

# Free Banach Lattices

Antonio Avilés  
Universidad de Murcia

MTM2014-541982-P, MTM2017-86182-P (AEI/FEDER, UE)  
Fundación Séneca 19275/PI/14

Winter School in Abstract Analysis - Section Analysis 2018

## Definition

A **lattice** is a partially ordered set  $(L, \leq)$  such that every two elements  $x$  and  $y$  have a supremum  $x \vee y$  and an infimum  $x \wedge y$ .

## Definition

A **lattice** is a partially ordered set  $(L, \leq)$  such that every two elements  $x$  and  $y$  have a supremum  $x \vee y$  and an infimum  $x \wedge y$ .

## Definition

A **vector lattice** is a (real) vector space  $L$  that is also a lattice and

## Definition

A **lattice** is a partially ordered set  $(L, \leq)$  such that every two elements  $x$  and  $y$  have a supremum  $x \vee y$  and an infimum  $x \wedge y$ .

## Definition

A **vector lattice** is a (real) vector space  $L$  that is also a lattice and  $x \leq x', y \leq y', r, s \geq 0 \Rightarrow rx + sy \leq rx' + sy'$

## Definition

A **lattice** is a partially ordered set  $(L, \leq)$  such that every two elements  $x$  and  $y$  have a supremum  $x \vee y$  and an infimum  $x \wedge y$ .

## Definition

A **vector lattice** is a (real) vector space  $L$  that is also a lattice and  $x \leq x', y \leq y', r, s \geq 0 \Rightarrow rx + sy \leq rx' + sy'$

## Definition

A **Banach lattice** is a vector lattice  $L$  that is also a Banach space and for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|$

$$|x| = x \vee -x$$

## Definition

A **Banach lattice** is a vector lattice  $L$  that is also a Banach space and for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|$

## Definition

A **Banach lattice** is a vector lattice  $L$  that is also a Banach space and for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|$

## Definition

A **homomorphism**  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  between Banach lattices is a bounded operator such that  $T(x \vee y) = T(x) \vee T(y)$  and  $T(x \wedge y) = T(x) \wedge T(y)$ .

## Definition

A **Banach lattice** is a vector lattice  $L$  that is also a Banach space and for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|$

## Definition

A **homomorphism**  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  between Banach lattices is a bounded operator such that  $T(x \vee y) = T(x) \vee T(y)$  and  $T(x \wedge y) = T(x) \wedge T(y)$ .

- $C(K)$ ,  $L^p(\mu)$  with  $f \leq g$  iff  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for (almost) all  $x$ .



## Definition

A **Banach lattice** is a vector lattice  $L$  that is also a Banach space and for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $|x| \leq |y| \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq \|y\|$

## Definition

A **homomorphism**  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  between Banach lattices is a bounded operator such that  $T(x \vee y) = T(x) \vee T(y)$  and  $T(x \wedge y) = T(x) \wedge T(y)$ .

- $C(K)$ ,  $L^p(\mu)$  with  $f \leq g$  iff  $f(x) \leq g(x)$  for (almost) all  $x$ .
- Spaces with unconditional basis with coordinatewise order.

Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice and  $Y \subset X$

- $Y$  is a **Banach sublattice** if it is closed linear subspace that is moreover closed under operations  $\vee, \wedge$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice and  $Y \subset X$

- $Y$  is a **Banach sublattice** if it is closed linear subspace that is moreover closed under operations  $\vee, \wedge$ . This makes  $Y$  a Banach lattice.

Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice and  $Y \subset X$

- $Y$  is a **Banach sublattice** if it is closed linear subspace that is moreover closed under operations  $\vee, \wedge$ . This makes  $Y$  a Banach lattice.
- $Y$  is an **ideal** if moreover, if  $f \in Y$  and  $|g| \leq |f|$  then  $g \in Y$ .

# Sublattices, ideals and quotients

Let  $X$  be a Banach lattice and  $Y \subset X$

- $Y$  is a **Banach sublattice** if it is closed linear subspace that is moreover closed under operations  $\vee, \wedge$ . This makes  $Y$  a Banach lattice.
- $Y$  is an **ideal** if moreover, if  $f \in Y$  and  $|g| \leq |f|$  then  $g \in Y$ . This makes  $X/Y$  a Banach lattice.

