

# Primary and Cyclic Decomposition Theorems – Part II (Proof)

Alexander “Olin” Slávik

MFF UK

April 14, 2013 / Spring School of Algebra

# Table of Contents

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

**1** Statement of the theorem

**2** Handling the general case

**3** Power of irreducible polynomial case

**4** Uniqueness

# Statement of the theorem

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

## Theorem (Cyclic Decomposition Theorem, CDT)

Let  $T$  be a linear operator on the finite dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$ . Then there are vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r \in V$  with  $T$ -annihilators  $f_j = f_{v_j}$  so that

- 1  $V = Z(v_1) \oplus Z(v_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus Z(v_r)$ ,
- 2  $f_{j+1} \mid f_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ ,
- 3  $v_r \neq 0$ .

Furthermore, the listed properties uniquely determine  $r$  and the  $T$ -annihilators.

# Table of Contents

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

- 1 Statement of the theorem
- 2 Handling the general case
- 3 Power of irreducible polynomial case
- 4 Uniqueness

# Handling the general case

Suppose that the theorem holds when  $m_T = p^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  irreducible. We want to handle the case when  $m_T = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_s^{k_s}$ , where all the  $p_i$ s are distinct irreducible.

Recall the Primary decomposition theorem:

## Theorem (Primary Decomposition Theorem)

*Suppose that minimal polynomial  $m_T$  of a linear operator  $T$  equals  $p_1^{k_1} \cdot p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_s^{k_s}$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s$  are distinct irreducible polynomials. Put  $V_i = \text{Ker } p_i(T)^{k_i}$ . Then*

- 1 each  $V_i$  is  $T$ -invariant,
- 2 for  $T_i = T|_{V_i}$  the minimal polynomial  $m_{T_i}$  equals  $p_i^{k_i}$ ,
- 3  $V = \bigoplus_1^s V_i$ .

# Decomposition

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

The subspaces  $V_i$  obtained by applying the Primary decomposition theorem are  $T$ -invariant, thus CDT may be applied on each of them independently and for each  $i \leq s$  we have

$$V_i = Z(v_{i1}) \oplus Z(v_{i2}) \oplus \cdots \oplus Z(v_{ir_i})$$

for vectors  $v_{ij} \in V_i$  and the annihilator  $f_{ij}$  of each  $v_{ij}$  is  $p_i^{k_{ij}}$ ,  $k_{i1} \geq k_{i2} \geq \cdots \geq k_{ir_i}$ .

Note: each  $V_i$  is a sum of different number ( $r_i$ ) of cyclic subspaces, but by adding spaces  $Z(0)$  if necessary we may assume that  $r_i = r \in \mathbb{N}$ .

# Composing the space back

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

Let  $v_j = v_{1j} + v_{2j} + \cdots + v_{sj}$  and recall the following Lemma:

## Lemma

*If  $u, v \in V$  have relatively prime  $T$ -annihilators  $f_u, f_v$ , then  $Z(u + v) = Z(u) \oplus Z(v)$  and  $f_{u+v} = f_u f_v$ .*

Hence we have  $Z(v_j) = \bigoplus_{i \leq s} Z(v_{ij})$  and  $f_{v_j} = \prod_{i \leq s} p_i^{k_{ij}}$ .  
Finally,

$$V = \bigoplus_{i \leq s} V_i = \bigoplus_{i \leq s} \bigoplus_{j \leq r} Z(v_{ij}) = \bigoplus_{j \leq r} \bigoplus_{i \leq s} Z(v_{ij}) = \bigoplus_{j \leq r} Z(v_j)$$

and  $f_{j+1} \mid f_j$ .

# Table of Contents

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

- 1 Statement of the theorem
- 2 Handling the general case
- 3 Power of irreducible polynomial case
- 4 Uniqueness

# Power of irreducible polynomial case

Now the hard part: proof when  $m_T = p^k$ ,  $p$  being irreducible.

Denote  $d = \deg p$ .

Observe that we do not have to take care about the condition (2) in CDT.

