

9. SPECTRUM. AN INTRODUCTION TO SPECTRAL THEORY

Def. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, X being Banach, is invertible $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=}$ there exists $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ so that $\boxed{TS = ST = I}$

► If such operator S exists, it is denoted $\boxed{T^{-1}}$

► If such operator S exists, it is uniquely determined:

(Pf) Let $TS_1 = I = S_2T$, then

$$S_2 = S_2TS_1 = IS_1 = S_1. \quad \square$$

► $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow T$ is one-to-one & onto (injective) (surjective)

(Pf) $\Rightarrow$ by definition, there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$:

$$T(Sx) = S(Tx) = x \quad \forall x \in X.$$

If $Tx = Ty$, then $S(Tx) = x$ & $S(Ty) = y$ imply $x = y$
a T is injective.

If $x \in X$ is arbitrary, then set $z := Sx$ and
 $T(Sx) = x$ implies $Tz = x$, it means
 T is onto. $\square$

$\Leftarrow$ If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is bijective, then by a consequence of open mapping theorem $T^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and
 $TT^{-1} = T^{-1}T = I$. Hence T is invertible. $\square$

Theorem 9.1 (Sufficient condition for existence of invertible operators of the form $I - T$)

Let X be Banach, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < 1$.

then

• $I - T$ is invertible

• $(I - T)^{-1} = \sum T^m$

(Pf) $\sqrt{\text{set } T^0 := I \text{ and } S_m := I + T + \dots + T^m \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}}$

Since $\|T^m\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^m$ (verity) and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < 1$,
we observe that

$$\|S_n - S_{n-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

and consequently

$\{S_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Hence, as $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is a Banach space, there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$

$$(*) \quad S := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$$

As, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$S_n T = T S_n = S_{n+1} - I, \quad (\text{verity})$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get $(\|S_n - S\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \rightarrow 0)$

$$ST = TS = S - I \Leftrightarrow S(I - T) = (I - T)S = I,$$

which implies $S = (I - T)^{-1}$ and by (*): $(I - T)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$.

—

Theorem 3.1 has two valuable consequences:

Consequence 1 If $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < \lambda$, then:

• $T - \lambda I$ is invertible

$$\bullet \| (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda - \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}}$$

$$(\text{Pf}) \text{ Clearly: } \left\| \frac{T}{\lambda} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < 1$$

By previous Theorem 3.1: $I - \frac{T}{\lambda}$ is invertible, which implies $T - \lambda I$ is invertible (verity!). Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \| (T - \lambda I)^{-1} \|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} - I \right)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} \right)^n \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{T}{\lambda} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}^n = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}}{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(*) \quad \left(I - \frac{T}{\lambda} \right) S = S \left(I - \frac{T}{\lambda} \right) = I \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} - I \right) \tilde{S} = \tilde{S} \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} - I \right) = I \quad (\tilde{S} = -S)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (T - \lambda I) \left(\frac{\tilde{S}}{\lambda} \right) = \left(\frac{\tilde{S}}{\lambda} \right) (T - \lambda I) = I$$

$$\text{Hence } (T - \lambda I)^{-1} = \left(\frac{\tilde{S}}{\lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{\lambda} (T - \lambda I)^{-1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(I - \frac{T}{\lambda} \right)^{-1}$$

Consequence 2 The set of invertible operators is open in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

More precisely: If $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and T is invertible and $\|T - S\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)}}$, then S is invertible.

(Pf) Let T and S be as above. Consider $T^{-1}S = I - (I - T^{-1}S)$.

By observing $\|I - T^{-1}S\| = \|T^{-1}(T - S)\| \leq \|T^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \|T - S\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} < 1$.

