Tensor products

A brief summary of the theory Assume V,W are vector spaces over \mathbb{F} . Their tensor product $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ is an \mathbb{F} -vector space together with an \mathbb{F} -bilinear map $b: V \times W \to V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ such that for every \mathbb{F} -space X and every \mathbb{F} -bilinear map $b': V \times W \to X$ there exists unique \mathbb{F} -linear map $c: V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \to X$ such that b' = cb. The value of b(v, w) is denoted by $v \otimes w$.

The standard argument gives that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ is essentially unique. It can be constructed from bases: If B_V is a basis of V and B_W is a basis of W, define $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ as a vector space with basis $B_V \times B_W$. The map b is then defined by

$$b(\sum_{b \in B_{V}} c_{b}b, \sum_{b' \in B_{W}} d_{b'}b') := \sum_{b \in B_{V}, b' \in B_{W}} c_{b}d_{b'}(b, b').$$

Working with particular realization of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ can have more disadvantages than advantages. Usually we think about $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ as the most general \mathbb{F} -vector space with the following properties:

- a) every element of $V \otimes W$ is a sum (or a linear combination) of elements from the set $\{v \otimes w \mid v \in V, w \in W\}$
- b) if $v_1, v_2 \in V$, $w \in W$, then $(v_1 + v_2) \otimes w = v_1 \otimes w + v_2 \otimes w$
- c) if $v \in V$, $w_1, w_2 \in W$, then $v \otimes (w_1 + w_2) = v \otimes w_1 + v \otimes w_2$
- d) if $v \in V, w \in W, t \in \mathbb{F}$, then $(tv) \otimes w = t(v \otimes w) = v \otimes (tw)$.

Assume V_1, V_2, W_1, W_2 are \mathbb{F} -spaces and $\alpha \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_1, W_1)$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_2, W_2)$ are given. If $b_1 \colon V_1 \times W_1 \to V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W_1$ and $b_2 \colon V_2 \times W_2 \to V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W_2$ are corresponding tensor products, then the unique $c \colon V_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W_1 \to V_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W_2$ such that $b_2(\alpha \times \beta) = cb_1$ is denoted by $c =: \alpha \otimes \beta$. $\alpha \otimes \beta$ is characterized by $(\alpha \otimes \beta)(v_1 \otimes w_1) = \alpha(v_1) \otimes \beta(w_1)$.

1. Assume G is a finite group, $\varphi: G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V)$, $\psi: G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)$ are representations of G over \mathbb{F} . We can define $\varphi \otimes \psi: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W)$ by the obvious formula $\varphi \otimes \psi: g \mapsto \varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)$. Verify that $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is indeed a representation of G and prove that $\chi_{\varphi \otimes \psi} = \chi_{\varphi}.\chi_{\psi}$ provided φ and ψ are representations of finite degree.

Solution: First of all we should check that $\varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)$ is an automorphism of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ for every $g \in G$ (actually tensor product of automorphisms is an automorphism). Note that $[(\varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)) \circ (\varphi(h) \otimes \psi(h))](v \otimes w) = [\varphi(g) \circ \varphi(h)] \otimes [\psi(g) \circ \psi(h)](v \otimes w) = \varphi(gh)(v) \otimes \psi(gh)(w) = [\varphi(gh) \otimes \psi(gh)](v \otimes w)$. Since $\{v \otimes w \mid v \in V, w \in W\}$ generate $V \otimes W$, $(\varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)) \circ (\varphi(h) \otimes \psi(h)) = \varphi(gh) \otimes \psi(gh)$. A similar computation shows that $\varphi(1_G) \otimes \psi(1_H) = \mathrm{id}_{V \otimes W}$. Then $\varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)$ is an automorphism of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ with inverse $\varphi(g^{-1}) \otimes \psi(g^{-1})$.

So $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is a representation of G. To compute its character we need some basis of $V \otimes W$. Let B be a basis of V, C be a basis of W. Then $D := \{b \otimes c \mid A \}$

 $b \in B, c \in C$ } is a basis of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$. Let $g \in G$. Write $[\varphi(g)](b) = \sum_{b' \in B} s_{b'}b'$ $[\psi(g)](c) = \sum_{c' \in C} t_{c'}c'$. Then $[\varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)] = \sum_{b' \otimes c' \in D} s_{b'}t_{c'}b' \otimes c'$. Therefore the diagonal entry of the matrix $[\varphi(g) \otimes \psi(g)]_D$ in the position given by $b \otimes c$ is $s_b t_c$. Therefore if $[\varphi(g)]_B = (s_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq |B|}$ and $[\psi(g)]_C = (t_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq |C|}$, then

$$\chi_{\varphi}(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{|B|} s_{i,i}, \chi_{\psi}(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{|C|} t_{j,j},$$

$$\chi_{\varphi \otimes \psi}(g) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq |B|, 1 \leq j \leq |C|} s_{i,i} t_{j,j} = \chi_{\varphi}(g).\chi_{\psi}(g)$$

Therefore $\chi_{\varphi \otimes \psi} = \chi_{\varphi} \cdot \chi_{\psi}$.

2. Assume that G and H are groups $\varphi \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V) \ \psi \colon H \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(W)$ their representations over \mathbb{F} . We can use tensor product to define a representation $\varphi \otimes \psi \colon G \times H \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W)$ by

$$(g,h)\mapsto \varphi(g)\otimes\psi(h)$$
.

