

## $\Sigma_1$ -definability of RE sets

Let  $M$  be any T. machine (Def. 7). We shall identify:

(i) its set of states  $Q$  with  $\{0, \dots, |Q|-1\}$  and the initial state  $q_0$  with 0,

(ii) its working alphabet  $\Sigma$  with  $\{0, \dots, |\Sigma|-1\}$ , the blank symbol with  $\square$ , and the input alphabet  $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma - \{\square\}$  with  $\{1, \dots, |\Gamma|\}$ .

(iii) Let  $\delta: \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q \times \Sigma \times \{L, R\}$  be its transition (partial) function.

An input ~~string~~  $u_0 \dots u_{n-1} \in \Gamma^n$  will be coded by  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall i < n: (u)_i = u_i$ .

We want to express - by a  $\Sigma_1$ -sentence - that  $M$  halts on  $u$  after  $t$  steps (w.l.o.g.  $t \geq n$ ).

$M$  can visit at most  $t$  squares of the tape. If

~~at most squares~~ we want the initial sq. by  $t$

Then all sq's of visits are in  $[0, 2\epsilon]$ . Then  
 a content of the tape is given by a word  $w \in \Sigma^{2\epsilon+1}$   
 (all other sq's are blank). For word  $a \in \Sigma^{2\epsilon+1}$

corresponds to the initial situation iff

$$(1) \quad [ \forall j < n, (a)_j = (a)_{\epsilon+j} ] \wedge$$

$$[ \forall j \leq 2\epsilon, (j < \epsilon \vee \epsilon+n \leq j) \rightarrow (a)_j = 0 ]$$

The entire computation corresponds to  $\epsilon+1$  such  
 strings  $A = (a^0, \dots, a^\epsilon)$ , with  $a^i \in \Sigma^{2\epsilon+1}$  describing

the tape after step  $i$ . Hence  $(A)_i \in \Sigma^{2\epsilon+1}$  and

it is more readable to use the matrix notation:

$$A_{ij} := ((A)_i)_j = \text{"the symbol in sq. } j \text{ after } i \text{ steps"}$$

Let  $q$  code sequence of machine states after  
 each step  $i \leq \epsilon$ . I.e.:

$$(2a) \quad \text{len}(q) = \epsilon+1$$

$$(2b) \quad \forall i \leq \epsilon, (q)_i \in |Q|-1$$

$$(2c) \quad (q)_0 = 0 \quad (\text{the initial state}).$$

We also need a record of head's positions:  $h$

values position  $h_0, \dots, h_t \in \mathbb{Z}^E$ . I.e.:

$$(3a) \quad \text{len}(h) = t+1$$

$$(3b) \quad \forall i \in E, (h)_i \leq \mathbb{Z}^E$$

$$(3c) \quad (h)_0 = t \quad (\text{the initial position}).$$

Now we want to write a formula expressing that " $A, q, h$  are records of a valid computation on input  $u$  and it holds after step  $t$ ."

To do subsequently, all pairs  $(u, v) \in G \times S$  s.t.

$J$  is defined on  $(u, v)$  and the instruction

$$i, \text{ say: } (u, v) \rightarrow (u', v', \leftarrow).$$

Then add condition.

$$(4_{(u,v)}) \quad \forall i \in E \quad \forall j \leq \mathbb{Z}^E$$

$$[(h)_i = j \wedge (q)_i = u \wedge (A)_{i,j} = v] \Rightarrow$$

$$[(h)_{i+1} = j-1 \wedge (q)_{i+1} = u' \wedge A_{i+1,j} = v']$$

condition that  $\mathcal{M}$  halts is arranged by the disjunction:

$$(5) \quad \bigvee_{(u,v) \notin \text{dom}(d)} \left( \exists j \leq 2t, (h)_t = j \wedge (q)_t = u \wedge A_{t,j} = v \right)$$

It says that after time  $t$  there is no instruction to apply.

Now we can express that  $\mathcal{M}$  halts after  $t$  steps by  $\Sigma_1$ -formula:

$$\exists A, h, q \in \Delta \wedge \alpha(A, h, q, t, u)$$

where  $\alpha \in \Delta$  is the conjunction of **all** conditions (1), (2), (3), (4), (all  $(u,v) \in \text{dom}(d)$ ) and (5).

$$\text{Def: } \mathcal{H}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exists t \exists A, h, q \wedge \alpha(A, h, q, t, x)$$

Theorem:  $\mathcal{H}(x)$  is a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula defining the set of  $u \in \mathbb{N}$  on which  $\mathcal{M}$  halts.