For a Banach space  $E$

$$E^* = \{x^* : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded operators}\}$$

The weak topology of  $E$  is the least topology that makes all  $x^* \in E^*$  continuous.

For a Banach space  $E$

$$E^* = \{x^* : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded operators}\}$$

The weak topology of  $E$  is the least topology that makes all  $x^* \in E^*$  continuous.

For a Banach lattice  $X$

The set of Banach lattice homomorphisms  $Hom(X, \mathbb{R})$  is much smaller

For a Banach space  $E$

$$E^* = \{x^* : E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded operators}\}$$

The weak topology of  $E$  is the least topology that makes all  $x^* \in E^*$  continuous.

For a Banach lattice  $X$

The set of Banach lattice homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is much smaller

- $\text{Hom}(C(K), \mathbb{R}) = \{r\delta_x : r \geq 0, x \in K\}$



For a Banach space  $E$

$$E^* = \{x^* : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded operators}\}$$

The weak topology of  $E$  is the least topology that makes all  $x^* \in E^*$  continuous.

For a Banach lattice  $X$

The set of Banach lattice homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is much smaller

- $\text{Hom}(C(K), \mathbb{R}) = \{r\delta_x : r \geq 0, x \in K\}$
- $\text{Hom}(\ell_p, \mathbb{R}) = \{re_n^* : r \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$

For a Banach space  $E$

$$E^* = \{x^* : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ bounded operators}\}$$

The weak topology of  $E$  is the least topology that makes all  $x^* \in E^*$  continuous.

For a Banach lattice  $X$

The set of Banach lattice homomorphisms  $\text{Hom}(X, \mathbb{R})$  is much smaller

- $\text{Hom}(C(K), \mathbb{R}) = \{r\delta_x : r \geq 0, x \in K\}$
- $\text{Hom}(\ell_p, \mathbb{R}) = \{re_n^* : r \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- $\text{Hom}(L_p[0, 1], \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$

- A Banach space  $E$  is **weakly compactly generated (WCG)** if there exists a weakly compact subset  $K \subset E$  that is linearly dense in  $E$ .

- A Banach space  $E$  is **weakly compactly generated (WCG)** if there exists a weakly compact subset  $K \subset E$  that is linearly dense in  $E$ .
- A Banach lattice  $X$  is **lattice-weakly compactly generated (LWCG)** if there exists a weakly compact subset  $K \subset X$  that generates  $X$  as a Banach lattice.

- A Banach space  $E$  is **weakly compactly generated (WCG)** if there exists a weakly compact subset  $K \subset E$  that is linearly dense in  $E$ .
- A Banach lattice  $X$  is **lattice-weakly compactly generated (LWCG)** if there exists a weakly compact subset  $K \subset X$  that generates  $X$  as a Banach lattice.

## Problem (Joe Diestel)

If a Banach lattice  $X$  is LWCG, is it also WCG when viewed as a Banach space?

## Problem (Joe Diestel)

If a Banach lattice  $X$  is LWCG, is it also WCG when viewed as a Banach space?

# A question by Joe Diestel

## Problem (Joe Diestel)

If a Banach lattice  $X$  is LWCG, is it also WCG when viewed as a Banach space?

## Theorem (A., Guirao, Lajara, Rodríguez, Tradacete)

The answer is **YES** in the following cases:

## Problem (Joe Diestel)

If a Banach lattice  $X$  is LWCG, is it also WCG when viewed as a Banach space?

## Theorem (A., Guirao, Lajara, Rodríguez, Tradacete)

The answer is **YES** in the following cases:

- When  $X = C(K)$ ,



## Problem (Joe Diestel)

If a Banach lattice  $X$  is LWCG, is it also WCG when viewed as a Banach space?

## Theorem (A., Guirao, Lajara, Rodríguez, Tradacete)

The answer is **YES** in the following cases:

- When  $X = C(K)$ ,
- When the lattice operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are weakly *sequentially* continuous,

## Problem (Joe Diestel)

If a Banach lattice  $X$  is LWCG, is it also WCG when viewed as a Banach space?

## Theorem (A., Guirao, Lajara, Rodríguez, Tradacete)

The answer is **YES** in the following cases:

- When  $X = C(K)$ ,
- When the lattice operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  are weakly *sequentially* continuous,
- $X$  is order continuous
- ...

