Let \mathbb{A} be a sufficiently smooth tensor field in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ be an arbitrary, but fixed vector field. Then the tensor rot \mathbb{A} that satisfies

$$(\operatorname{rot} \mathbb{A})^{\top} \boldsymbol{v} = \operatorname{rot} (\mathbb{A}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}) \tag{1}$$

for all v is called the curl of the tensor field \mathbb{A} . If we want to work with components of rot \mathbb{A} , then it is easy to see that (1) implies in Cartesian coordinate system

$$[\operatorname{rot} A]_{ij} = \epsilon_j^{\ kl} \frac{\partial A_{il}}{\partial x_k}.$$
 (2)

1. Show that the following identities hold

$$rot (\nabla u) = 0,$$
$$div (rot A) = 0$$

for any smooth vector field u and tensor field \mathbb{A} .

Let us now try to answer the following question. What is the condition that guarantees that a given tensor field ε is generated as a symmetric part of a gradient of a vector field? That is whether there exists a vector field U such that

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \boldsymbol{U} + (\nabla \boldsymbol{U})^{\top} \right).$$

Recall that we are already able to answer the question whether a given tensor field \mathbb{F} is generated as a gradient of some vector function. If the domain is simply connected, the necessary and sufficient condition reads

$$\operatorname{rot} \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{0}.$$

Show that in the present case, the necessary and sufficient condition for ε being generated as a symmetric part of the gradient of a vector field reads

$$\operatorname{rot}\left(\left(\operatorname{rot}\varepsilon\right)^{\top}\right) = 0. \tag{3}$$

(We again assume that the domain of interest is simply connected.) You can proceed as follows.

1. (Necessary condition) Assume that there exists a vector field U such that $\nabla U = \varepsilon + \omega$, where ε is the symmetric part of the gradient and ω is the skew symmetric part of the gradient. Show that in such a case we have

$$\operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla \left(\operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{U} \right) \right)^{\top}.$$

and condition (3) follows immediately.

2. (Sufficient condition) Fulfillment of (3) and the fact that the domain is simply connected implies that there exists a vector field \boldsymbol{a} such that $(\operatorname{rot} \varepsilon)^{\top} = \nabla \boldsymbol{a}$. Let $\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}$ denotes the skew-symmetric matrix associated to vector \boldsymbol{a} . (Identity $\mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}} \boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{w}$ holds for any \boldsymbol{w} .) Show that

$$\operatorname{rot} \mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}} = (\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{a}) \mathbb{I} - (\nabla \boldsymbol{a})^{\top}$$
(4a)

and that

$$\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{a} = 0. \tag{4b}$$

Now construct the tensor field g as

$$\mathbb{g} =_{\operatorname{def}} \mathbb{e} + \mathbb{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}},$$

and show that this tensor field has a potential, that is there exists a vector field U such that $\nabla U = \varepsilon + \mathbb{A}_a$ which completes the proof. (You may find formulae (4) useful in the course of the proof.)

3. (You do not need to answer this question.) Given a tensor field ε that satisfies the compatibility condition rot $\left((\operatorname{rot} \varepsilon)^{\top}\right) = 0$ in a simply connected domain, is it possible to uniquely determine U such that $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla U + (\nabla U)^{\top}\right)$? If not, is it possible to fully characterize the arising ambiguity in the specification of U? (In other words, is it possible to say that two different U generating the same ε differ at most by a certain class of motions?)