## Strategy

We proceed by induction on  $\dim V$ . The inductive step consists of the following:

- Firstly, construct a  $T$ -invariant subspace  $V_1 \subseteq V$  of codimension  $d$  containing  $\text{Im } p(T)$ .
- By the induction hypothesis,  $V_1$  can be decomposed in the CDT-fashion.
- Repair the complement of  $V_1$  so that it fits into the overall decomposition.

# Construction of $V_1$

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

Denote  $V^*$  the dual of  $V$  and  $T^T$  the transpose of  $T$ . As  $m_T = m_{T^T} = p^k$ ,  $p(T^T)$  has to be singular.

## Observation

If  $0 \neq v^* \in \text{Ker } p(T^T)$ , then  $T^T$ -annihilator of  $v^*$  is  $p$ , therefore  $\dim Z(v^*) = d$ .

We let

$$V_1 = Z(v^*)^\perp = \{v \in V \mid w^*(v) = 0 \text{ for all } w^* \in Z(v^*)\}.$$

# Properties of $V_1$

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

## Observation

$Z(v^*) \subseteq \text{Ker } p(T^T)$ , therefore  
 $\text{Im } p(T) = (\text{Ker } p(T^T))^\perp \subseteq Z(v^*)^\perp = V_1$

## Observation

$Z(v^*)$  is  $T^T$ -invariant, hence  $V_1$  is  $T$ -invariant.

## Observation

Denote  $Y(v) = \langle v, Tv, \dots, T^{d-1}v \rangle$ . Then  $Y(v) \cap V_1 = 0$ , if  $v \notin V_1$ .

## Proof.

$J = \{f \in \mathbb{F}[x] \mid f(T)v \in V_1\}$  is an ideal containing  $m_T$ , therefore its generator is a power of  $p$ . Thus if  $\deg f < d$ , then  $f(T)v \in V_1$  only if  $f = 0$ . □

# Properties of $V_1$ contd.

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

As  $\dim Y(v) = d$  for any  $v \neq 0$ , we have  $V = V_1 \oplus Y(v)$ .

## Applying the induction hypothesis

Put  $T_1 = T|_{V_1}$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is a decomposition

$$V_1 = \bigoplus_{j \leq r} Z(v_j)$$

with  $v_j \in V_1$ . Note that by the  $T$ -invariance of  $V_1$ ,  
 $Z(v_j) = Z(v_j, T) = Z(v_j, T_1)$ .

We may further assume that  $p^{k_j}$  is the  $T$ -annihilator of  $v_j$  and  
 $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_r$ .

# Picking suitable $v$ for $Y(v)$

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

Pick  $v \notin V_1$ . Since  $p(T)v \in \text{Im } p(T) \subseteq V_1$ , there are polynomials  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that

$$p(T)v = \sum_{i \leq r} f_i(T)v_i.$$

If we write  $f_j = g_j p + h_j$ ,  $\deg h_j < d$  and let  $v' = v - \sum_{j \leq r} g_j(T)v_j$ , then  $v' \notin V_1$  as well and

$$p(T)v' = \sum_{i \leq r} h_i(T)v_i.$$

## Observation

If  $p(T)v' = 0$ , then  $Y(v') = Z(v')$ , thus  $V = \bigoplus_{j \leq r} Z(v_j) \oplus Z(v')$  and we are done.

# What if $p(T)v' \neq 0$ ?

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

If  $p(T)v' = \sum_{i \leq r} h_j(T)v_j \neq 0$ , then there is a smallest  $c \leq r$  such that  $h_c(T)v_c \neq 0$ .

Denote  $U = \bigoplus_{j=c+1}^r Z(v_j)$  and observe that  $p(T)v' \in Z(v_c) \oplus U$ .

## Further plans

Our goal is to deduce  $Z(p(T)v') \oplus U = Z(v_c) \oplus U$ ; if that were true, then by applying the Lemma 2 we would obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_1 \oplus Y(v') = \bigoplus_{j \leq c-1} Z(v_j) \oplus U \oplus Z(v_c) \oplus Y(v') = \\ &= \bigoplus_{j \leq c-1} Z(v_j) \oplus U \oplus Z(p(T)v') \oplus Y(v') = \bigoplus_{j \leq c-1} Z(v_j) \oplus U \oplus Z(v'), \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired decomposition.