By Theorem 3.1, $T^{-1}S$ is invertible, i.e.

$$\exists L \in \mathcal{L}(X) \quad (T^{-1}S)L = L(T^{-1}S) = I.$$

Then $(LT^{-1})S = I$ and $S(LT^{-1}) = I \iff \begin{cases} \downarrow T^{-1}SL = I \\ \downarrow SL = T \\ \downarrow SLT^{-1} = I \end{cases}$

Hence S is invertible, q.e.d. □

Def. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, X Banach. Then we say that

► $\eta \in \mathbb{K}$ belongs to resolvent, denoted $\mathcal{R}(L)$,

$$\stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \eta I - L \text{ is invertible} \iff (\eta I - L)^{-1} \text{ exists}^{*)}$$

$$\iff \eta I - L \text{ is bijective}$$

► The complement of $\mathcal{R}(L)$, i.e. $\mathbb{C} - \mathcal{R}(L)$, is called spectrum of L , denoted $\mathcal{S}(L)$.

[NOTE: If $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(L)$, then at least L is not one-to-one or L is not onto]

► We say that η belongs to the point spectrum of L , denoted $\mathcal{S}_p(L)$, if $\eta I - L$ is not one-to-one.

This is equivalent to say that

$$\eta \in \mathcal{S}_p(L) \iff \exists w \in H, w \neq 0 : Lw = \eta w$$

It means: $\eta \in \mathcal{S}_p(L) \iff \eta$ is eigenvalue of L with w being a corresponding eigenvector.

*) and by a consequence of open mapping theorem $(\eta I - L)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$.

► The essential spectrum of L , denoted $\sigma_e(L)$, is defined as $\sigma_e(L) := \sigma(L) - \sigma_p(L)$;

it consists of all $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$ so that

$\gamma I - L$ is one-to-one, but not onto.

9.1. Spectrum of compact operators on Hilbert spaces

Theorem 9.2 Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H)$ be ^(an infinite-dimensional) Hilbert space and $K \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be compact. Then over $\mathbb{R}$

(1) $0 \in \sigma(K)$

(2) $\sigma(K) = \sigma_p(K) \cup \{0\}$

(3) Either $\sigma_p(K)$ is finite, or else $\sigma_p(K) = \{\lambda_k, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$
and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k = 0$

Pf **Ad (1)** By contradiction. If $0 \notin \sigma(K)$, then K has an inverse $K^{-1}: H \rightarrow H$ and $K^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, Then $I = \overset{\uparrow \text{continuous}}{K^{-1}} \circ K$.

Since the composition of a continuous and a compact operator is compact, then the identity $I: H \rightarrow H$ is compact, which contradicts to the fact that the ball in the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is not compact.

Ad (2) Let us assume that $\lambda \in \sigma(K)$ with $\lambda \neq 0$.

The goal is to check that $\lambda \in \sigma_p(K)$. If, however, $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(K)$, then $\text{Ker} \{\lambda I - K\} = \{0\}$ which, by Fredholm alternative theorem 8.1, is equivalent to $\text{Im}(\lambda I - K) = H$, but then $\lambda I - K$ is invertible and $\lambda \notin \sigma(K)$, which contradicts to our assumption.

Ad (3) Assume $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of distinct eigenvalues of K and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$. Goal is to show that $\lambda = 0$.

Indeed, as $\lambda_n \in \sigma_p(K)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists w_n (eigenvector) so that $Kw_n = \lambda_n w_n$.

Denote $H_n := \text{span} \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$

Since w_n are linearly independent (as λ_n are distinct)

$$H_n \subset H_{n+1}$$

Observing that, for every $n \geq 2$, $(K - \lambda_n I)H_n \subseteq H_{n-1}$,
we can choose $e_n \in H_n \cap H_{n-1}^\perp$ with $\|e_n\|_H = 1$. Then
for $m < n$

$$Ke_m - \lambda_m e_m \in H_{m-1} \text{ and } Ke_n - \lambda_n e_n \in H_{m-1} \subset H_{m-1}$$

and $e_m \in H_{m-1}^\perp$ with $e_m \in H_m \subseteq H_{m-1}$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ke_m - Ke_n\|_H &= \|(Ke_m - \lambda_m e_m) - (Ke_n - \lambda_n e_n) - \lambda_m e_n + \lambda_n e_n\|_H \\ &\quad \underbrace{\in H_{m-1}}_{\in H_{m-1}} \quad \underbrace{\lambda_n e_n}_{\in H_{m-1}^\perp} \\ &\geq \|\lambda_n e_n\|_H = |\lambda_n| \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \|Ke_m - Ke_n\|_H \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_n| = |\lambda|$$

