

Ex. Mixture of (univariate) normal distributions

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim (1-\pi) N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \oplus \pi N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$$

$$\theta = (\pi, \mu_0, \mu_1, \sigma_0^2, \sigma_1^2) \text{ unknown}$$

observed likelihood:

$$L_{obs, n}(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[(1-\pi) \varphi_0(x_i) + \pi \varphi_1(x_i) \right], \quad \varphi_j(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \varphi\left(\frac{x-\mu_j}{\sigma_j}\right)$$

We know that for (e.g.) $\mu_0 = x_1$ and $\sigma_0 \rightarrow 0$, $L_{obs, n} \rightarrow \infty$ but there still exists a solution to the MLE equations that is a consistent estimator of the true θ .

Numerical optimisation is not a good method:

- L has singularities ($L \rightarrow \infty$)
- the solution we search for is not unique (symmetry of the problem, i.e. $L(\hat{\pi}, \hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\sigma}_0^2, \hat{\sigma}_1^2) = L(1-\hat{\pi}, \hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_0, \hat{\sigma}_1^2, \hat{\sigma}_0^2)$) and there will be saddle points where $\nabla L = 0$ but we might not have a root of $L = \theta$ an extreme
- $\sigma_j > 0$ (or Σ_j pos. def may be difficult to enforce)
- the number of parameters is large (for d-variate distributions and k mixing components $d^2 + 2d(d-1)/2 = O(d^2)$)

EM algorithm:

augmented dataset $(x_i, z_i)_{i=1}^n$ iid, $z_i \sim \text{alt}(\pi)$ ← This is how we generate from x_i
 $x_i | z_i \sim \begin{cases} N(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2) & \text{if } z_i = 0 \\ N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2) & \text{if } z_i = 1 \end{cases}$

(x_i, z_i) has a density w.r.t. $\lambda \otimes$ counting measure $\delta_{\{0,1\}}$

$$f_{x, z}(x, z) = f_{x|z}(x|z) P(z=z) = \begin{cases} \varphi_0(x)(1-\pi) & \text{if } z=0 \\ \varphi_1(x)\pi & \text{if } z=1 \end{cases} = [\varphi_0(x)(1-\pi)]^{1-z} [\varphi_1(x)\pi]^z \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \{0,1\}$$

$$f_X(x) = \sum_{z=0}^1 f_{x, z}(x, z) = \varphi_0(x)(1-\pi) + \varphi_1(x)\pi = L_{obs, 1}(\theta)$$

z_i are unobserved. Complete likelihood

$$L_m^c(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^m [\psi_0(x_i)(1-\pi)]^{1-z_i} [\psi_1(x_i)\pi]^{z_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_m^c(\theta) &= \sum (1-z_i) \log \psi_0(x_i) + \sum (1-z_i) \cdot \log(1-\pi) \\ &\quad + \sum z_i \log \psi_1(x_i) + \sum z_i \log \pi \end{aligned}$$

E-step: for $\tilde{\theta}$ an initial estimate given

$$E_{\tilde{\theta}}[\ell_m^c(\theta) | X_1, \dots, X_m] = \ell_m^c(\theta) \text{ with } \tilde{z}_i \text{ in place of } z_i \text{ "Bayer"}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\tilde{\theta}}[z_i | X_1, \dots, X_m] &= E_{\tilde{\theta}}[z_i | X_i] = P_{\tilde{\theta}}(z_i=1 | X_i) \\ &= \frac{f_{X|Z}(X_i | 1) P(z_i=1)}{\mathbb{P} f_X(X_i)} = \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(X_i) \tilde{\pi}}{\tilde{\psi}_0(X_i)(1-\tilde{\pi}) + \tilde{\psi}_1(X_i)\tilde{\pi}} =: \tilde{z}_i \end{aligned}$$

for $\psi_j(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_j} \varphi\left(\frac{x-\tilde{\mu}_j}{\sigma_j}\right)$ with the initial estimated $\tilde{\theta}$ in place of θ .

