#### **NMST432**

# Computational Environment for Statistical Data Analysis Sample Report prepared using Sweave

Arnošt Komárek May 5, 2021

This document was prepared using Sweave (Leisch, 2002) in R (R Core Team, 2021), version 4.0.5 (2021-03-31). Additionally, the contributed packages colorspace (Zeileis et al., 2019, 2009) and xtable (Dahl et al., 2019) were used.

#### 1 Some Sweave examples

• Here we define our working directory.

```
> ROOT <- "/home/komarek/teach/mff_2020/nmst440_VypocProstr/Tutorial08/"
> setwd(ROOT)
```

• Now, we load needed extension packages and provide our smaller functions.

```
> library("colorspace")
> library("xtable")
> source(paste(ROOT, "../Tutorial04/formatOut.R", sep = ""))
> source(paste(ROOT, "../Tutorial04/funTabDescr.R", sep = ""))
```

• Read data (the same as those used the previous time, now directly including some derived variables):

> print(load(paste(ROOT, "../Tutorial04/Data/nelsNE2.RData", sep = "")))

#### [1] "varlabels2" "nelsNE2"

• Basic descriptive statistics of some variables:

```
> VARS <- c("fam.comp", "gender", "f2.sco.math", "f2.perc.math")
> summary(nelsNE2[, VARS])
```

	fam.comp	gender	f2.sco.math	f2.perc.math
Mother and	father:1601	Male :1140	Min. :30.17	Min. : 1.00
Other	: 508	Female:1172	1st Qu.:46.97	1st Qu.:40.00
NA's	: 203		Median :54.61	Median :65.00
			Mean :53.86	Mean :60.97
			3rd Qu.:61.76	3rd Qu.:85.00
			Max. :71.49	Max. :99.00
			NA's :1	NA's :1

• Here, descriptive statistics are calculated but not shown:

```
> sumnelsNE<- summary(nelsNE2[, VARS])</pre>
```

• Here, descriptive statistics are calculated, results shown but the code is not shown:

	fam.comp	gender	f2.sco.math	f2.perc.math
Mother and	father:1601	Male :1140	Min. :30.17	Min. : 1.00
Other	: 508	Female:1172	1st Qu.:46.97	1st Qu.:40.00
NA's	: 203		Median :54.61	Median :65.00
			Mean :53.86	Mean :60.97
			3rd Qu.:61.76	3rd Qu.:85.00
			Max. :71.49	Max. :99.00
			NA's :1	NA's :1

• Here, descriptive statistics are calculated but neither results nor the code are shown:

• Here, only code is shown but nothing calculated:

> summary(nelsNE2[, VARS])

• It is also possible to use a calculated number (calculated numbers) in the body of the text:

```
> meanScoMath <- mean(nelsNE2[, "f2.sco.math"], na.rm = TRUE)
> meanScoMath <- format(round(meanScoMath, 2), nsmall = 2)
> print(meanScoMath)
```

[1] "53.86"

Mean score in mathematics is 53.86 (N = 2311).

• If long code is shown, we may arrange that it is automatically formatted to fit on the page:

```
> meanScoMath <- format(round(mean(nelsNE2[, "f2.sco.math"], na.rm = TRUE),
+ 2), nsmall = 2)
```

• Or we may take care ourselves for format of the code:

```
> meanScoMath <- format(round(mean(nelsNE2[, "f2.sco.math"],
+ na.rm = TRUE), 2), nsmall = 2)
```

# 2 Tables

Results are seen in Table 1. Slightly extended results (by results of a t-test) are shown in Table 2.

	Mean	Std. Dev.	Std. Error	Median	Q1	Q3	N
All	54.05	9.72	0.21	54.87	47.35	61.86	2108
Mother and father	54.89	9.57	0.24	55.97	48.37	62.74	1600
Other	51.41	9.73	0.43	52.45	43.70	58.89	508

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of score in mathematics by family composition.

