

Ellipticity of complexes of symplectic twistor operators

Svatopluk Krýsl

Charles University, Prague, Czech republic

ICCAA Holon, June 5th, 2023

Use of commutant algebra of a group action on a vector space for analysis of complexes of invariant operators defined on functions with values in that vector space. Inv. ops. are defined by projections.

The setting: 1) A associative algebra over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers

2) $\rho : A \rightarrow \text{End}(W)$ representation of A on vector space W

3) *Commutant algebra (centralizer)*

$B = \text{Comm}_A(W) = \{T : W \rightarrow W \mid T \circ \rho(a) = \rho(a) \circ T \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ space of all A -equivariant maps/ A -homomorphisms/intertwiners

4) If A is semi-simple, then W is multiplicity-free as $A \otimes B$ -module. I.e., if $W' \neq W''$ are $A \otimes B$ -submodules of W , then W' and W'' are not isomorphic as $A \otimes B$ -modules.

Basic example: **Schur duality** (Commutant algebra for GL on k -tensors)

$G = GL(V)$ and $W = \bigotimes^k V$, $\rho(g)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = gv_1 \otimes \dots \otimes gv_k$
 $\implies (c_1g_1 + c_2g_2) \cdot w = c_1\rho(g_1)(w) + c_2\rho(g_2)(w)$, $g_1, g_2 \in G$,
 $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in W$ be the *extension of the action to the group algebra* $\mathbb{C}[\rho(G)]$; $\tau(\pi)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_k) = v_{\pi^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\pi^{-1}(k)}$,
 $\pi \in S_k$.

Result: $\text{Comm}_A(W) = \mathbb{C}[\tau(S_k)]$.

Example concerning **harmonic polynomials**: $O(n)$ on
 $P = P[x^1, \dots, x^n]$ by regular representation. Commutant algebra of
the action of $\mathbb{C}[O(n)]$ on P is generated by $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x^i}^2$,
 $E = -\sum_{i=1}^n x^i \partial_{x^i} - \frac{n}{2}$ and multiplication by $r^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2$.
Forms a representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by $X \mapsto \Delta$, $H \mapsto E$, $Y \mapsto r^2$.

Literature on - examples - of commutant algebras

Excerpt of further examples: Slupinski [Slup] - $Spin(n)$ acting on spinor valued anti-symmetric forms; Howe [Ho]; Goodman, Wallach [GN] (text-book); Leites, Shchepochkina [L]; Krýsl [KrLie]; Brax, De Schepper, Ellbode, Lávička, Souček [Br]; De Bie, Souček, Somberg [Bie].

Symplectic spinors

(V, ω) real symplectic vector space of dimension $2n$

$\lambda : \tilde{G} = Mp(V, \omega) \rightarrow Sp(V, \omega)$, connected double cover of $G = Sp(V, \omega)$, \tilde{G} - metaplectic group, non-compact Lie group - parallel to the covering $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$

$\mathbb{L} \subseteq V$ maximal isotropic vector subspace: $\omega(v, w) = 0$ for all $v, w \in \mathbb{L}$, $\mathbb{L} \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$

$L : Mp(V, \omega) \rightarrow U(L^2(\mathbb{L}))$ distinguished *Segal–Shale–Weil/*
/symplectic spinor/metaplectic/oscillator representation [Sh],
[Weil], [Kos]

$S = L^2(\mathbb{L})$ - **symplectic spinors**, $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} \bigwedge^i V \otimes S$ -
symplectic spinor valued anti-symmetric forms

$$\rho(g)(\alpha \otimes s) = \lambda(g)^* \alpha \otimes L(g)s$$

Decomposition of $E = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} \wedge^i V \otimes S$

The module E decomposes [KrLie] as \tilde{G} -module into direct sum

$$\bigoplus_{(i,j) \in \Xi} E^{ij},$$

where Ξ is a finite set $((n+1)(2n+1)$ elements),
 $E^{ij} = E_{ij}^+ \oplus E_{ij}^- \subseteq \wedge^i V \otimes S$ and E_{ij}^\pm are irreducible \tilde{G} -modules.

p^{ij} projection of $\wedge^i V \otimes S$ onto E^{ij}

Lie super algebras

$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1$ is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded/aka super vector space

$|z| = i$ if $0 \neq z \in \mathfrak{f}_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$

$[[,]]$: $\mathfrak{f} \times \mathfrak{f} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}$ is bilinear

$[[,]]$: $\mathfrak{f}_i \times \mathfrak{f}_j \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}_{i+j}$

super anti-symmetric: $[[x, y]] = -(-)^{|x||y|} [[y, x]]$

super Jacobi rule

$$(-)^{|x||z|} [[x, [[y, z]]] + (-)^{|z||y|} [[z, [[x, y]]] + (-)^{|y||x|} [[y, [[z, x]]] = 0$$

where $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{f}_0 \cup \mathfrak{f}_1$, $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $i + j$ means $i + j \pmod 2$

Lie super algebra $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$

$\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_1$ (bosonic and fermionic part)

