

Group representations 1

Burnside's p, q - theorem

May 17, 2021

Burnside's theorem

Theorem

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then every finite group of order $p^a q^b$ is solvable.

Burnside's theorem

Theorem

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then every finite group of order $p^a q^b$ is solvable.

Remark

This theorem was proved by William Burnside in 1904 using the representation theory of groups. Proofs avoiding representation theory of groups were published in 1970's.

The key proposition

Proposition

*Let G be a finite group, $C \subseteq G$ a conjugacy class of G ,
 $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ an irreducible matrix representation of G over \mathbb{C} .*

The key proposition

Proposition

Let G be a finite group, $C \subseteq G$ a conjugacy class of G , $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ an irreducible matrix representation of G over \mathbb{C} . Assume $h := |C|$ is coprime to d . Then either $\chi_\psi(C) = 0$ or there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^$ such that $\psi(g) = \lambda E$ for every $g \in C$.*

Remark

Note that if $\psi(g) = \lambda E$, then for every $x \in G$ we have $\psi(xgx^{-1}) = \psi(x)(\lambda E)\psi(x)^{-1} = \lambda E$.

In the proof we will denote $K = \mathbb{Q}[e^{\frac{2\pi i}{|G|}}]$ and \mathbb{Z}_K is the ring of all algebraic integers in K . Recall that $\chi_\psi(h) \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ for every $h \in G$. We will also need the fact that $\lambda_C^\psi := \frac{h}{d}\chi_\psi(g)$, where $g \in C$, is an element from \mathbb{Z}_K .

proof of the proposition, part 1

The assumption $\text{GCD}(d, h) = 1$ gives there exist $k, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $kh + jd = 1$.

Recall (from the last lecture) that for every $g \in C$ we have

$$\chi_\psi(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_K, \frac{h}{d}\chi_\psi(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_K.$$

It follows that $k\frac{h}{d}\chi_\psi(g) + j\chi_\psi(g) = \frac{kh+jd}{d}\chi_\psi(g) = \frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_K$.

Recall that every element of $\text{GL}(d, \mathbb{C})$ of finite order is diagonalizable. For every $g \in G$ the matrix $\psi(g)$ is similar to $\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$, therefore

$$|\chi_\psi(g)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d |\lambda_i| = d$$

Note that the equality occurs if and only if

$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_d = \lambda$ and in this case $\psi(g) = \lambda E$.

Therefore our proposition can be stated as: If $g \in C$ satisfies

$\left| \frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d} \right| < 1$, then $\chi_\psi(g) = 0$.

proof of the proposition, part 2

Note that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K$ is a Galois extension, let Γ be its Galois group.

Note that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\gamma(\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d}) \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ for every $g \in C$.

We claim $|\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d}| < 1 \Rightarrow |\gamma(\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d})| < 1$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Recall that $\chi_\psi(g) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_d$, where $\lambda_i^{o(g)} = 1$ for every $1 \leq i \leq d$, so in particular, $\lambda_i \in K$. So $\gamma(\lambda_i)$ is defined for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and since $\gamma(\lambda_i)^{o(g)} = 1$, we get $|\gamma(\lambda_i)| = 1$.

Now if $|\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d}| < 1$, then there are i, j such that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, hence also $\gamma(\lambda_i) \neq \gamma(\lambda_j)$ and also

$$|\gamma(\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d})| = \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^d \gamma(\lambda_i)|}{d} < 1$$

proof of the proposition, part 3

Consider $\beta := \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma\left(\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d}\right)$. Note that

- ▶ $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$: This follows from $\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}_K$, $\gamma(\mathbb{Z}_K) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_K$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and \mathbb{Z}_K is a subring of K .
- ▶ $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$: Since $\gamma(\beta) = \beta$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$
- ▶ If $|\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d}| < 1$, then $|\beta| < 1$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_K \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$ we get that $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$. The only integer with absolute value < 1 is zero. This proves what we want: If $|\frac{\chi_\psi(g)}{d}| < 1$ then $\chi_\psi(g) = 0$.

Which groups are not simple

Lemma

Let G be a finite group which is not abelian. Suppose that $C \subseteq G$ is a conjugacy class of G , $C \neq \{1_G\}$ and $|C| = p^t$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then G is not simple.

proof: Assume G is a finite simple group which is not abelian, let ψ_1, \dots, ψ_k be a complete list of irreducible matrix representations of G over \mathbb{C} .