If $\lambda > 0$, there is no way to select converging subsequence, giving the contradiction. $\square$

Selfadjoint operators

Recall that if $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is symmetric, then

$$(Ax, y)_m = (x, Ay)_m \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

It means that the operator generated by A 's has the property that $A^* = A$, i.e. A is selfadjoint.

It is known (and can be shown as an application of Lagrange multiplier theory concerning constraint optimization) that

$$m := \min_{|x|=1} (Ax, x)_m \quad \text{and} \quad M := \max_{|x|=1} (Ax, x)_m$$

are the smallest and the largest eigenvalue of A .

This result can be generalized to an infinite-dimensional setting.

Def. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, where $(H, (\cdot, \cdot)_H)$ is a Hilbert space over $\mathbb{R}$.

We say that L is symmetric (selfadjoint)

$$\stackrel{\text{df.}}{=} (Lx, y)_H = (x, Ly)_H \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (**)$$

If $(**)$ holds for $x, y \in H$, where H is over $\mathbb{C}$, then L is called Hermitian.

Theorem 9.3 (Bounds on the spectrum of a selfadjoint operator)

Let $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be selfadjoint operator on a real Hilbert space H .

Define $m := \inf_{\substack{u \in H \\ \|u\|_H = 1}} (Lu, u)$ and $M := \sup_{\substack{u \in H \\ \|u\|_H = 1}} (Lu, u)$

Then,

$$(\alpha) \quad \sigma(L) \subset \langle m, M \rangle$$

$$(\beta) \quad m, M \in \sigma(L)$$

$$(\gamma) \quad \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} = \max \{-m, M\}.$$

Ad (α)

Proof. Let $\eta > M$. Then

$$(\eta u - Lu, u) = \eta(u, u) - (Lu, u) \geq (\eta - M) \|u\|_H^2,$$

i.e. $B(u, v) := (\eta u - Lu, v)$ fulfills the assumptions of Lax-Milgram lemma: hence $\eta I - L$ is one-to-one & onto (and, by Open mapping theorem, its inverse is continuous). Hence, every $\eta > M$ belongs to $\rho(L)$... the resolvent set.

Similarly, for $\eta < m$,

$$(Lu - \eta u, u) = (Lu, u) - \eta \|u\|_H^2 \geq (m - \eta) \|u\|_H^2$$

and, by the same arguments, we conclude that all $\eta < m$ belongs to $\rho(L)$ as well.

Ad (β) Assume that $|m| \leq M$. Then, for $\forall u, v \in H$,

$$\begin{aligned} 4(Lu, v)_H &= (L(u+v), u+v)_H - (L(u-v), u-v)_H \\ &\leq M(\|u+v\|_H^2 + \|u-v\|_H^2) = 2M(\|u\|_H^2 + \|v\|_H^2) \end{aligned}$$

If $Lu \neq 0$, setting $v = \frac{\|u\|_H}{\|Lu\|_H} Lu$ we get

$$2 \|Lu\|_H \|u\|_H = 2(Lu, v)_H \leq M(\|u\|_H^2 + \|v\|_H^2) = 2M \|u\|_H^2,$$

which implies

$$(*) \quad \|Lu\|_H \leq M \|u\|_H \quad \text{for all } u \in H$$

obviously, this holds also for $Lu = 0$.

$$\text{Since } M = \sup_{\|u\|_H=1} (Lu, u) \leq \sup_{\|u\|_H=1} \|Lu\|_H \|u\|_H \leq \|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)},$$

this together with (*) gives $\|L\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} = M$.