Order continuous: if  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i = 0$ , then  $\bigwedge \{ \|f_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{i_n}\| \} = 0$ .

# Independence and free generation

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an algebraic category (groups, rings, vector spaces, vector lattices...)

# Independence and free generation

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an algebraic category (groups, rings, vector spaces, vector lattices...)

## The algebraic notion of independence

For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -independent if the only equations that they satisfy are those that follow from the axioms.

# Independence and free generation

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an algebraic category (groups, rings, vector spaces, vector lattices...)

## The algebraic notion of independence

For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -independent if the only equations that they satisfy are those that follow from the axioms.

## The algebraic notion of free generation

$\text{Free}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is the set of all the algebraic expressions that we can form operating with elements of  $A$ ,

# Independence and free generation

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an algebraic category (groups, rings, vector spaces, vector lattices...)

## The algebraic notion of independence

For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -independent if the only equations that they satisfy are those that follow from the axioms.

## The algebraic notion of free generation

$\text{Free}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is the set of all the algebraic expressions that we can form operating with elements of  $A$ , **two expressions being equal only when this is forced by the axioms.**

# Independence and free generation

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an algebraic category (groups, rings, vector spaces, vector lattices...)

## The algebraic notion of independence

For  $X \in \mathcal{C}$ , elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$  are  $\mathcal{C}$ -independent if the only equations that they satisfy are those that follow from the axioms.

## The algebraic notion of free generation

$Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is the set of all the algebraic expressions that we can form operating with elements of  $A$ , **two expressions being equal only when this is forced by the axioms.**

That is,  $Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  contains  $A$  as a set of independent generators.

## Categorical characterization of free generation

$Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is characterized by the property that every map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique morphism  $Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow X$



# Independence and free generation

## Categorical characterization of free generation

$Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is characterized by the property that every map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique morphism  $Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow X$

## Free Banach space generated by a set $A$

It is the unique Banach space  $F$  with  $A \subset B_F$  and every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique operator  $F \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

# Independence and free generation

## Categorical characterization of free generation

$Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is characterized by the property that every map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique morphism  $Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow X$

## Free Banach space generated by a set $A$

It is the unique Banach space  $F$  with  $A \subset B_F$  and every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique operator  $F \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

This is just  $\ell_1(A)$ .

# Independence and free generation

## Categorical characterization of free generation

$Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$  is characterized by the property that every map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique morphism  $Free_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \rightarrow X$

## Free Banach space generated by a set $A$

It is the unique Banach space  $F$  with  $A \subset B_F$  and every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique operator  $F \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

This is just  $\ell_1(A)$ . Because this is the free vector space generated by  $A$  completed with the largest possible norm.

# The free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

## Definition (de Pagter, Wickstead 2015)

We say that  $F = FBL(A)$  if there is an inclusion map  $A \rightarrow B_F$  such that every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique Banach lattice homomorphism  $FBL(A) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

# The free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

## Definition (de Pagter, Wickstead 2015)

We say that  $F = FBL(A)$  if there is an inclusion map  $A \rightarrow B_F$  such that every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique Banach lattice homomorphism  $FBL(A) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

- It exists and is unique up to isomorphism.

# The free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

## Definition (de Pagter, Wickstead 2015)

We say that  $F = FBL(A)$  if there is an inclusion map  $A \rightarrow B_F$  such that every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique Banach lattice homomorphism  $FBL(A) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

- It exists and is unique up to isomorphism.
- Uniqueness is easy, how to construct it?

# The free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

## Definition (de Pagter, Wickstead 2015)

We say that  $F = FBL(A)$  if there is an inclusion map  $A \rightarrow B_F$  such that every bounded map  $A \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique Banach lattice homomorphism  $FBL(A) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

- It exists and is unique up to isomorphism.
- Uniqueness is easy, how to construct it?
- Similarly as before, we first construct the free vector lattice  $FVL(A)$  generated by  $A$ , and later we complete it with the largest possible norm.

- For every  $a \in A$ , take the evaluation  $\delta_a : \mathbb{R}^A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .



# Free vector lattice

- For every  $a \in A$ , take the evaluation  $\delta_a : \mathbb{R}^A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- The family  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  is vector lattice independent in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ .

