

DUAL SPACES . SECOND DUAL . REFLEXIVITY.

WEAK AND WEAK-* CONVERGENCES

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space.

Def. The space

$$X^* = X' = \mathcal{L}(X, \mathbb{K}) = \{\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{K} ; \phi \text{ is linear \& continuous}\}$$

is called dual space to X .

bdd
↑
↓

Recall that

$$(X^*, \|\phi\|_{X^*}) \text{ where } \|\phi\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X=1}} |\phi(x)|$$

is a Banach space.

Notation Often $\phi(x)$ is denoted $\langle \phi, x \rangle = \langle \phi | x \rangle_{X^*, X}$ or $\langle x, \phi \rangle_{X^*, X}$
and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X^*, X}$ is called duality pairing.

†

Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. The mapping

$J_x: \boxed{\Phi \in X^* \mapsto \Phi(x)}$ is a linear bounded map of X^* into \mathbb{K} .
*)

It means that it belongs to $(X^*)^* =: X^{**}$, which is the second dual to X . Since, by Corollary of H-T theorem there is $\phi \in X^*$ s.t. $\|\phi\|_{X^*}=1$ and $\phi(x) = \|x\|_X$, then

$$\|J_x\|_{X^{**}} = \|x\|_X$$

Hence, the mapping $J: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ is isometry

and always $J(X) \subseteq X^{**}$.

J is called canonical embedding

†

Def

The space X is called reflexive if $[J(X) = X^{**}]$
(It means that one can identify the second dual with X)

†

* Towards boundedness : we know $|\phi(x)| \leq \|\phi\|_{X^*} \|x\|_X$, which implies that

$$\|J_x\|_{X^{**}} \leq \|x\|_X$$

- Def.**
- (1) A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ converges weakly to $x \in X$, if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X if $\phi(x_n) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ for all $\phi \in X^*$
 - (2) A sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X^*$ converges weakly-* to $\phi \in X^*$ if $\phi_n \xrightarrow{*} \phi$ in X^* if $\phi_n(x) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Several observations

i It holds: If $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X (strongly), then $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X (weakly)

(Pf) For arbitrary fixed $\phi \in X^*$,

$$|\phi(x_n) - \phi(x)| = |\phi(x_n - x)| \leq \|\phi\|_{X^*} \|x_n - x\|_X$$

Since RHS $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, LHS $\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which gives the result. ☺

ii Weak limit is well-defined, i.e., it cannot happen that

⊗ $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X and $x_n \rightarrow y$ in X and $x \neq y$.

(Pf) If $x \neq y$, then by Corollary of H-B theorem: $\exists \phi \in X^*$: $\phi(x) \neq \phi(y)$, but then $\{\phi(x_n)\}$ cannot converge to both $\phi(x)$ and $\phi(y)$. ↗

iii Recalling that

$\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in X^* means that

we see that

wear-star convergence is weaker than the (strong) convergence in the norm of X^* . Weak-* convergence requires that

$$\sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\| \leq 1}} |\phi_n(x) - \phi(x)| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (\text{S})$$

$$\forall x \in X \quad |\phi_n(x) - \phi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (\text{W*})$$

iv If X is reflexive, then weak and weak-* convergences "coincide".

(Pf) We first observe that if there is a Banach space Y so that $Y^* = X$ we can rewrite weak-* convergence in Y as: $x_n \xrightarrow{*} x$ in $X \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} x_n(y) \rightarrow x(y)$ for all $y \in Y$. Saying differently, weak-* convergence requires the existence of pre-dual while weak convergence requires the existence of dual.