If $\boxed{M < -m}$, which is the opposite case to $|m| \leq M$, is treated similarly, replacing L by $-L$. $\square$

Ad (p) To show that $M \in \mathcal{G}_p(L)$, consider a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\|u_n\|_H = 1$ and $(Lu_n, u_n) \rightarrow M$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \|(L - MI)u_n\|_H^2 &= \underbrace{\|Lu_n - Mu_n\|_H^2}_{\substack{\text{Top.} \\ \text{number}}} \\ &= \|Lu_n\|_H^2 - 2M(Lu_n, u_n) + M^2\|u_n\|_H^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2 - 2M(Lu_n, u_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $L - MI$ cannot have a bounded inverse.

Theorem 9.4 Let H be separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $K \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be compact and selfadjoint.

Then, there is a countable orthonormal basis of H consisting of eigenvectors of K .

Proof If $\dim H < \infty$, then see your LA course. Let $\boxed{\dim H = +\infty}$.

Let $\eta_0 = 0$ and $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots\}$ be the set of nonzero eigenvalues of K . Consider

$$H_0 = \text{Ker } K, H_1 = \text{Ker } (K - \eta_1 I), H_2 = \text{Ker } (K - \eta_2 I), \dots$$

Observe that while $\dim H_0$ can be whatever between 0 and $+\infty$, $\dim H_k$ is positive and finite, see Theorem 8.1.

► Next, we show that for $m \neq n$: H_m and H_n are orthogonal.

Indeed: if $u \in H_m, v \in H_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_m(u, v)_H &= (Ku, v)_H = (u, Kv)_H = \eta_n(u, v)_H, \\ \text{which gives } \underbrace{(\eta_m - \eta_n)}_{\neq 0} (u, v)_H &= 0 \text{ implying } (u, v)_H = 0 \end{aligned}$$

► Next, we show that H_k (their union) generates the entire space.

Precisely: Let

$$\tilde{H} \equiv \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k u_k, N \geq 1, u_k \in H_k, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then we prove that

$$(\clubsuit) \quad (\tilde{H})^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker } K = H_0$$

(Pf) Note that $K(\tilde{H}) \subset \tilde{H}$. Moreover, if $u \in \tilde{H}^\perp$ and $v \in \tilde{H}$, then $Kv \in \tilde{H}$ and $(Ku, v) = (u, Kv) = 0$. This shows that $K(\tilde{H}^\perp) \subseteq \tilde{H}^\perp$.

Furthermore, let $\tilde{K}$ be restriction of K to $\tilde{H}^\perp$. Clearly, $\tilde{K}$ is compact selfadjoint operator. By Theorem 9.3

$$\|\tilde{K}\| = \sup_{\substack{u \in \tilde{H}^\perp \\ \|u\|_H = 1}} |(\tilde{K}u, u)| =: M$$

If $M \neq 0$, then either M or $-M$ belongs to $\sigma(\tilde{K})$. As $\tilde{K}$ is compact, $M \neq 0$, then M or $-M \in \sigma_p(\tilde{K})$. Hence $\exists 0 \neq w \in \tilde{H}^\perp$ so that

$$\tilde{K}w = Kw = \lambda w$$

This however contradicts to the fact that all eigenvectors belong to $\tilde{H}$. We thus conclude that $\|\tilde{K}\| = 0$ proving (B). □

► For each $k \geq 1$, the finite-dimensional subspace H_k admits an orthonormal basis $B_k = \{e_{k,1}, e_{k,2}, \dots, e_{k,N(k)}\}$. Moreover, as H is separable, the closed subspace $H_0 = \text{Ker } K$ admits a countable orthonormal basis B_0 . Hence

$$B := \bigcup_{k \geq 0} B_k \text{ is an orthonormal basis in } H. \quad \square$$

Theorem 9.5 (Hellinger-Toeplitz theorem) Let $(H, (\cdot, \cdot)_H)$ be a Hilbert space and $A: H \rightarrow H$ be a selfadjoint linear operator. Then A is continuous.