# Free vector lattice

- For every  $a \in A$ , take the evaluation  $\delta_a : \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- The family  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  is vector lattice independent in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ .
- Hence, the free vector lattice generated by  $A$ , is the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  inside  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ .

$$FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{VL} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$$

# Free vector lattice

- For every  $a \in A$ , take the evaluation  $\delta_a : \mathbb{R}^A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
- The family  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  is vector lattice independent in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ .
- Hence, the free vector lattice generated by  $A$ , is the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  inside  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$ .

$$FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{VL} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$$

- All the functions of  $FVL(A)$  are positively homogeneous on  $\mathbb{R}^A$  and continuous on  $[-1, 1]^A$ .

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

- Now, we take  $f \in FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{veclat} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

- Now, we take  $f \in FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{veclat} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$
- How to define norm  $\|f\|$  having the free extension property?

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

- Now, we take  $f \in FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{veclat} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$
- How to define norm  $\|f\|$  having the free extension property?
- Focus on extending  $T : A \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  to norm-one homomorphisms  $\tilde{T} : FBL(A) \rightarrow \ell_1^n$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

- Now, we take  $f \in FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{\text{veclat}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$
- How to define norm  $\|f\|$  having the free extension property?
- Focus on extending  $T : A \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  to norm-one homomorphisms  $\tilde{T} : FBL(A) \rightarrow \ell_1^n$  when  $T$  is a linear combinations of evaluations  $T(a) = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i(a)e_i$ ,

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

- Now, we take  $f \in FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{veclat} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$
- How to define norm  $\|f\|$  having the free extension property?
- Focus on extending  $T : A \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  to norm-one homomorphisms  $\tilde{T} : FBL(A) \rightarrow \ell_1^m$  when  $T$  is a linear combinations of evaluations  $T(a) = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i(a)e_i$ , and we get

$$\|f\| \geq \|\tilde{T}f\| = \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| \text{ whenever } \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1$$



# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

- Now, we take  $f \in FVL(A) = \langle \delta_a : a \in A \rangle_{veclat} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$
- How to define norm  $\|f\|$  having the free extension property?
- Focus on extending  $T : A \rightarrow (-1, 1)$  to norm-one homomorphisms  $\tilde{T} : FBL(A) \rightarrow \ell_1^n$  when  $T$  is a linear combinations of evaluations  $T(a) = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i(a)e_i$ , and we get

$$\|f\| \geq \|\tilde{T}f\| = \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| \text{ whenever } \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1$$

And this happen to be enough...

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

- The proof requires some extra work because the homomorphisms onto  $\mathbb{R}$  do not give all the information.

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Notice that  $\|f\| \geq \|f|_{[-1,1]^A}\|_\infty$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Notice that  $\|f\| \geq \|f|_{[-1,1]^A}\|_\infty$
- $FBL(A)$  can be viewed as a subset of the Banach lattice of continuous and positively homogeneous functions on  $[-1,1]^A$ .

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

## Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Notice that  $\|f\| \geq \|f|_{[-1,1]^A}\|_{\infty}$
- $FBL(A)$  can be viewed as a subset of the Banach lattice of continuous and positively homogeneous functions on  $[-1,1]^A$ .
- The inclusion  $FBL(A) \rightarrow C([-1,1]^A)$  is an injective homomorphism, but not isomorphism onto image.  
Like  $l_1 \subset l_{\infty}$ .

# Free Banach lattice generated by a set $A$

## Theorem (de Pagter, Wickstead; A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_a : a \in A\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}^A}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(z_i)| : \sup_{a \in A} \sum_{i=1}^m |z_i(a)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

- Notice that  $\|f\| \geq \|f|_{[-1,1]^A}\|_\infty$
- $FBL(A)$  can be viewed as a subset of the Banach lattice of continuous and positively homogeneous functions on  $[-1,1]^A$ .
- The inclusion  $FBL(A) \rightarrow C([-1,1]^A)$  is an injective homomorphism, but not isomorphism onto image.  
Like  $\ell_1 \subset \ell_\infty$ .
- In the finite case,  $FBL(n)$  is  $n$ -isomorphic to  $C(\mathbb{S}^n)$ .



- $FBL(A)$  is always ccc (there is no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint positive elements).

# Other facts and questions from de Pagter and Wickstead

- $FBL(A)$  is always ccc (there is no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint positive elements).
- Do all intervals of  $FBL(A)$  have the same density?