We write $\chi_i := \chi_{\psi_i}$ and let $d_i := \chi_i(1_G)$ be the degree of ψ_i . Further we assume that ψ_1 is the trivial representation of G over \mathbb{C} , that is, $\psi_1(g) = (1) \in \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$ for every $g \in G$. Observe that every simple non-abelian group is perfect, that is $[G, G] = G$. It follows that G has only one matrix representation of degree one, namely ψ_1 . It follows $d_2, \dots, d_k \geq 2$.

proof of the lemma, part 2

Since G is simple, $\text{Ker } \psi_i$ is either $\{1_G\}$ or G . If $\text{Ker } \psi_i = G$, then $\psi_i(g) = E$ for every $g \in G$ and ψ_i is equivalent to a direct sum of d_i copies of the trivial representation. For $i \geq 2$ is $d_i \geq 2$ and such a representation is not irreducible. Thus we get ψ_i is injective for every $i \geq 2$.

proof of the lemma, part 3

For $2 \leq i \leq k$ let $Z_i := \{\lambda E_{d_i} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \leq \mathrm{GL}(d_i, \mathbb{C})$.

Note that Z_i is a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(d_i, \mathbb{C})$, hence $\psi_i^{-1}(Z_i)$ is a normal subgroup of G . Since we assume G simple, the only possibilities for $\psi_i^{-1}(Z_i)$ are $\{1_G\}$ and G .

Recall for $i \geq 2$ we know ψ_i is injective. Therefore $\psi_i^{-1}(Z_i) = G$ implies that ψ_i is an embedding of G into a commutative group Z_i . This is not possible - we assume G not abelian.

The important conclusion is that for $i \geq 2$ the matrix $\psi_i(g)$ is not in Z_i unless $g = 1$.

At this point we may apply the Proposition. If $i \geq 2$, $C \neq \{1_G\}$ is a conjugacy class of size p^t , $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p \nmid d_i$, then $\chi_i(g) = 0$ for every $g \in C$.

proof of the lemma, part 4

For every $1 \leq i \leq k$ let $\varphi_i \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ be the representation corresponding to the matrix representation ψ_i . We know how the decomposition of the regular representation looks like:

$$\text{reg}_{\mathbb{C}}(G) \simeq \varphi_1 \oplus \overbrace{\varphi_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_2}^{d_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \overbrace{\varphi_k \oplus \cdots \oplus \varphi_k}^{d_k} .$$

We also computed character of the regular representation

$$\chi_{\text{reg}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)}(1_G) = |G|, \chi_{\text{reg}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)}(g) = 0, g \neq 1_G$$

Again we write χ_{reg} instead of $\chi_{\text{reg}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)}$

proof of the lemma, part 5

Assume $\{1_G\} \neq C$ is a conjugacy class of size p^t for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$ and some $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $g \in C$. Recall we know $\chi_i(g) = 0$ if $i \geq 2$ and $p \nmid d_i$.

Since equivalent representations have equal characters we get

$$\chi_{\text{reg}} = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i \chi_i$$

Evaluating these functions in $g \in C$ gives

$0 = 1 + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq k, p \mid d_i} d_i \chi_i(g)$. Therefore

$$-\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq k, p \mid d_i} \frac{d_i}{p} \chi_i(g).$$

The element on the RHS of the last equality is in \mathbb{Z}_K while the element on the LHS of this equality is in \mathbb{Q} . Again $\mathbb{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z}_K = \mathbb{Z}$ gives $\frac{-1}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is not possible.

Burnside's theorem

Theorem

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let G be a finite group of order $p^a q^b$. Then G is not simple unless it is cyclic of prime order.

Proof.

Recall that an abelian group is simple if and only if it is a group of prime order. Assume G simple and not commutative, $|G| = p^a q^b$. Recall that any p -group has a non-trivial center, so necessarily $p \neq q$ and $a, b > 0$.

Let H be a Sylow q -subgroup of G . That is, $H \leq G$, $|H| = q^b > 1$. Since $Z(H) \neq 1$ there exists $1 \neq h \in Z(H)$. Let C be the conjugacy class containing h . Then

$$|C| = \frac{|G|}{|\{g \in G \mid ghg^{-1} = h\}|}.$$

Since $h \in Z(H)$, $H \subseteq \{g \in G \mid ghg^{-1} = h\}$, so the order of the stabilizer $\{g \in G \mid ghg^{-1} = h\}$ is a multiple of q^b . It follows that $|C| = p^{a'}$ for some $0 \leq a' \leq a$.

Promised p, q - theorem

Theorem

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{P}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then every finite group of order $p^a q^b$ is solvable.

Proof.

Assume there are primes p, q such that there exists a group of order $p^a q^b$ which is not solvable. Let G be such a group of smallest possible order.

Since every abelian group is solvable, G is not abelian. By the previous theorem G is not simple, so it contains a nontrivial normal subgroup N . Since the order of G is as small as possible N and G/N have to be solvable (note if $|G| = p^a q^b$, then $|N| = p^{a_1} q^{b_1}$ and $|G/N| = p^{a-a_1} q^{b-b_1}$).

But the class of solvable groups is closed under extensions, so if N and G/N are solvable, G is solvable as well. □

End

Thanks for your attention.