# Lemma for repairing the decomposition

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

## Lemma (2)

*Let  $p$  be an irreducible factor of  $f_v$  of degree  $d$ . Then  $\{v, Tv, \dots, T^{d-1}v\}$  is a linearly independent set, and if  $Y(v) = \langle v, Tv, \dots, T^{d-1}v \rangle$ , then  $Z(v) = Y(v) \oplus Z(p(T)v)$ .*

The setting in the previous frame is  $v = v'$ ,  $f_v =$  some power of  $p$ .

# Facts about $Z(p(T)v')$

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

## Observation

$$Z(p(T)v') \cap U = 0.$$

## Proof.

If  $f(T)p(T)v' \in U$ , then  $f(T)h_c(T)v_c = 0$ , which implies  $p^{k_c} \mid fh_c$ . As  $\deg h_c < d$ , we infer  $p^{k_c} \mid f$ , thus  $f(T)v_j = 0$  for  $c \geq j \geq r$  and  $f(T)p(T)v' = 0$ .  $\square$

Thus we have a direct sum  $Z(p(T)v') \oplus U$ .

# Facts about $Z(p(T)v')$ contd.

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

## Observation

$$Z(p(T)v') \oplus U = Z(h_c(T)v_c) \oplus U.$$

## Proof.

The  $T$ -invariance of  $Z(h_j(T)v_j)$  implies

$$Z(p(T)v') \oplus U \subseteq Z(h_c(T)v_c) \oplus U$$

(since  $p(T)v' \in Z(h_c(T)v_c) \oplus U$ ).

On the other hand,

$h_c(T)v_c = p(T)v' - \sum_{j=k+1}^r h_j(T)v_j \in Z(p(T)v') \oplus U$ , and  
the  $T$ -invariance of the subspaces provides the reverse  
inclusion. □

# Final step

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

## Observation

$$Z(h_c(T)v_c) = Z(v_c).$$

## Proof.

Clearly  $Z(h_c(T)v_c) \subseteq Z(v_c)$ . If  $f$  is the  $T$ -annihilator of  $h_c(T)v_c$ , then  $p^{k_c} \mid fh_c$ . As (again)  $\deg h_c < d$ , we have  $p^{k_c} \mid f$ , thus

$$\dim Z(h_c(T)v_c) = \deg f \geq \deg p^{k_c} = \dim Z(v_c),$$

from which we infer the equality of the two spaces.  $\square$

To sum up, we have

$$Z(p(T)v') \oplus U = Z(h_c(T)v_c) \oplus U = Z(v_c) \oplus U \text{ as desired.}$$

# Table of Contents

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

- 1 Statement of the theorem
- 2 Handling the general case
- 3 Power of irreducible polynomial case
- 4 Uniqueness**

# Uniqueness

Primary and  
Cyclic Decom-  
position  
Theorems –  
Part II  
(Proof)

Alexander  
"Olin" Slávik

Statement of  
the theorem

Handling the  
general case

Power of  
irreducible  
polynomial  
case

Uniqueness

Suppose that there are two sets of vectors,  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r$ ,  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s$ , with  $T$ -annihilators  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r$ ,  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s$  respectively.

Firstly note that  $f_1 = g_1 = m_T$ . To see how the induction proceeds, observe that from the two decompositions of  $V$  we obtain two decompositions of  $\text{Im } f_2(T)$ :

$$\text{Im } f_2(T) = Z(f_2(T)v_1) \quad (f_2 \mid f_i \text{ for } i \geq 2),$$

$$\text{Im } f_2(T) = Z(f_2(T)w_1) \oplus Z(f_2(T)w_2) \oplus \cdots \oplus Z(f_2(T)w_s).$$

As  $v_1$  and  $w_1$  share the same  $T$ -annihilator,  $Z(f_2(T)v_1) = Z(f_2(T)w_1)$ , thus  $Z(f_2(T)w_i) = 0$  for  $i \geq 2$ . We conclude that  $f_2(T)w_2 = 0$  and  $g_2 \mid f_2$ . By reversing,  $g_2 = f_2$ .