp., (ρ, \mathbb{C}^n) def. repr. of G given by inclusion $\iota: G \rightarrow GL(n, \mathbb{C})$. We claim $d\iota(A) = A \forall A \in \text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. It is sufficient to verify the formula in Th 28 with $d\iota(A) = A$, $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. The formula is $X_A(f' \circ \iota) = X_A(f') \circ \iota$, and both sides evaluated at $g \in G$ are equal to $A(L_g^{-1}(f'))$.

⊆ Dual representation: (π, V) regular repr., for $C \in \text{End}(V)$

⊄ $C^T \in \text{End}(V^*)$ denotes dual map. The dual represent.

(π^*, V^*) on V^* is given by $\pi^*(g) := \pi(g^{-1})^T$. As for $d\pi^*$, we have for $\forall C \in \text{End}(V^*)$

$$(f_C \circ \pi^*)(g) = \text{tr}_{V^*}(\pi(g^{-1})^T C) = \text{tr}_V(C^T \pi(g^{-1})) = (f_{C^T} \circ \pi)(g^{-1})$$

Then for $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$X_A(f_C \circ \pi^*)(I) = \left. \frac{d}{dz} \right|_{z=0} (f_{C^T} \circ \pi)((I + zA)^{-1}) = -X_A(f_{C^T} \circ \pi)(I)$$

Therefore, we get

$$\text{tr}_{V^*}(d\pi^*(A)C) = -\text{tr}_V(d\pi(A)C^T) = -\text{tr}_{V^*}(d\pi(A)^T C)$$

\Rightarrow because it holds $\forall C \in \text{End}(V^*)$, we conclude

$$d\pi^*(A) = -(d\pi(A))^T \text{ for } A \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

d/ Direct sum: $(\rho, V), (\sigma, W)$ regular represent. of G , their direct sum $\pi := \rho \oplus \sigma$ on $U := V \oplus W$ is defined by

$$(\rho \oplus \sigma)(g)(v, w) = (\rho(g)v, \sigma(g)w), \quad g \in G, v \in V, w \in W.$$

Then $d\pi(X) = d\rho(X) \oplus d\sigma(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

e/ Tensor product: $(\pi_1, V_1), (\pi_2, V_2)$ regular repr. of G , $\pi := \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$ be their tensor product on $V := V_1 \otimes V_2$. Then

$$d(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(X) = d\pi(X) = d\pi_1(X) \otimes I + I \otimes d\pi_2(X).$$

To prove this: $\text{End}(V_1 \otimes V_2) \cong \text{End}(V_1) \otimes \text{End}(V_2)$, so $d\pi(A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, is determined by the action of the vector field X_A on functions

$$\begin{aligned} (f_{C_1 \otimes C_2} \circ \pi)(g) &= \text{tr}_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(\pi_1(g)C_1 \otimes \pi_2(g)C_2) = \\ &= (f_{C_1} \circ \pi_1)(g) (f_{C_2} \circ \pi_2)(g), \quad C_i \in \text{End}(V_i) \\ &\quad \text{for } i=1,2. \end{aligned}$$

(20) Since X_A is a derivation,

$$X_A (f_{C_1} \otimes f_{C_2} \circ \pi) (g) = X_A \left((f_{C_1} \circ \pi_1)(g) \cdot (f_{C_2} \circ \pi_2)(g) \right) = \\ (X_A (f_{C_1} \circ \pi_1))(g) (f_{C_2} \circ \pi_2)(g) + (f_{C_1} \circ \pi_1)(g) (X_A (f_{C_2} \circ \pi_2))(g),$$

and its evaluation at $g = I$ gives the claim.

f/ Representation induced on $\text{End}(V)$: $G < GL(V)$ lin. alg. grp.,
 (ρ, V) its defining repr., consider the repr. $\sigma := \rho \otimes \rho^*$ on
 $V \otimes V^* \cong \text{End}(V)$. The previous examples give

$$d\sigma(A) = d\rho(A) \otimes I - I \otimes d\rho(A)^T,$$

and $T: V \otimes V^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}(V)$, $T(v \otimes v^*)(u) = v^*(u)v \quad \forall u \in V$,
 translates σ to $(\tau, \text{End}(V))$ by $\tau(g)(Y) = \rho(g)Y\rho(g^{-1})$,
 $Y \in \text{End}(V)$, and $(d\tau, \text{End}(V))$ is given by

$$d\tau(A)(Y) = d\rho(A)Y - Yd\rho(A), \quad A \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

g/ The adjoint repr.: $G < GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ lin. alg. grp., the repr. of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$
 on $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ by $A \mapsto gAg^{-1}$, is regular. The restriction to G
 is regular repr. of G .