M-step: $Q(\theta, \tilde{\theta}) := E_{\tilde{\theta}}[\ell_m^c(\theta) | X_1, \dots, X_m]$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \pi} = -\frac{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i)}{1-\pi} + \frac{\sum \tilde{z}_i}{\pi} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\pi} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{z}_i$$

Binom. (Bernoulli)

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \mu_0} = -\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i) \frac{(x_i - \mu_0) \cdot 2}{2\sigma_0^2} \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i) X_i}{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i)}$$

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \sigma_0^2} = \sum(1-\tilde{z}_i) \left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma_0^2} + \frac{(x_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^4} \right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \frac{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i)(X_i - \hat{\mu}_0)^2}{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i)}$$

$\hat{\mu}_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_1^2$ analogously.

now set new $\tilde{\theta}$ to be $\hat{\theta}$ from the M-step, and repeat until

convergence.

0. $\tilde{\theta} = \text{nt.}$
1. $\tilde{z}_i := \frac{\tilde{\psi}_1(X_i) \tilde{\pi}}{\tilde{\psi}_0(X_i)(1-\tilde{\pi}) + \tilde{\psi}_1(X_i)\tilde{\pi}}$
2. $\hat{\pi} = \frac{1}{m} \sum \tilde{z}_i$, $\hat{\mu}_j = \frac{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i) X_i}{\sum(1-\tilde{z}_i)}$, $\hat{\sigma}_j^2 = \dots$
3. $\tilde{\theta} := \hat{\theta}$ and go to step 1.

Ćwiczenie 11 - missing data, EM algorithm

$\begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix} \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ random sample of size n
 x_i missing for $i = m_0 + 1, \dots, m_0 + m_1$
 y_i missing for $i = m_0 + m_1 + 1, \dots, m$

CCA: complete case analysis - consider only i such that both x_i and y_i are known

ACA: available case analysis - for each estimator use all available observations

Simple Imputation: if x_i is known but y_i not, build model $y \sim \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ based on fully observed data and estimate y_i by $\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i \sim E[y_i | x_i]$

Parametric Imputation: estimate also ε_i in $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \varepsilon_i$ and generate resamples of $\hat{\varepsilon}_i \rightarrow \hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i + \hat{\varepsilon}_i$

Multiple Imputation: Repeat an imputation procedure M times, estimate $\hat{\theta}_j$ in the j -th imputation, and set $\hat{\theta}_{MI} = \overline{\hat{\theta}_M} \sim \text{Bootstrap}$ - get parameter estimates out of resamples \rightarrow possible to approximate also the variance of $\hat{\theta}_{MI}$:

$$\text{var } \hat{\theta}_{MI} = E(\text{var}(\hat{\theta}_{MI} | \text{imputed data})) + \text{var}(E(\hat{\theta}_{MI} | \text{imp. d.}))$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \text{var}(\hat{\theta}_{MI} | \text{imp. d.}_j) + \frac{1}{M-1} \sum_{j=1}^M (\hat{\theta}_j - \hat{\theta}_{MI})(\hat{\theta}_j - \hat{\theta}_{MI})^T$$

but this is not a good estimator - needs to be adjusted for estimation of the sampling distribution

$$m_2 = m - m_1 - m_0 \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \sigma_{12} \\ \sigma_{12} & \sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad z_i = \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}$$

EM-algorithm: complete log-likelihood: $\theta = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{12}, \sigma_{22})^T$

$$l_m^c(\theta) = c - \frac{m}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m (z_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (z_i - \mu) \quad (*)$$

want to maximize observed log-likelihood:

$$l_{\text{obs}}(\theta) = c - \frac{m_0}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} (z_i - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (z_i - \mu) \quad \text{complete pairs of } x_i, y_i$$

$$- \frac{m_1}{2} \log \sigma_{22} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{22}} \sum_{i=m_0+1}^{m_0+m_1} (y_i - \mu_2)^2 \quad \text{only } y_i \text{ observed}$$

$$- \frac{m_2}{2} \log \sigma_{11} - \frac{1}{2\sigma_{11}} \sum_{i=m_0+m_1+1}^m (x_i - \mu_1)^2 \quad \text{only } x_i \text{ observed}$$

\rightarrow no closed solution to $\max l_{\text{obs}}(\theta)$

EM-algorithm: i) E-step: $E_{\tilde{\theta}} [l_m^c(\theta) | \text{observed d.}] = Q(\theta, \tilde{\theta})$

