

Group representations 1

Complex representations of symmetric groups, part 1

May 31, 2021

Partitions

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A *partition* of n is a tuple $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy

1. $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_\ell \geq 1$
2. $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n$

We write $\lambda \vdash n$.

Remark

Note there is a bijection between the set of all conjugacy classes of S_n and the set of all partitions of n . In this correspondence $\lambda \vdash n$ corresponds to the of permutations which are products of ℓ independent cycles of lengths $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell$.

For example, if $n = 3$ this bijection is

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3) & \{(1, 2, 3), (1, 3, 2)\} \\ (2, 1) & \{(1, 2)(3), (1, 3)(2), (2, 3)(1)\} \\ (1, 1, 1) & \{\text{id}\} = \{(1)(2)(3)\} \end{array}$$

An overview

For each $\lambda \vdash n$ we define $\psi^\lambda \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(S_n)$ and show that this representation is irreducible, and whenever $\lambda \neq \mu \vdash n$ then ψ^λ and ψ^μ are not equivalent.

The general theory of representations of finite groups over \mathbb{C} then implies that for every irreducible representation ψ of S_n over \mathbb{C} there exists unique $\lambda \vdash n$ such that ψ and ψ^λ are equivalent.

Young diagrams (also Young frames)

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$, $\lambda \vdash n$.

The Young diagram of λ consists of n boxes placed into ℓ rows in such a way that the i -th row contains exactly λ_i boxes ($i = 1, \dots, \ell$).

Dominance order

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ we say that λ *dominates* μ (and write $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$ or $\mu \trianglelefteq \lambda$) if

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_i \geq \mu_1 + \dots + \mu_i$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda_t := 0$ for every $t > \ell$ and $\mu_s := 0$ for every $s > m$.

Remark

It is easy to see that \trianglerighteq is a partial order on the set of all partitions of n . Note that (n) is the greatest element and $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ is the least element of this poset.

Also note that the dominance order is not linear - for $n = 7$, the partitions $(3, 3, 1)$ and $(4, 1, 1, 1)$ are not comparable in \trianglerighteq .

Young tableau

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \vdash n$. A λ -tableau (a Young tableau of shape λ) is a Young diagram with numbers $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ placed into the boxes (each number to one box).

Remark

For a given shape $\lambda \vdash n$ there are $n!$ different λ -tableaux.

The dominance lemma

The following result will be crucial.

Lemma

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. Suppose there are tableaux t^λ and s^μ satisfying

- 1. t^λ is a λ -tableau and s^μ is a μ -tableau*
- 2. every pair of different numbers located in the same row of s^μ is not located in the same column of t^λ .*

Then $\lambda \trianglerighteq \mu$.

The action of S_n on the set of all λ -tableaux

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \vdash n$. Let X^λ be the set of all λ -tableaux. The group S_n has a natural action on X^λ : If $\pi \in S_n$ and $t^\lambda \in X^\lambda$, then $\pi * t^\lambda$ is the tableau obtained from t^λ by applying π to each number in t^λ

Example: $n = 4$, $\lambda = (2, 2)$, $t^\lambda =$

1	2
3	4

.

For $\pi \in S_4$ is $\pi * t^\lambda =$

$\pi(1)$	$\pi(2)$
$\pi(3)$	$\pi(4)$

The column stabilizer

Definition

(Column stabilizer) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \vdash n$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$, $t \in X^\lambda$. The column stabilizer of t , denoted by C_t , is the set of all permutations of S_n permuting only numbers within the same column of t . More precisely,

$C_t = \{\pi \in S_n \mid \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \text{ } i \text{ and } \pi(i) \text{ are placed in the same column of } t\}$.

Remark

Note that if c_i is the length of the i – th column of the Young diagram of λ (in particular, $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_{\lambda_1}$), then

$|C_t| = c_1! c_2! \cdots c_{\lambda_1}!$.

Some remarks on the column stabilizer

Remark

*Consider the relation \sim on X^λ given by $s, t \in X^\lambda$ satisfy $s \sim t$ if and only if for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ the i -th column of s contains the same set of numbers as the i -th column of t . Then \sim is obviously an equivalence on X^λ and the action of S_n on X^λ can be transferred to the action of S_n on $X^\lambda / \sim := \{[t]_\sim \mid t \in X^\lambda\}$ by $\pi * [t]_\sim = [\pi * t]_\sim$. Then C_t is actually the stabilizer of $[t]_\sim$ in this action, that is*

$$C_t = \{\pi \in S_n \mid \pi * [t]_\sim = [t]_\sim\}$$

Tabloid

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \vdash n$ and let $s, t \in X^\lambda$. We say that s and t are equivalent λ -tableaux ($s \sim t$), if for each $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ the set of numbers contained in the i -th row of s is the same as the set of numbers in the i -th row of t .