$\mathfrak{f}_0 = \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{C}}(e^+, h, e^-) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$

$\mathfrak{f}_1 = \text{Lin}_{\mathbb{C}}(f^+, f^-)$

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket h, e^{\pm} \rrbracket &= \pm e^{\pm} & \llbracket e^+, e^- \rrbracket &= 2h \\ \llbracket h, f^{\pm} \rrbracket &= \pm \frac{1}{2} f^{\pm} & \llbracket f^+, f^- \rrbracket &= \frac{1}{2} h \\ \llbracket e^{\pm}, f^{\mp} \rrbracket &= -f^{\pm} & \llbracket f^{\pm}, f^{\pm} \rrbracket &= \pm \frac{1}{2} e^{\pm} \end{aligned}$$

Commutant for sympl. spinor valued anti-symmetric forms

Consider $E = E_0 \oplus E_1$ as super vector space (\mathbb{Z}_2 -grading), where $E_0 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \wedge^{2i} V \otimes S$, $E_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \wedge^{2i-1} V \otimes S$.
 $p_+(\alpha \otimes s) = \alpha \otimes s_+$, $p_-(\alpha \otimes s) = \alpha \otimes s_-$, where $s = (s_+, s_-) \in S_+ \oplus S_- = S = L^2(\mathbb{L})$ is the decomposition into even and odd part.

Definition:

$$F^+(\alpha \otimes s) = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \epsilon^i \wedge \alpha \otimes e_i \cdot s \text{ (degree rising),}$$

$$F^-(\alpha \otimes s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \omega^{ij} \iota_{e_i} \alpha \otimes e_j \cdot s \text{ (degree lowering).}$$

Theorem ([KrLie] 2012; ArXiv 2008): Setting $\tau(f^\pm) = F^\pm$ and extending it to a homomorphism of Lie super algebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)$ and $\text{End}(E)$, we get $\text{Comm}_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}]}(E) = \langle \tau(\mathfrak{osp}(1|2)), p_\pm \rangle$.

Symplectic twistor operators

$(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ symplectic vector space

$(e_i)_{i=1}^{2n}$ symplectic basis, $(\epsilon^i)_{i=1}^{2n} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{2n})^*$ dual basis

$f : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow E^{ij} \subseteq \wedge^i V \otimes S$, smooth (C^∞)

$(\nabla f)(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n} \epsilon^k \wedge (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^k})(y) \in \wedge^{i+1} V \otimes S$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$(T_{\pm}^{ij} f)(y) = p^{i+1} j^{\pm 1} (\nabla f)(y)$ **symplectic twistor operators**

Parallel to Dolbeault operators in (almost) complex analysis.

Symplectic Dirac operators defined by K. Habermann [KH] in the nineties. ([Habs] monograph on sympl. Dirac.)

Complexes of symplectic twistor operators

Theorem [KrMon], [KrArch]: If (M, ω) is a smooth symplectic manifold ($d\omega = 0$), with vanishing second Stiefel–Whitney class, ∇ is a symplectic torsion-free connection ($\nabla\omega = 0$, torsion of $\nabla = 0$) and the symplectic Weyl curvature ([Vais]) of ∇ vanishes, then $(C^\infty(M, E^{i+k, j\pm k}), T_{\pm}^{i+k, j\pm k})_k$ is an elliptic complex, i.e.,

Complexes of symplectic twistor operators

Theorem [KrMon], [KrArch]: If (M, ω) is a smooth symplectic manifold ($d\omega = 0$), with vanishing second Stiefel–Whitney class, ∇ is a symplectic torsion-free connection ($\nabla\omega = 0$, torsion of $\nabla = 0$) and the symplectic Weyl curvature ([Vais]) of ∇ vanishes, then $(C^\infty(M, E^{i+k, j\pm k}), T_{\pm}^{i+k, j\pm k})_k$ is an elliptic complex, i.e., $T_{\pm}^{i+k+1, j\pm k\pm 1} T_{\pm}^{i+k, j\pm k} = 0$ and

Complexes of symplectic twistor operators

Theorem [KrMon], [KrArch]: If (M, ω) is a smooth symplectic manifold ($d\omega = 0$), with vanishing second Stiefel–Whitney class, ∇ is a symplectic torsion-free connection ($\nabla\omega = 0$, torsion of $\nabla = 0$) and the symplectic Weyl curvature ([Vais]) of ∇ vanishes, then

$(C^\infty(M, E^{i+k, j\pm k}), T_\pm^{i+k, j\pm k})_k$ is an elliptic complex, i.e.,

$$T_\pm^{i+k+1, j\pm k\pm 1} T_\pm^{i+k, j\pm k} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Im } \sigma(T_\pm^{i+k, j\pm k}, \xi) = \text{Ker } \sigma(T_\pm^{i+k+1, j\pm k\pm 1}, \xi), \quad 0 \neq \xi \in T^*M.$$

Use of commutant: Twistor ops. are given by $p^{i+1, j\pm 1}$ and the covariant derivative. $p^{i+1, j\pm 1}$ are projections onto \tilde{G} -submodule, thus \tilde{G} -homomorphisms. Thus they belong to the commutant algebra $\text{Comm}_{\mathbb{C}[\tilde{G}]}(E)$, which is generated by F^\pm and the two projections p_\pm onto the even and odd part.