# Other facts and questions from de Pagter and Wickstead

- $FBL(A)$  is always ccc (there is no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint positive elements).
- Do all intervals of  $FBL(A)$  have the same density?
- Does  $FBL(A)$  have the **Nakano property**?

- $FBL(A)$  is always ccc (there is no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint positive elements).
- Do all intervals of  $FBL(A)$  have the same density?
- Does  $FBL(A)$  have the **Nakano property**?  
For every order bounded set  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements

$$\sup\{\|x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_n\| : x_i \in \mathcal{F}\} = \inf\{\|y\| : y \geq \mathcal{F}\}$$

- $FBL(A)$  is always ccc (there is no uncountable family of pairwise disjoint positive elements).
- Do all intervals of  $FBL(A)$  have the same density?
- Does  $FBL(A)$  have the **Nakano property**?  
For every order bounded set  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements

$$\sup\{\|x_1 \vee \cdots \vee x_n\| : x_i \in \mathcal{F}\} = \inf\{\|y\| : y \geq \mathcal{F}\}$$

They also pose a number of problems on *projective Banach lattices*.

# Free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space $E$

The idea now is to create a Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  that is generated (as a Banach lattice) by a Banach subspace isometric to  $E$  in a free way.

# Free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space $E$

The idea now is to create a Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  that is generated (as a Banach lattice) by a Banach subspace isometric to  $E$  in a free way.

## Definition

$F = FBL[E]$  if there is an inclusion mapping  $E \rightarrow F$  and every operator  $E \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique homomorphism  $FBL(E) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

# Free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space $E$

The idea now is to create a Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  that is generated (as a Banach lattice) by a Banach subspace isometric to  $E$  in a free way.

## Definition

$F = FBL[E]$  if there is an inclusion mapping  $E \rightarrow F$  and every operator  $E \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique homomorphism  $FBL(E) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

- The uniqueness of  $FBL[E]$  is easy.



# Free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space $E$

The idea now is to create a Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  that is generated (as a Banach lattice) by a Banach subspace isometric to  $E$  in a free way.

## Definition

$F = FBL[E]$  if there is an inclusion mapping  $E \rightarrow F$  and every operator  $E \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique homomorphism  $FBL(E) \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

- The uniqueness of  $FBL[E]$  is easy.
- For the existence one can take the quotient of  $FBL(E)$  by the ideal generated by all linear combinations of  $E$  which are zero.

# More explicit description of $FBL[E]$

For  $x \in E$ , take  $\delta_x : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

# More explicit description of $FBL[E]$

For  $x \in E$ , take  $\delta_x : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by  $E$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_e : e \in E\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{E^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in B_E} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

# More explicit description of $FBL[E]$

For  $x \in E$ , take  $\delta_x : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by  $E$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_e : e \in E\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{E^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in B_E} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

The difficulty here is, again, that we cannot reduce to homomorphisms onto  $\mathbb{R}$  or onto  $\ell_1^n$ .

# More explicit description of $FBL[E]$

For  $x \in E$ , take  $\delta_x : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by  $E$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_e : e \in E\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{E^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in B_E} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

We used that each  $f \in FVL(A)$  is the difference of suprema of linear combinations in  $A$ ,

# More explicit description of $FBL[E]$

For  $x \in E$ , take  $\delta_x : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by  $E$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_e : e \in E\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{E^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in B_E} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

We used that each  $f \in FVL(A)$  is the difference of suprema of linear combinations in  $A$ , and the **Riesz-Kantorovich formula**:

$$y^* \left( \bigvee_{k=1}^m u_k \right) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m y_k^*(u_k) : y_k^* \geq 0, \sum_{k=1}^m y_k^* = y^* \right\}.$$

# More explicit description of $FBL[E]$

For  $x \in E$ , take  $\delta_x : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Tradacete, Rodríguez)

The free Banach lattice generated by  $E$  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_e : e \in E\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{E^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in B_E} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \pm x_i^* \right\| \leq 1 \right\}$$

## Proposition

The free Banach lattice generated by the Banach space  $\ell_1(A)$  coincides with the free Banach lattice generated by a set  $A$ .

$$FBL[\ell_1(A)] = FBL(A)$$



Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

Proof:

## Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

**Proof:** By Khintchine inequality, we have an operator  $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  such that  $Te_n = r_n$  are the Rademacher functions,

## Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

**Proof:** By Khintchine inequality, we have an operator  $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  such that  $Te_n = r_n$  are the Rademacher functions, and  $|Te_n|$  is the constant 1 function.

## Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

**Proof:** By Khintchine inequality, we have an operator  $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  such that  $Te_n = r_n$  are the Rademacher functions, and  $|Te_n|$  is the constant 1 function. There is a Banach lattice homomorphism of the same norm  $\tilde{T} : FBL(\ell_2) \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  that extends  $T$ .

## Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

**Proof:** By Khintchine inequality, we have an operator  $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  such that  $Te_n = r_n$  are the Rademacher functions, and  $|Te_n|$  is the constant 1 function. There is a Banach lattice homomorphism of the same norm  $\tilde{T} : FBL(\ell_2) \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  that extends  $T$ . Then  $\tilde{T}|e_n| = |Te_n|$ ,

## Proposition (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2]$ ,  $\{|e_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1$ .

**Proof:** By Khintchine inequality, we have an operator  $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  such that  $Te_n = r_n$  are the Rademacher functions, and  $|Te_n|$  is the constant 1 function. There is a Banach lattice homomorphism of the same norm  $\tilde{T} : FBL(\ell_2) \rightarrow L_1[0, 1]$  that extends  $T$ . Then  $\tilde{T}|e_n| = |Te_n|$ , and

$$\|T\| \cdot \left\| \sum r_i |e_i| \right\| \geq \left\| \tilde{T} \left( \sum r_i |e_i| \right) \right\| = \left\| \sum r_i \right\|$$

# Solution to Diestel's question

Corollary (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$ ,  $\{|e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ .



# Solution to Diestel's question

Corollary (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$ ,  $\{ |e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ .

Therefore  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$  is LWCG but not WCG.

# Solution to Diestel's question

Corollary (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$ ,  $\{|e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ .

Therefore  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$  is LWCG but not WCG.

We do not know if  $FBL[c_0(\Gamma)]$  is WCG...

# Solution to Diestel's question

Corollary (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$ ,  $\{ |e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ .

Therefore  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$  is LWCG but not WCG.

We do not know if  $FBL[c_0(\Gamma)]$  is WCG... but we do know now that in this case  $\{ |e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$  is weakly null.

# Solution to Diestel's question

## Corollary (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

In  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$ ,  $\{ |e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$  is equivalent to the basis of  $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ .

Therefore  $FBL[\ell_2(\Gamma)]$  is LWCG but not WCG.

We do not know if  $FBL[c_0(\Gamma)]$  is WCG... but we do know now that in this case  $\{ |e_\gamma| : \gamma \in \Gamma \}$  is weakly null. Therefore, also

## Corollary

If  $\{ e_n : n < \omega \}$  is a sequence equivalent to  $c_0$  in any Banach lattice, then  $\{ |e_n| : n < \omega \}$  is weakly null.

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

Sketch of proof for  $FBL(A)$ .

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

Sketch of proof for  $FBL(A)$ . Take  $f < g$ .

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

**Sketch of proof for  $FBL(A)$ .** Take  $f < g$ . There exists  $A_0 \subset A$  countable such that  $f, g \in FBL(A_0) \subset FBL(A)$ .



## Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

**Sketch of proof for  $FBL(A)$ .** Take  $f < g$ . There exists  $A_0 \subset A$  countable such that  $f, g \in FBL(A_0) \subset FBL(A)$ . Consider

$$D = \{d_b = (f \vee b) \wedge g : b \in A \setminus A_0\}.$$

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

**Sketch of proof for  $FBL(A)$ .** Take  $f < g$ . There exists  $A_0 \subset A$  countable such that  $f, g \in FBL(A_0) \subset FBL(A)$ . Consider

$$D = \{d_b = (f \vee b) \wedge g : b \in A \setminus A_0\}.$$

Given  $b, c \in A \setminus A_0$ , extend  $T : A \rightarrow FBL(A)$  that is identity on  $A_0$  and  $Tb = f$  and  $Tc = g$ .

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

All intervals of  $FBL[E]$  have the same density as  $E$ .