Note that \sim is an equivalence on X^λ .

Definition

An equivalence class of a λ -tableau is called a λ -tabloid. If $t \in X^\lambda$, the equivalence class

$$[t] := \{s \in X^\lambda \mid s \sim t\}$$

is called the *tabloid* of t . The set of all λ -tabloids is denoted by T^λ , i. e., $T^\lambda := X^\lambda / \sim$.

The action of S_n on T^λ

Note that if $t_1, t_2 \in X^\lambda$ and $\pi \in S_n$, then

$$t_1 \sim t_2 \Leftrightarrow \pi * t_1 \sim \pi * t_2$$

It follows that $\pi * [t] := [\pi * t], \pi \in S_n, [t] \in T^\lambda$ is a correctly defined map from $S_n \times T^\lambda \rightarrow T^\lambda$. Obviously

$$\text{id} * [t] = [t], \pi_1 * (\pi_2 * [t]) = (\pi_1 \pi_2) * [t] (= [\pi_1 * (\pi_2 * t)])$$

for every $[t] \in T^\lambda$ and $\pi_1, \pi_2 \in S_n$. Thus we get natural action of S_n on the set of all λ -tabloids.

Representation φ^λ

Since S_n acts on T^λ we can consider the representation of S_n induced by this action.

Let us briefly recall its construction: Let M^λ be a vector space over \mathbb{C} with basis T^λ , $\varphi^\lambda: S_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\lambda)$ be the representation of S_n over \mathbb{C} given by $\varphi^\lambda(\pi) := \varphi_\pi^\lambda$, where

$$\varphi_\pi^\lambda([t]) := \pi * [t] = [\pi * t].$$

Note that if $\lambda = (n)$, then $|T^\lambda| = 1$ and the φ^λ is the trivial representation of S_n over \mathbb{C} .

For other partitions of n the space M^λ has dimension ≥ 2 and contains a one dimensional φ^λ -invariant subspace $\langle \sum_{[t] \in T^\lambda} [t] \rangle$. The irreducible representations we are searching for are given by some φ^λ -invariant subspaces of M^λ .

Polytabloid

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \vdash n$ and $t \in X^\lambda$. The element

$$e_t = \sum_{\pi \in C_t} \text{sgn}(\pi) [\pi * t] \in M^\lambda$$

is called the *polytabloid associated to t* .

Remark

Important: Note that the polytabloid is a linear combination of tabloids. But the parametr t is a tableau. So we can have tableaux $s, t \in X^\lambda$ such that $s \sim t$ and $e_s \neq e_t$.

Action of φ^λ on polytabloids

Proposition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \vdash n$, $t \in X^\lambda$. For every $\sigma \in S_n$ is

$$\varphi_\sigma^\lambda(e_t) = e_{\sigma * t}$$

Proof.

Recall that if G is a group acting on the set X , the stabilizer of x is defined as $C_x := \{g \in G \mid g * x = x\}$. Then for every $x \in X$ and $g \in G$ we have $C_{g*x} = gC_xg^{-1}$.

Apply this rule on the action of S_n on X^λ/λ - the column stabilizer $C_{\sigma*t}$ is the stabilizer of $\sigma * [t]_\lambda$ in this action. Therefore

$$C_{\sigma*t} = \sigma C_t \sigma^{-1}.$$

The rest of the proof is just a standard computation



some standard computation

$$\varphi_{\sigma}^{\lambda}(e_t) = \varphi_{\sigma}^{\lambda}\left(\sum_{\pi \in C_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_{\pi}^{\lambda}([t])\right) = \sum_{\pi \in C_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_{\sigma\pi}^{\lambda}([t]) =$$

$$\sum_{\pi \in C_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_{\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}}^{\lambda}[\sigma * t] = \sum_{\pi \in C_t} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}) \varphi_{\sigma\pi\sigma^{-1}}^{\lambda}[\sigma * t] =$$

$$\sum_{\pi' \in \sigma C_t \sigma^{-1}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi') \varphi_{\pi'}^{\lambda}([\sigma * t]) = e_{\sigma * t}.$$

Specht's module

Definition

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \vdash n$. Let S^λ be the subspace of M^λ given by all polytabloids related to tableaux of shape λ . That is,

$$S^\lambda := \langle e_t \mid t \in X^\lambda \rangle$$

The proposition implies that S^λ is a φ^λ -invariant subspace of M^λ , therefore there exists a representation $\psi^\lambda: S_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^\lambda)$ given by $\psi^\lambda := \varphi_{S^\lambda}^\lambda$.