$\tilde{\theta}$ given depends only on $E_{\tilde{\theta}}[x_i | y_i]$, $E_{\tilde{\theta}}[y_i | x_i]$ from (*)
 θ , and obs. data. $E_{\tilde{\theta}}[x_i^2 | y_i]$, $E_{\tilde{\theta}}[y_i^2 | x_i]$

or by the fact that we have an exponential family of densities.

$$x_i | y_i \sim N\left(\mu_1 + \frac{\sigma_{12}}{\sigma_{22}} (y_i - \mu_2), \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} \sigma_{22}^{-1} \sigma_{21}\right)$$

$$E_{\tilde{\theta}}[X_i | Y_i] = \tilde{\mu}_1 + \tilde{\sigma}_{12} \tilde{\sigma}_{22}^{-1} (Y_i - \tilde{\mu}_2)$$

$$E_{\tilde{\theta}}[X_i^2 | Y_i] = \text{var}_{\tilde{\theta}}(X_i | Y_i) + [E_{\tilde{\theta}}(X_i | Y_i)]^2$$

$$= \underbrace{\tilde{\sigma}_{11} - \tilde{\sigma}_{12} \tilde{\sigma}_{22}^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{21}}_{\tilde{\sigma}_{11} (1 - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{12} \tilde{\sigma}_{21}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{11} \tilde{\sigma}_{22}})} + [E_{\tilde{\theta}}(X_i | Y_i)]^2$$

$$= \tilde{\sigma}_{11} (1 - \tilde{\rho}^2)$$

for $Z(Y_i | X_i)$ analogous.

ii) M-step: maximize $Q(\theta, \tilde{\theta})$ over θ

- for μ this leads to the usual MLE with replaced values of unobs. obs.

$\tilde{z}_i := (\tilde{x}_i, \tilde{y}_i)$ for $\tilde{x}_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } x_i \text{ is observed} \\ E_{\tilde{\theta}}[X_i | Y_i] & \text{if } x_i \text{ is not observed} \end{cases}$ and \tilde{y}_i anal.

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{z}_i = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m E[Z_i | \text{obs}]$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \left[-\frac{m}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum (\tilde{r}_i - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\tilde{r}_i - \mu) \right] = \Sigma^{-1} \sum (\tilde{r}_i - \mu) = 0 \right]$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{m} \sum \tilde{r}_i$$

$$\text{for } \Sigma: \frac{\partial}{\partial \Sigma} \left[-\frac{m}{2} \log |\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} \sum (\tilde{r}_i - \hat{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\tilde{r}_i - \hat{\mu}) \right]$$

$$\text{Tr}((\tilde{r}_i - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (\tilde{r}_i - \mu)) = \text{Tr}(\Sigma^{-1} (\tilde{r}_i - \mu) (\tilde{r}_i - \mu)')$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \text{Tr}(A) = I$$

~~$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A} \text{Tr}(A^{-1}) = -A^{-2}$$~~

$$\frac{\partial |A|}{\partial A} = |A| A^{-1}$$

Jacobi's formula

$$\Rightarrow = -\frac{m}{2} \frac{|\Sigma| \Sigma^{-1}}{|\Sigma|} + \frac{1}{2} \sum \Sigma^{-1} (\tilde{r}_i - \mu) (\tilde{r}_i - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} = 0$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{1}{m} \sum (\tilde{r}_i - \mu) (\tilde{r}_i - \mu)'$$

$$\frac{\partial \text{Tr}(A^{-1}B)}{\partial A} = -A^{-1}BA^{-1}$$

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{m} \sum E[(r_i - \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}})(r_i - \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}})' | \text{obs}]$$

~~$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum (r_i - \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}})(r_i - \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}})'$$~~

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum E[r_i r_i' | \text{obs}] - \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}} \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}}'$$

$$= \frac{1}{m} \sum E \left[\begin{pmatrix} x_i^2 & x_i y_i \\ x_i y_i & y_i^2 \end{pmatrix} | \text{obs} \right] - \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}} \hat{\mu}_{\text{new}}'$$

+ iterate EM-steps until convergence

Reweighting: if $P(X_i \text{ is not observed}) = \pi_i$ can be estimated, assign to each observed x_i a weight proportional to $\frac{1}{\pi_i}$ and perform analysis.