**Sketch of proof for  $FBL(A)$ .** Take  $f < g$ . There exists  $A_0 \subset A$  countable such that  $f, g \in FBL(A_0) \subset FBL(A)$ . Consider

$$D = \{d_b = (f \vee b) \wedge g : b \in A \setminus A_0\}.$$

Given  $b, c \in A \setminus A_0$ , extend  $T : A \rightarrow FBL(A)$  that is identity on  $A_0$  and  $Tb = f$  and  $Tc = g$ .

$$\|f - g\| = \|Td_b - Td_c\| \leq \|d_b - d_c\|$$

# The Nakano property

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

$FBL(A) = FBL[\ell_1(A)]$  has the **strong Nakano property**.

# The Nakano property

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

$FBL(A) = FBL[\ell_1(A)]$  has the **strong Nakano property**.

**Strong Nakano:** If  $\mathcal{F} \subset X_+$  is norm-bounded and closed under  $\vee$ , then it has an upper bound  $y$  with

$$\|y\| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

# The Nakano property

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

$FBL(A) = FBL[\ell_1(A)]$  has the **strong Nakano property**.

**Strong Nakano:** If  $\mathcal{F} \subset X_+$  is norm-bounded and closed under  $\vee$ , then it has an upper bound  $y$  with

$$\|y\| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

**Example:**  $C(K)$ , we can take  $y$  a constant function.

# The Nakano property

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

$FBL(A) = FBL[\ell_1(A)]$  has the **strong Nakano property**.

**Strong Nakano:** If  $\mathcal{F} \subset X_+$  is norm-bounded and closed under  $\vee$ , then it has an upper bound  $y$  with

$$\|y\| = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

**Example:**  $C(K)$ , we can take  $y$  a constant function.

In  $FBL(A)$ , we have elements that play analogous role, the elements

$$\left| \sum_{a \in A} r_a |\delta_a| \right|$$

for  $(r_a)_A \in \ell_1(A)$ .

# The Nakano property

Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

$FBL[L_1]$  fails the Nakano property.



## Theorem (A., Rodríguez, Tradacete)

$FBL[L_1]$  fails the Nakano property. There is an increasing sequence of positive elements of norms at most 1, all of whose upper bounds have norm greater than 2.

$$f_n = g \wedge \sum_{i=1}^{2^n} |\delta_{u_k^n}|$$

- $g$  is any positive element with  $\|g\| = 2$ .
- $u_k^n = 1_{[(k-1) \cdot 2^{-n}, k \cdot 2^{-n}]}$

# The countable chain condition

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

The Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  has the countable chain condition.

# The countable chain condition

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

The Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  has the countable chain condition.

**The ccc:** Every uncountable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements contains two with  $f \wedge g \neq 0$ .

# The countable chain condition

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

The Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  has the countable chain condition.

**The ccc:** Every uncountable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements contains two with  $f \wedge g \neq 0$ .

Remember that  $FBL[E] \hookrightarrow C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$

# The countable chain condition

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

The Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  has the countable chain condition.

**The ccc:** Every uncountable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements contains two with  $f \wedge g \neq 0$ .

Remember that  $FBL[E] \hookrightarrow C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$

When  $E = \ell_1(A)$ , it is known that  $C(B_{E^*}) = C([-1, 1]^A)$  is ccc.

# The countable chain condition

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

The Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  has the countable chain condition.

**The ccc:** Every uncountable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements contains two with  $f \wedge g \neq 0$ .

Remember that  $FBL[E] \hookrightarrow C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$

When  $E = \ell_1(A)$ , it is known that  $C(B_{E^*}) = C([-1, 1]^A)$  is ccc. In fact it is  $K_n$ : Every uncountable family of positive elements has an uncountable subfamily with  $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \neq 0$ .

# The countable chain condition

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

The Banach lattice  $FBL[E]$  has the countable chain condition.

**The ccc:** Every uncountable family  $\mathcal{F}$  of positive elements contains two with  $f \wedge g \neq 0$ .

Remember that  $FBL[E] \hookrightarrow C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$

When  $E = \ell_1(A)$ , it is known that  $C(B_{E^*}) = C([-1, 1]^A)$  is ccc. In fact it is  $K_n$ : Every uncountable family of positive elements has an uncountable subfamily with  $f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_n \neq 0$ .

For every  $N > 0$  and every uncountable family  $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{+h}(B_{E^*})_+$  has an uncountable subfamily  $\mathcal{F}'$  such that among every  $N$  elements there are two with  $f \wedge g \neq 0$ .

$K_n$ : Every uncountable family of positive elements has an uncountable subfamily with  $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \neq 0$ .