Definition

The representation $\psi^\lambda: S_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(S^\lambda)$ is called the Specht's representation of S_n associated to λ .

So finally we defined representations ψ^λ . It remains to show that every Specht's representation is irreducible and $\psi^\lambda \not\cong \psi^\mu$ if λ and μ are different partitions of n .

How the Dominance lemma is applied

Let μ, λ be partitions of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in X^\lambda$. We define an operator $A_t \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\mu)$ by $A_t := \sum_{\pi \in C_t} \text{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_\pi^\mu$.

Note that if $\lambda = \mu$, then $A_t([t]) = e_t$, the polytabloid associated to t .

Lemma

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$, $t^\lambda \in X^\lambda$, $s^\mu \in X^\mu$. Assume $A_{t^\lambda}([s^\mu]) \neq 0$.

Then $\lambda \supseteq \mu$.

Moreover, if $\lambda = \mu$ and $A_{t^\lambda}([s^\mu]) \neq 0$, then $A_{t^\lambda}([s^\mu]) = \pm e_{t^\lambda}$.

proof of the lemma part 1:

We show that if $A_{t^\lambda}([s^\mu]) \neq 0$, then the assumption of the dominance lemma is satisfied for t^λ and s^μ .

If it not the case there are $i \neq j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that i, j are located in the same row of s^μ and also in the same column of t^λ .

The second condition says that $H := \{\text{id}, (i, j)\} \subseteq C_{t^\lambda}$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k \in C_{t^\lambda}$ be a transversal of left cosets of H in C_{t^λ} , that is

$$C_{t^\lambda} = \dot{\cup}_{r=1}^k \sigma_r H.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } A_{t^\lambda}([s^\mu]) &= \sum_{\pi \in C_{t^\lambda}} \text{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_\pi^\mu([s^\mu]) = \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k \text{sgn}(\sigma_r) [\sigma_r * s^\mu] + \text{sgn}(\sigma_r(i, j)) [\sigma_r * ((i, j) * s^\mu)] \end{aligned}$$

Since i, j are located in the same row of s^μ , we get

$$(i, j) * [s^\mu] = [s^\mu]. \text{ Therefore } A_{t^\lambda}([s^\mu]) = 0.$$

The dominance lemma implies that $\lambda \supseteq \mu$.

proof of the lemma, part 2

The proof of the dominance lemma in the case $\lambda = \mu$ shows that there exists a λ -tableau u^λ such that

- ▶ There exists $\sigma \in C_{t^\lambda}$ such that $\sigma * [t^\lambda] = [u^\lambda]$
- ▶ $s^\lambda \sim u^\lambda$ (recall the assumption $\lambda = \mu$)

Now compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t^\lambda}[s^\mu] &= \sum_{\pi \in C_{t^\lambda}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_\pi^\lambda([s^\mu]) = \sum_{\pi \in C_{t^\lambda}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_\pi^\lambda([u^\lambda]) = \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in C_{t^\lambda}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_\pi^\lambda([\sigma * t^\lambda]) = \sum_{\pi \in C_{t^\lambda}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma\pi) \varphi_{\pi\sigma}^\lambda([t^\lambda]) = \\ &= \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{\pi' \in C_{t^\lambda}} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi') \varphi_{\pi'}^\lambda([t^\lambda]) = \pm e_t. \end{aligned}$$

A corollary

Corollary

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $t \in X^\lambda$. Let

$A_t = \sum_{\pi \in C_t} \text{sgn}(\pi) \varphi_\pi^\lambda \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\lambda)$. Then $\text{Im } A_t = \mathbb{C}e_t$

Proof.

Since M^λ has basis T^λ , $\text{Im } A_t$ is the subspace of M^λ generated by $\{A_t([s]) \mid [s] \in T^\lambda\}$. If $A_t([s]) \neq 0$, then $A_t([s]) = \pm e_t$.

On the other hand, $A_t([t]) = e_t$. □

End of part 1

Thank you for your attention.