Lemma 29: $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $g \in G$. Then $gAg^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Pf: The right regular repr. of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is $(R_g f)(g') = f(g'g)$
 for $g, g' \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $f \in \mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\left((R_g X_A R_g^{-1}) f \right) (g') = \left(X_A R_g^{-1} f \right) (g'g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left((R_g^{-1} f) (g'g(I+tA)) \right) \\ = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} f(g'(I+tgAg^{-1})) = (X_{gAg^{-1}} f)(g').$$

Assuming $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $g \in G$, $f \in I_G$, we have $R_g^{-1} f \in I_G$, so
 $X_A R_g^{-1} f = 0$. By previous calculation, $X_{gAg^{-1}} f = 0$,

(21) which proves $gAg^{-1} \in \mathfrak{g}$. \square

We define $Ad(g)A = gAg^{-1}$, $g \in G$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Then (Ad, ρ) , $Ad(g): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, is called the adjoint representation of G . For $A, B \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$Ad(g)([A, B]) = [Ad(g)(A), Ad(g)(B)], \text{ so that}$$

$Ad(g)$ acts by Lie algebra automorphisms, $Ad: G \rightarrow Aut(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 30: The differential (Ad, ρ) is the representation

$$ad: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow End(\mathfrak{g}), \text{ given by}$$

$$ad(A)(B) = [A, B], \quad A, B \in \mathfrak{g},$$

and $ad(A)$ is a derivation of \mathfrak{g} , $ad(\mathfrak{g}) \subset Der(\mathfrak{g})$.

Pf: This is special case of the point f , Examples. \square

\hookrightarrow The additive Lie group \mathbb{R} and the connected multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \cong \mathbb{R}_+$ of pos. real numbers are isomorphic under the map $x \rightarrow \exp(x)$. In the theory of alg. groups, the additive group \mathbb{C} and the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* are not isomorphic.

Rational representations of \mathbb{C} , exponential, nilpotent and unipotent matrices

We can extend the domain of \exp to \mathbb{C} , to get a bijection we have to restrict to a domain in \mathbb{C} , e.g. $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z-1| < 1\}$. In general, the \exp map on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ maps the space of nilpotent matrices biholomorphically to the space of unipotent matrices.

\mathbb{C} ... additive alg. group, this structure comes from the embedding $\mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\varphi} SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, $z \mapsto \varphi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I + zE_{12}$. The regular functions on \mathbb{C} are the polynomials in z , the Lie algebra of \mathbb{C} is given by E_{12} , $(E_{12})^2 = 0$. Thus $\varphi(z) = \exp(zE_{12})$, and we determine all regular represent. of \mathbb{C} .

Recall: Lie grp vs Lie alg.
 G $\text{Lie}(G)$

$\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ 1-param. subgroup,
 for $G \leq GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ as the expon. map
 $\varphi_A(t) = \exp(tA)$, $A \in \text{Lie}(G) \cong \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{R})$
 $t \in \mathbb{R}$

The same works over \mathbb{C} , if we restrict the domains of holom. map $z \mapsto \varphi(z) = \exp(zA)$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, to the subspace of nilpotent complex matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Def 31: A matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent if $A^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. A linear map $U \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is (called) unipotent if $U - \text{Id}$ is nilpotent.

For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ nilpotent, i.e. $A^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\exp(A) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} A^j = \text{Id} + B, \quad \text{with}$$

$B = A + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} A^{k-1}$ a nilpotent matrix. This follows

from $B = A \left(\text{Id} + \frac{1}{2!} A + \dots + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} A^{k-2} \right)$, such that $AB' = B'A$

and A being nilpotent. B' Hence $\exp(A)$ is unipotent.

Conversely, if $U = \text{Id} + B$ is unipotent, B is nilpotent.

We define $\log U := \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!} B^j$ for $B^k = 0$.

For A nilpotent and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, zA is nilpotent. The function

$z \rightarrow \varphi(z) = \log(\exp(zA))$ is a polynomial in z s.t.