Symbols of symplectic twistor complex

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_i(\alpha \otimes f) &= \sigma(T_{+}^{ii}, \xi)(\alpha \otimes f) = p^{ii}(\xi \wedge \alpha \otimes s) = \\ &= \xi \wedge \alpha \otimes f + \frac{2}{i-n} F^+(\alpha \otimes \xi \cdot f) + \frac{i}{i-n} E^+(\iota_{\xi} \alpha \otimes f)\end{aligned}$$

Ellipticity: $\text{Im } \sigma_{i-1} = \text{Ker } \sigma_i$ for $\xi \neq 0$

Assume $\alpha \otimes f \in \text{Ker } \sigma_i$

Folded applying of operators F^-, E^- and using the relations defining $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \implies \xi \wedge \alpha \otimes f = 0$

\implies trivial case of a version of Cartan lemma $\alpha = \xi \wedge \beta \implies$

$$\alpha \otimes f = \xi \wedge \beta \otimes f$$

Symbols of symplectic twistor complex

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_i(\alpha \otimes f) &= \sigma(T_+^{ii}, \xi)(\alpha \otimes f) = p^{ii}(\xi \wedge \alpha \otimes f) = \\ &= \xi \wedge \alpha \otimes f + \frac{2}{i-n} F^+(\alpha \otimes \xi \cdot f) + \frac{i}{i-n} E^+(\iota_\xi \alpha \otimes f)\end{aligned}$$

Ellipticity: $\text{Im } \sigma_{i-1} = \text{Ker } \sigma_i$ for $\xi \neq 0$

Assume $\alpha \otimes f \in \text{Ker } \sigma_i$

Folded applying of operators F^-, E^- and using the relations defining $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2) \implies \xi \wedge \alpha \otimes f = 0$

\implies trivial case of a version of Cartan lemma $\alpha = \xi \wedge \beta \implies$

$$\alpha \otimes f = \xi \wedge \beta \otimes f = p^{ii}(\xi \wedge \beta \otimes f) = \sigma_{i-1}(\beta \otimes f)$$

- [Baur] Baur, K., Cartan components and decomposable tensors. *Transform. Groups* 8 (2003), no. 4, 309–319.
- [Bie] De Bie, H., Somberg, P., Souček, V., The metaplectic Howe duality and polynomial solutions for the symplectic Dirac operator. *J. Geom. Phys.* 75 (2014), 120–128.
- [Br] Brackx, F., De Schepper, H., Eelbode, D., Lávička, R., Souček, V., Fischer decomposition for $\mathfrak{osp}(4|2)$ -monogenics in quaternionic Clifford analysis. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 39 (2016), no. 16, 4874–4891
- [GN] Goodman, R., Wallach, N., Representations and invariants of the classical groups. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 68. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [Hab] Habermann, K., The Dirac operator on symplectic spinors, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 13 (1995), no. 2, 155–168.

- [Habs] Habermann, K., Habermann, L., Introduction to symplectic Dirac operators, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1887. Springer–Verlag, 2006.
- [Ho] Howe, R., Remarks on classical invariant theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 313 (1989), no. 2, 539–570.
- [Kos] Kostant, B., Symplectic spinors. Symposia Mathematica, Vol. XIV (Convegno di Geometria Simplettica e Fisica Matematica, INDAM, Rome, 1973), 139–152, Academic Press, London, 1974.
- [KrMon] Krýsl, S., Complex of twistor operators in spin symplectic geometry, Monatshefte für Mathematik, Vol. 161 (2010), no.4, 381–398.
- [KrArch] Krýsl, S., Ellipticity of symplectic twistor complexes, Archivum Math., Vol. 44 (4) (2011), 309–327.

- [KrLie] Krýsl, S., Howe duality for the metaplectic group acting on symplectic spinor valued forms, *Journal of Lie theory*, Vol. 22 (2012), no. 4, 1049–1063; arxiv 2008.
- [KrCMP] Krýsl, S., Induced C^* -complexes in metaplectic geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, in print, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-018-3275-9>
- [L] Leites, D., Shchepochkina, I., The Howe duality and Lie superalgebras. *Noncommutative structures in mathematics and physics (Kiev, 2000)*, 93–111, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [Sh] Shale, D., Linear symmetries of free boson fields, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103 (1962), 149–167.
- [Slup] Slupinski, M., A Hodge type decomposition for spinor valued forms, *Ann. Sci.École Norm. Sup. (4)* 29 (1996), no. 1, 23–48.
- [Vais] Vaisman, I., Symplectic curvature tensors, *Monatshefte für Mathematik* 100 (1985), 299–327.

[Weil] Weil, A., Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 143–211.