If X is reflexive, then

$$(X^*)^* = J(X).$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} Jx_n \xrightarrow{*} Jx &\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} Jx_n(\phi) \rightarrow Jx(\phi) \\ \forall \phi \in X^* \end{cases} \\ &\Leftrightarrow x_n \rightarrow x \text{ in } X \end{aligned}$$

[v) If $\phi_k \rightarrow \phi$ weakly* in X^* (as $k \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$\|\Phi\|_{X^*} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_k\|_{X^*}$$

(Pf) For all $x \in X$:

$$|\Phi(x)| \leftarrow |\phi_k(x)| \leq \|\phi_k\|_{X^*} \|x\|_X$$

which implies

$$|\Phi(x)| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_k\|_{X^*} \|x\|_X,$$

By definition of $\|\phi\|_{X^*}$:

$$\|\phi\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\substack{x \in X \\ \|x\|_X=1}} |\phi(x)| \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_k\|_{X^*}$$

[vi)] If $x_k \rightarrow x$ weakly in X (as $k \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$\|x\|_X \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k\|_X$$

(Pf) For $\phi \in X^*$:

$$|\phi(x)| \leq |\phi(x_k)| \leq \|\phi\|_{X^*} \|x_k\|_X$$

which implies

$$|\phi(x)| \leq \|\phi\|_{X^*} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k\|_X \quad \forall \phi \in X^*$$

If $x \neq 0$, we pick ϕ , by a corollary of Hahn-Banach theorem, so that $\phi(x) = \|x\|_X$ and $\|\phi\|_{X^*} = 1$. Hence

$$\|x\|_X \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_k\|_X,$$

which holds trivially also for $x=0$.



(vii) Weakly converging sequences and Weakly-* converging sequences are bounded.

(Pf) • If $\phi_k \xrightarrow{*} \phi$ in X^* , then $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\phi_k(x)| < \infty$ for all $x \in X$

Then by BANACH-STEINHAUS theorem of uniform boundedness

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_k\|_{X^*} < +\infty$$

Th. S.1

• If $x_k \rightarrow x$ weakly in X , then $\|x_k\|_{X^*} \xrightarrow{*} \|x\|_X$ weakly* in X^* .
By previous step, $\{\|x_k\|_{X^*}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is bdd in X^{**} , but

$$\|x_k\|_X = \|\|x_k\|_{X^*}\|_{X^{**}} \text{ implies } \{\|x_k\|_X\}_{k=1}^\infty \text{ is bdd in } X.$$

(viii) If $x_k \rightarrow x$ strongly in X , $\phi_k \rightarrow \phi$ *-weakly in X^* , then

$$\phi_k(x_k) = \langle \phi_k, x_k \rangle_{X^*, X} \rightarrow \langle \phi, x \rangle_{X^*, X} = \phi(x).$$

(Pf) is based on

$$|\phi_k(x_k) - \phi(x)| = |\phi_k(x_k - x)| + |\phi_k(x - x_k)| \leq \dots + \|\phi_k\|_{X^*} \|x_k - x\|_X \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Theorem (Banach-Alaoglu) Let X be a Banach space.

Then, for every bounded sequence $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X^*$, there is a weak-* converging subsequence.

Proof Under additional assumption that X is separable, i.e. X contains a countable dense subset.

[0] Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X^*$ such that $\sup_n \|\phi_n\|_{X^*} \leq C < +\infty$ is given

Let $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be dense subset of X .

[1] To construct $\{\phi_{m_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\phi_n\}$ so that $\phi_{m_j}(x_k) \rightarrow \phi(x_k)$ for some $\phi \in X^*$,

we apply Cantor diagonalization procedure:

Since $\{\phi_n(x_1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded sequence of numbers, by Bolzano-Weierstrass: $\exists \{\phi_{m_1}\}_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \subset \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}: \phi_{m_1}(x_1) \rightarrow \phi(x_1)$

Since $\{\phi_{m_1}(x_2)\}_{m_1=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded, by B-W: $\exists \{\phi_{m_2}\}_{m_2=1}^{\infty} \subset \{\phi_{m_1}\}$: $\phi_{m_2}(x_2) \rightarrow \phi(x_2)$

etc.

$$\phi_{m_m}(x_k) \rightarrow \phi(x_k) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

[2] $\left[\phi \text{ is Lipschitz on } \{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \right]$ Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x_k) - \phi(x_\ell)| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_{m_n}(x_k) - \phi_{m_n}(x_\ell)| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_{m_n}\|_{X^*} \|x_k - x_\ell\|_X \\ &\leq C \|x_k - x_\ell\|_X \end{aligned}$$

Then ϕ can be extended uniquely to the closure of $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, i.e. to the whole X .