$\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\frac{d\varphi}{dz} \Big|_{z=0} = A$. Hence we have, by the

substitution principle, that exponential function is a bijective polynomial map from the nilpotent elements in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ onto

unipotent elements in $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (with inverse $U \mapsto \log U$),

i.e. $\log(\exp(zA)) = zA$ or $\exp(\log(\text{Id} + A)) = \text{Id} + A$.

Subst. principle: \forall equation involving power series in a complex var. z that holds as an identity of absol. conv. series when $|z| < r$ also holds as an identity of matrix power series in a matrix variable X , and the series converge absolutely in the matrix norm when $\|X\| < r$.

(24)

Lemma 32: (Taylor's formula) Assume $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent, and $f \in \mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$. Then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $(X_A)^k f = 0$,

and
$$f(\exp(A)) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{j!} [(X_A)^j f](I).$$

Pf: We know $\det(\exp(zA)) = 1$, hence $\forall f \in \mathcal{O}(GL(n, \mathbb{C}))$, the function $z \mapsto \varphi(z) = f(\exp(zA))$ is a polynomial. Hence $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $(\frac{d}{dz})^k \varphi = 0$, so it is sufficient to evaluate $\varphi(1)$ by means of the Taylor expansion at point 0: $[(\frac{d}{dz})^j \varphi](0) = (X_A^j f)(I)$. \square

Theorem 33: $G < GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ lin. alg. group, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra.

a/ If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is nilpotent, then $A \in \mathfrak{g} \Leftrightarrow \exp(A) \in G$.

b/ Assume $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ is nilpotent matrix, (ρ, V) a regular representation of G . Then $d\rho(A)$ is a nilpotent transformation on V , and $\rho(\exp(A)) = \exp(d\rho(A))$.

Pf a/ For $f \in I_G$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g}$, $X_A^m f \in I_G \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By Taylor's formula $f(\exp(A)) = 0$, thus $\exp(A) \in G$.

Conversely, if $\exp A \in G$, then the function $z \mapsto \varphi(z) = f(\exp(zA))$ on \mathbb{C} vanishes when $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, so it must vanish for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ since it is a polynomial. Hence $(X_A f)(I) = 0 \forall f \in I_G$, and by the left G -invariance of $X_A \Rightarrow X_A f(\mathfrak{g}) = 0 \forall \mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow A \in \mathfrak{g}$.

b/ Apply Taylor's formula to the fin. dim. space of regular functions $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\rho}$, defined for the regular repr. (ρ, V) by

(25) by $f_B^\rho(g) = \text{tr}_V(\rho(g)B)$, for $B \in \text{End}(V)$. There is a positive integer k s.t.

$$0 = X_A^k f_B^\rho(I) = \text{tr}_V(d\rho(A)^k B) \quad \forall B \in \text{End}(V).$$

By non-degeneracy of tr_V , $d\rho(A)^k = 0$. The Taylor's formula applied to f_B^ρ results in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_V(B\rho(\exp(A))) &= \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{m!} X_A^m f_B^\rho(I) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{m!} \text{tr}_V(d\rho(A)^m B) \\ &= \text{tr}_V(B \exp(d\rho(A))) \quad \forall B \in \text{End}(V). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 34: If (π, V) is a regular representation of the additive group \mathbb{C} . Then there exists a unique nilpotent $A \in \text{End}(V)$ s.t. $\pi(z) = \exp(zA) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Def 35: A liu. alg. group is connected if the ring of regular functions $\mathcal{O}(G)$ has no zero divisors.

(Recall: $a \in R$ is (left) zero divisor if the map $a: R \rightarrow R$ is not injective.)
 $r \mapsto a \cdot r$

Examples:

- \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{C}^* are connected alg. groups, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}[t]$, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^*) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$.
- G, H connected alg. grps, then $G \times H$ is connected as well.
- Assume G is connected liu. alg. group, $\rho: G \rightarrow H$ is a surjective homomorph. of alg. groups. Then $\rho^*: \mathcal{O}(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(G)$ is injective and H is connected.

(26) Theorem 35: Assume that lie. alg. group G is generated by unipotent elements. Then G is connected both as a lie. alg. group and as a Lie group. In particular, the classical lie groups $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $so(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$ are connected for all $n \geq 1$ both as algebraic groups and lie groups.