

Ad 4)

$$(E_\varepsilon) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|v^\varepsilon(t_1 \cdot)\|_2^2 + \nu_* \int_0^t \|\nabla v^\varepsilon\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_0^\varepsilon\|_2^2$$

$\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

- $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (a_\varepsilon - b_\varepsilon) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} a_\varepsilon + \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} b_\varepsilon$
- $v_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow v_0$ in L^2
- $v^\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in $L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ $\Rightarrow \forall t \in [0, T] \cap E$

$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} E_\varepsilon \\ (E) \end{array}}$

zero measure

$\frac{1}{2} \|v(t_1 \cdot)\|_2^2 + \nu_* \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v_0\|_2^2$

$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} X \text{ reflexive Banach.} \\ x_n \xrightarrow{X} x \text{ in } X \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_X \end{array}}$

Ad 5) by 3) a 4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t_1 \cdot) - v_0\|_2^2 &= (v(t_1 \cdot) - v_0, v(t_1 \cdot) - v_0) = \\ &\underline{\|v(t_1 \cdot)\|_2^2} - 2(v(t_1 \cdot), v_0) + \|v_0\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 2\|v_0\|_2^2 - 2(v(t_1 \cdot), v_0) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow 0+} 0 \end{aligned}$$

(v_0, v_0)

2. (E)



Questions

1) Where is the pressure?

2) Suitable weak sol.

3) Regularity, weak-strong uniqueness

4) Approximations.

Remark One can show for L-H solution:

+ a.a. $t_0 \in [0, T]$ including $t_0=0$, $\forall t_1 \in (0, T)$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|v(t_1, \cdot)\|_2^2 + v_* \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \|\nabla v\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v(t_0, \cdot)\|_2^2$$

Ad 1)

Heuristic approach

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\partial_t v - \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v) - v_x \Delta v = -\nabla p \right)$$

$$v_k \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k}$$

$$-\nabla p = \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(v_k \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k}) \\ \operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v) \end{cases}$$

$$-\cancel{\operatorname{div}(\nabla p)} = \cancel{\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}(v \otimes v)}$$

$$p \sim \|v\|^2$$

$$v \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \cap L^2(W^{1,2}) \hookrightarrow L^6(0, T; L^9(\Omega))$$

$$2 \leq q \leq 6, \quad 2 \leq s \leq +\infty \quad s = q = \frac{10}{3}$$

$$v \in L^{10/3}((0, T) \times \Omega) \Rightarrow |v|^2 \in L^{5/3}$$

Conjecture: $p \in L^{5/3}((0, T) \times \Omega)$

Proof of the conjecture will follow and

will be based on the results for
evolutionary Stokes system

Lemma (Global linear estimates for ev. Stokes s. or maximal $L^s(L^q)$ -regularity)

Let $\Omega \in C^{2+\mu}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, $\mu \in (0, 1)$, $s, q \in (1, +\infty)$, $0 < T < +\infty$. Then, for any $u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and a $f \in L^s(0, T; L^q(\Omega))$,

$\exists! (u, p)$ solving

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla p = f, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad u|_{(0,T) \times \partial\Omega} = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0$$

and satisfying

$$u \in L^s(0, T; W^{2,q}(\Omega)) \quad \text{for } 0 < T' \leq T$$

and

$$\|\partial_t u, \nabla u, \nabla p\|_{L^s(0, T; L^q)} \leq C_0 (\|u_0\|_{H^1} + \|f\|_{L^s(0, T; L^q)})$$

- Theory:
- Solonnikov (1977) $s = q$
 - Sohn von Wahl (1986) $s \neq q$ but $C_0 = C(T)$
 - Sohn, Sohn (1991) $s \neq q$

- Parabolic problems:
- Ladyzhenskaya, Solonnikov, Ural'tseva 1968 $q = s$
 - von Wahl 1982 $q \neq s$
 - Krylov 1997
 - Awanou; Lunardi.