[3] It remains to show that for all $x \in X$: $\phi_{m_m}(x) \rightarrow \phi(x) \quad \forall x \in X$.
i.e. $\phi_{m_m} \xrightarrow{*} \phi$ *-weakly.

However

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_{m_n}(x) - \phi(x)| && \|x_k - x\|_X < \varepsilon \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_{m_n}(x) - \phi_{m_n}(x_k)| \\ &\quad + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi_{m_n}(x_k) - \phi(x_k)| + |\phi(x_k) - \phi(x)| \\ &\leq C \|x_k - x\|_X + 0 + C \|x_k - x\|_X \leq 2C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$



Theorem Let X be a reflexive Banach space. Then the closed unit ball $\overline{B_1(0)} \subset X$ is weakly sequentially compact, i.e.

$$\forall \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \overline{B_1(0)} : \exists \{x_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ ad } x \in \overline{B_1(0)} : x_{n_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} x \text{ weakly in } X$$

NOTE: Clearly, the statement of theorem holds for any $\overline{B_R(x)}$.

(Pf) Let $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{B_1(0)} \subset X$. Set $Y := \overline{\text{span}} \{x_k ; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Then Y as a closed subspace of X is also reflexive, and Y is separable (by definition). Then $Y^{**} = J(Y)$ is separable and hence Y^* is separable; see lemma below. $\hookrightarrow Y^{**}$

By previous theorem, applied to Y^* and to the sequence $\{Jx_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, there is $y'' \in Y^{**}$ such that for a subsequence

$$\langle \Phi, Jx_k \rangle_{Y^*} \rightarrow \langle \phi, y'' \rangle \quad \# \phi \in Y^*$$

Setting $x := J^{-1}y'' \in Y$, it follows that

$$\phi(x_k) = \langle \phi, x_k \rangle_Y = \langle \Phi, Jx_k \rangle_{Y^*} \xrightarrow{(k \rightarrow \infty)} \langle \phi, y'' \rangle = \langle \Phi, x \rangle_Y = \phi(x)$$

valid

for all $\boxed{\phi \in Y^*}$

Since $\Phi \in X^*$ fulfills $\Phi|_Y \in Y^*$, we get

$$\boxed{\Phi(x_k) \rightarrow \Phi(x) \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad \# \phi \in X^*}$$



Lemma Let X be a Banach space. Then:

if X^* is separable, then X is separable.

Note $L^1(\Omega)$ is separable, but its dual $L^\infty(\Omega) = (L^1(\Omega))^*$ is not.

This shows that the opposite implication does not hold.

(Pf) • Let $\{\phi_m, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be dense in X^* . Choose $x_n \in X$ such that $\|x_n\|_X = 1$ and $|\phi_m(x_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_m\|$.

• Define $Y = \text{closure of } \text{span}\{x_n ; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Now, if

$\phi \in X^*$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ on Y then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi - \phi_m\|_{X^*} &\geq |\langle (\phi - \phi_m)(x_n) \rangle| = |\phi(x_n)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\phi_m\|_{X^*} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} (\|\phi\|_{X^*} - \|\phi_m\|_{X^*}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\phi\|_{X^*} \leq 3 \inf_n \|\phi - \phi_m\|_{X^*} = 0 \quad \text{as } \phi_m \text{ is dense subset in } X^*.$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \equiv 0 \Rightarrow Y = X \quad (\text{by Hahn-Banach theorem}). \quad \square$$