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

If  $E$  is WCG, then  $C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$  has Knaster's property  $K_n$ .



$K_n$ : Every uncountable family of positive elements has an uncountable subfamily with  $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \neq 0$ .

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

If  $E$  is WCG, then  $C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$  has Knaster's property  $K_n$ .

We do not know if this holds for arbitrary  $E$

$K_n$ : Every uncountable family of positive elements has an uncountable subfamily with  $f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_n \neq 0$ .

Theorem (A., Plebanek, Rodríguez Abellán)

If  $E$  is WCG, then  $C_{+h}(B_{E^*})$  has Knaster's property  $K_n$ .

We do not know if this holds for arbitrary  $E$  (at least for  $FBL[E]$ )

# Free Banach lattice generated by a lattice

Now, let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a lattice.

# Free Banach lattice generated by a lattice

Now, let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a lattice. A lattice-morphism means that  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  and  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$ .

# Free Banach lattice generated by a lattice

Now, let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a lattice. A lattice-morphism means that  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  and  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$ .

## Definition

We say that  $F = FBL[\mathbb{L}]$  if there is an inclusion map  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow B_F$  such that every bounded lattice-morphism  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique Banach lattice homomorphism  $FBL[\mathbb{L}] \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

# Free Banach lattice generated by a lattice

Now, let  $\mathbb{L}$  be a lattice. A lattice-morphism means that  $f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$  and  $f(x \vee y) = f(x) \vee f(y)$ .

## Definition

We say that  $F = FBL[\mathbb{L}]$  if there is an inclusion map  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow B_F$  such that every bounded lattice-morphism  $\mathbb{L} \rightarrow X$  extends to a unique Banach lattice homomorphism  $FBL[\mathbb{L}] \rightarrow X$  of the same norm.

Again, we can always construct this by making a suitable quotient of  $FBL(\mathbb{L})$ .

# Free Banach lattice generated by a line

$$\mathbb{L}^* = \{x^* : \mathbb{L} \longrightarrow [-1, 1] \text{ lattice morphism}\}.$$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a line

$\mathbb{L}^* = \{x^* : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow [-1, 1] \text{ lattice morphism}\}.$

For  $x \in \mathbb{L}$ , take  $\delta_x : \mathbb{L}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.



# Free Banach lattice generated by a line

$\mathbb{L}^* = \{x^* : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow [-1, 1] \text{ lattice morphism}\}$ .

For  $x \in \mathbb{L}$ , take  $\delta_x : \mathbb{L}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez Abellán)

**The free Banach lattice generated by linear order  $\mathbb{L}$**  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_x : x \in \mathbb{L}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{L}^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{L}} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

# Free Banach lattice generated by a line

$\mathbb{L}^* = \{x^* : \mathbb{L} \rightarrow [-1, 1] \text{ lattice morphism}\}.$

For  $x \in \mathbb{L}$ , take  $\delta_x : \mathbb{L}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the evaluation.

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez Abellán)

**The free Banach lattice generated by linear order  $\mathbb{L}$**  is the closure of the the vector lattice generated by  $\{\delta_x : x \in \mathbb{L}\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{L}^*}$  under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m |f(x_i^*)| : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{L}} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i^*(x)| \leq 1 \right\}$$

It seems to us that this description may not be valid for an arbitrary lattice  $\mathbb{L}$ .

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez Abellán)

For a linear order  $\mathbb{L}$ , the following are equivalent:

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez Abellán)

For a linear order  $\mathbb{L}$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1  $FBL[\mathbb{L}]$  is ccc

## Theorem (A., Rodríguez Abellán)

For a linear order  $\mathbb{L}$ , the following are equivalent:

- 1  $FBL[\mathbb{L}]$  is ccc
- 2  $\mathbb{L}$  is order-isomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

- B. de Pagter and A. W. Wickstead, *Free and projective Banach lattices*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 145 (2015), no. 1, 105–143.
- A. Avilés, J. Rodríguez, P. Tradacete, *The free Banach lattice generated by a Banach space*, arXiv:1706.08147  
+work in progress
- A. Avilés, G. Plebanek, J. D. Rodríguez Abellán, *Chain conditions in free Banach lattices*. To be available soon.
- A. Avilés, J. D. Rodríguez Abellán, *The free Banach lattice generated by a linear order*, in preparation.