Application to NS

$\Omega \in C^1 P, v_0 \in W_{0, \text{div}}^{1,2}$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \Delta v + \nabla p = v \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} \quad \text{NS}$$

$$\nabla p \in L^{\frac{5}{4}}(Q_T)$$

minor lemma



$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{10} = \frac{8}{10} = \frac{4}{5}$$

$$v \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_k} \in L^{\frac{5}{4}}(Q_T) \times L^2$$

$$f \in L^s(L^q), s=q=\frac{5}{4}$$

Taking p s.t. $\int_{\Omega} p dx = 0$

Principle:

$$p \in L^{\frac{5}{4}}(0, T; L^{\frac{10}{9}})$$

$$\Rightarrow W^{1, \frac{5}{4}, \mu} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{10}{9}}(\Omega)$$

How to modify this scheme to get $p \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(Q_T) \times L^{\frac{10}{9}}$

$$\text{Aim: } \nabla p \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(0, T; L^{\frac{10}{9}})$$

$$W^{1, \frac{10}{9}} \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{5}{3}}, \text{ check: } \gamma = \frac{15}{14}$$

↓
Objective: Does $v_0 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_0} \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(0, T; L^{\frac{10}{9}})$?

Proof of \square :

$$\|(\nu \cdot \nabla)v\|_{\frac{15}{14}} = \int_{\Omega} |\nu|^{\frac{15}{14}} |\nabla v| dx \stackrel{\text{H\"older}}{\leq} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nu|^{\frac{15}{13}} \right)^{\frac{13}{15}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{\frac{15}{13}} \right)^{\frac{13}{15}}$$

$$\leq \|\nabla v\|_{\frac{15}{14}}^{\frac{15}{13}} \|v\|_{\frac{30}{13}}^{\frac{15}{13}}$$

$$\leq \|\nabla v\|_2^{\frac{15}{14}} \|v\|_6^{\frac{15}{14}}$$

$$\|z\|_{\frac{30}{13}} \leq \|z\|_2 \|z\|_6^{1-\lambda}$$

$$\frac{13}{30} = \frac{1-\lambda}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{6} \Rightarrow \frac{13}{2} = 15 - 15\lambda + 5\lambda \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{1}{5}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla v\|_2^{\frac{15}{14}} + \frac{3}{14} \|v\|_6^{\frac{15}{14}} = \frac{18}{14}$$

Ad 2) Suitable weak solution adds to L-H w.s.

so-called local energy ineq.

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\|v\|^2) + \operatorname{div}(\frac{1}{2} v^2 v) - \mu_* \operatorname{div}(\nabla v \cdot v) + \mu_* (\nabla v)^2 + \operatorname{div}(p v) = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \psi \in \mathcal{D}(Q_T) \\ & 2 \int_{\Omega} \quad dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\|v\|^2) \psi &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\|v\|^2}{2} v \cdot \nabla \psi \quad dx + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \|v\|^2) \cdot \nabla \psi \\ &+ 2\mu_* \int_{\Omega} \|v\|^2 \psi - 2 \int_{\Omega} p \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \psi \quad dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|v(t_1, \cdot)\|^2 \psi + 2\mu_* \int_{\Omega} \int_0^t \psi \|v\|^2 dx &\leq \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \|v\|^2 \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla \psi - \mu_* \Delta \psi \right) + 2 \int_{\Omega} p \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \psi \\ & \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(Q_T) \end{aligned}}$$

Why it is useful?

In 3D, one cannot use v as the test function in weak formulation!

It replaces this deficiency.

It is used to estimate size of possible ~~singular~~ points where singularity of the NS eq. can occur.

Theorem (Leng 1933) • $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ bdd smooth or \mathbb{R}^3

$T \in (0, +\infty)$ • let v be Leng-Hoff w.s. in $(0, T) \times \Sigma$ satisfying strong energy inequality (t_0, t_1)

Let $\Sigma := \{t \in (0, T) ; v \text{ is singular at } (x_0, t) \text{ for some } x_0 \in \Sigma\}$

Then $H^{1/2}(\Sigma) = 0$ $\frac{1}{2}$ Hausdorff measure.

Books on NSE

- Constantine, Foias : NSE , Chicago Press , 1988
- Temam : NSE , analysis & numerics , 2001
5th edition , AMS
- Tai-Peng Tsai : Lectures on NSEs
AMS , 2013.

(2004) --> Ph.D. student
V. Šverák