Proof By the Banach closed graph theorem, it is enough to show that A is closed. So, let $x_n \rightarrow x$ in H and $Ax_n \rightarrow y$ in H .

Then $(Ax_n, z)_H \rightarrow (y, z)$ and $(Ax_n, z) = (x_n, Az) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} (x, Az) = (Ax, z)$. Consequently $(Ax, z) = (y, z)$ for all $z \in H$. Hence $Ax = y$. □

Theorem 9.3* Let $(u_i, \cdot)_H$ be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and $A: H \rightarrow H$ be compact and self-adjoint linear operator with $\dim(\text{Im } A) = \infty$. Then

(a) $\exists \{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of eigenvalues and $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of corresponding eigenvectors satisfying

$\triangleright |\lambda_1| = \|A\|, |\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \geq \dots, |\lambda_n| \neq 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$

$\triangleright \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$

$\triangleright Av_n = \lambda_n v_n \quad \forall n; \quad (v_m, v_n)_H = \delta_{mn}$

$$|\lambda_1| = \frac{|(Av_1, v_1)|}{\|v_1\|_H^2} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(Ax, x)|}{\|x\|_H^2}$$

$$|\lambda_n| = \frac{|(Av_n, v_n)|}{\|v_n\|_H^2} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{|(Ax, x)|}{\|x\|_H^2}$$

for all $n \geq 2$

$$(x, v_k) = 0 \\ 1 \leq k \leq n-1$$

(b) For any $x \in H$:

$$Ax = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (x, v_n) v_n$$

(c) Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be an eigenvalue of A . Then, there exists $n \geq 1$ s.t. $\lambda_n = \lambda$. Besides $I(\lambda) = \{n \geq 1; \lambda_n = \lambda\}$ is finite and

$$\{p \in H; Ap = \lambda p\} = \text{span} \{p_n\}_{n \in I(\lambda)}$$

(d) The kernel of A is given as

$$\text{Ker } A = \left[\text{span} \{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right]^{\perp}$$

Theorem 9.3** • Let $(u_i, \cdot)_H$ be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $A: X \rightarrow X$ be injective, compact, self-adjoint linear operator. Then the eigenvectors $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ from Theorem 9.3* form a maximal orthonormal family.

• If in addition H is separable Hilbert space, then the eigenvectors $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ from Theorem 9.3* form a Hilbert basis in H . i.e. Orthonormal

$$\left[\forall x \in H : x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x, v_i) v_i \right]$$

NOTE If $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an orthonormal basis of a real Hilbert space H consisting of eigenvectors of a linear, compact, self-adjoint operator K , with $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ being the corresponding eigenvalues, then: for a given $f \in H$ ~~which~~ consider

$$(Eq) \quad u - Ku = f.$$

If $1 \notin \sigma(K)$, then (6.9) admits a unique soln.

$$u = \sum c_n e_n \quad f = \sum b_n e_n$$

then, after inserting into (Eq),

$$\sum (c_n e_n - \lambda_n c_n e_n - b_n e_n) = 0$$

we get

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{1 - \lambda_n} e_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(f, e_n) e_n}{(1 - \lambda_n)}.$$

NOTE Given a countable set $S = \{u_1, u_2, \dots\}$, a basic problem is to decide if $\text{span } S$ is dense in X . Two important positive cases:

(I) $[X = C(E)]$ continuous fcts over a compact metric space E . Then $\overline{\text{span } S} = X$ if $\text{span } S$ is algebra that contains a constant fct and separate points.

(II) X is a separable Hilbert space a
 $\exists L: X \rightarrow X$ such that
 (i) $\text{span } S$ contains all eigenvectors of L
 (ii) $\text{span } S \rightarrow$ the kernel of L
 Then, by Hilbert-Schmidt theorem 9.4:
 $\overline{\text{span } S} = X$.