Prove that

- a) If φ or ψ is not irreducible, then $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is not irreducible.
- b) Assume that G, H are finite groups, $\varphi_1 \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_1)$, $\varphi_2 \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_2)$, $\psi_1 \colon H \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1)$ $\psi_2 \colon H \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_2)$ their irreducible representations over \mathbb{F} such that $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2$ are irreducible representations of $G \times H$ over \mathbb{F} (we will discuss on this later). Assume \mathbb{F} algebraically closed, char \mathbb{F} does not divide |G||H|. Prove that $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2$ are equivalent representations of $G \times H$ if and only if φ_1 and φ_2 are equivalent representations of H.
- c) Consider two finite groups G, H over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} of characteristic 0. Assume that $\varphi_1 \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_1), \dots, \varphi_k \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_k)$ is the list of all different irreducible representations over \mathbb{F} up to equivalence and $\psi_1 \colon H \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1), \dots, \psi_l \colon H \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_l)$ is the list of all different irreducible representations over \mathbb{F} up to equivalence. Show that $\varphi_i \otimes \psi_j, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l$ is a list of all different irreducible representations of $G \times H$ over \mathbb{F} up to equivalence.

Solution: a) Assume that $0 \neq V' \subsetneq V$ is a φ -invariant subspace of V. Then the subspace $U \leq V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ generated by $\{v \otimes w \mid v \in V', w \in W\}$ is easily checked to be $\varphi \otimes \psi$ -invariant subspace of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$. To see that $U \neq V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ we can check $f \otimes g$ where $f \colon V \to \mathbb{F}$ is a nonzero linear form satisfying f(V') = 0, $g \colon W \to \mathbb{F}$ is a nonzero linear form. Then $f \otimes g \colon V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W \to \mathbb{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{F} \simeq \mathbb{F}$ is a nonzero linear form whose kernel contains U. Similarly, we check $0 \neq U$ (it is also possible to use bases of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$).

b) It is a general fact that if $\varphi_1 \simeq \varphi_2$ and $\psi_1 \simeq \psi_2$, then $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1 \simeq \varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2$ (here \simeq means equivalence of representations). Indeed, assume $\theta_1 \in$

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_1,V_2)$ is an isomorphism such that $\theta_1\varphi_1(g)=\varphi_2(g)\theta_1$ for every $g\in G$. Similarly, let $\theta_2\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W_1,W_2)$ be an isomorphism such that $\theta_2\psi_1(h)=\psi_2(h)\theta_2$ for every $h\in H$. Then $\theta:=\theta_1\otimes\theta_2\in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(V_1\otimes_{\mathbb{F}}W_1,V_2\otimes_{\mathbb{F}}W_2)$ is an isomorphism such that

$$\theta[(\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1)(q,h)] = [(\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2)(q,h)]\theta, (q,h) \in G \times H$$

For the converse assume for example that φ_1 and φ_2 are not equivalent. The assumptions of the exercise imply that $\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\varphi_1}(g) \chi_{\varphi_2}(g^{-1}) = 0$. Now compute

$$\frac{1}{|G \times H|} \sum_{(g,h) \in G \times H} \chi_{\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1}((g,h)) \chi_{\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2}((g^{-1},h^{-1})) =$$

$$\frac{1}{|G||H|} \sum_{g \in G,h \in H} \chi_{\varphi_1}(g) \chi_{\psi_1}(h) \chi_{\varphi_2}(g^{-1}) \chi_{\psi_2}(h^{-1}) =$$

$$\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{h \in H} \chi_{\psi_1}(h) \chi_{\psi_2}(h^{-1}) \frac{1}{|G|} (\sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\varphi_1}(g) \chi_{\varphi_2}(g^{-1})) = 0.$$

The orthogonality relations (note we assume $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2$ irreducible) imply that $\varphi_1 \otimes \psi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \otimes \psi_2$ are not equivalent.

- c) Observe that there are k conjugacy classes in G and l conjugacy classes in H. Therefore there are kl conjugacy classes in $G \times H$. The theory imply that it is sufficient to check
 - (i) $\varphi_i \otimes \psi_j$ is irreducible for every $1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l$
 - (ii) If $\varphi_i \otimes \psi_j$ and $\varphi_{i'} \otimes \psi_{j'}$ are equivalent, for some $1 \leq i, i' \leq k, 1 \leq j, j' \leq l$, then i = i' and j = j'.
- (i) Since φ_i , ψ_j are irreducible, we have $\sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\varphi_i}(g) \chi_{\varphi_i}(g^{-1}) = |G|$ and $\sum_{h \in H} \chi_{\psi_j}(h) \chi_{\psi_j}(h^{-1}) = |H|$. Then

$$\sum_{(g,h)\in G\times H}\chi_{\varphi_i\otimes\psi_j}(g,h)\chi_{\varphi_i\otimes\psi_j}(g^{-1},h^{-1})=\sum_{g\in G,h\in H}\chi_{\varphi_i}(g)\chi_{\psi_j}(h)\chi_{\varphi_i}(g^{-1})\chi_{\psi_j}(h^{-1})=$$

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\varphi_i}(g) \chi_{\varphi_i}(g^{-1}) \sum_{h \in H} \chi_{\psi_j}(h) \chi_{\psi_j}(h^{-1}) = |H| \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{\varphi_i}(g) \chi_{\varphi_i}(g^{-1}) = |G||H|$$

From the lecture we know that this imply $\varphi_i \otimes \psi_j$ is irreducible.

(ii) was already proved in part b)

Remark: Note that once we know all irreducible representations of finite cyclic groups over \mathbb{C} , we can use tensor products in the sense of Exercise 2. to determine all irreducible complex representations of any finite commutative group.