T-1.1. 0. D	+-+:-+:	- f			1	f!L	• • • •
Table 2: Descripti	ve statistics	OT SCO	re in	mathematics	DV	ramiiv	composition.
					~ )		

Score	Score in mathematics by Family composition								
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν				
All	54.05 (0.21)	9.72	54.87	47.35 - 61.86	2108				
Mother and father	54.89 (0.24)	9.57	55.97	48.37 - 62.74	1600				
Other	51.41 (0.43)	9.73	52.45	43.70 - 58.89	508				
Difference in mean	s: <b>3.48</b> (2.51	<b>, 4.45)</b> <sup>†</sup> ,	P: < <b>0.00</b>	)1 <sup>‡</sup>					

<sup>†</sup>95% confidence interval

#### **3** Figures

• Define what should be conducted before each plotting.

```
> figSweave <- function(){
+   par(bty = "n", mar = c(5, 4, 4, 1) + 0.1)
+   ## WHATEVER OTHER R COMMANDS
+ }
> options(SweaveHooks = list(fig = figSweave))
```

• Figure which is drawn, saved as PDF and automatically placed in a text (see Figure 1). Note that  $pdf \underline{MEX}$  must then be used to process the TEX file.

• Figure which was drawn, saved as PDF but it is nowhere placed automatically. Placing the figure into the document (see Figure 2) is the author's responsibility.

```
> COL2 <- terrain_hcl(2)
> plot(f2.sco.math ~ fam.comp, data = nelsNE2, col = COL2,
+ xlab = "Family composition", ylab = "Score in mathematics")
```




Figure 1: Score in mathematics by family composition.




Figure 2: Score in mathematics by family composition (again).

• It is also possible to use standard functions pdf(), postscript(), png() etc. to save a plot in an arbitrary format on an arbitrary place with an arbitrary filename:

# 4 Results of a more extensive analysis


Results of analysis of dependence of score in mathematics on family composition is shown in Table 3 and on Figure 3. All results are then in Tables 4 - 19 and on Figures 4 - 19.

Math score by Family composition								
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν			
All	54.05 (0.21)	9.72	54.87	47.35 - 61.86	2108			
Mother and father	54.89 (0.24)	9.57	55.97	48.37 - 62.74	1600			
Other	51.41 (0.43)	9.73	52.45	43.70 - 58.89	508			
Difference in means: <b>3.48</b> (2.51, 4.45) <sup>†</sup> , P: $< 0.001^{\ddagger}$								

Table 3: Analysis of score in mathematics by family composition.

<sup>†</sup>95% confidence interval

 $^{\ddagger} \textit{Welch two-sample t-test}$ 



Family composition

Figure 3: Score in mathematics by family composition (once again).


### 4.1 Math score by Family composition

Math score by Family composition								
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν			
All	54.05 (0.21)	9.72	54.87	47.35 - 61.86	2108			
Mother and father	54.89 (0.24)	9.57	55.97	48.37 - 62.74	1600			
Other	51.41 (0.43)	9.73	52.45	43.70 - 58.89	508			
Difference in means: <b>3.48</b> (2.51, 4.45) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$								

Table 4: Analysis of Math score by Family composition.

<sup>†</sup>95% confidence interval

 $^\ddagger Welch \ two-sample \ t\text{-test}$ 







Figure 4: Boxplots of Math score by Family composition.

## 4.2 Math score by Gender

Math score by Gender									
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν				
All	53.86 (0.20)	9.79	54.61	46.97 - 61.76	2311				
Male	54.25 (0.30)	9.97	55.37	47.03 - 62.31	1139				
Female	53.47 (0.28)	9.60	54.11	46.91 - 61.19	1172				
Difference in means: 0.78 $(-0.01, 1.58)^{\dagger}$ , P: 0.054 <sup>‡</sup>									

Table 5: Analysis of Math score by Gender.







Figure 5: Boxplots of Math score by Gender.

#### 4.3 Math score by Math enrollment past 2 years

	Math score by Math enrollment past 2 years							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	N			
All	54.19 (0.20)	9.66	55.15	47.59 - 61.90	2249			
Yes	54.72 (0.20)	9.42	55.80	48.36 - 62.20	2122			
No	45.43 (0.83)	9.33	42.98	37.88 - 52.95	127			
Differer	Difference in means: 9.29 (7.60, 10.97) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% con	<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval <sup>‡</sup> Welch two-sample t-test							

Table 6: Analysis of Math score by Math enrollment past 2 years.






Figure 6: Boxplots of Math score by Math enrollment past 2 years.

## 4.4 Math score by Arrested

	Math score by Arrested									
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν					
All	54.19 (0.20)	9.67	55.16	47.56 - 61.92	2246					
Never	54.35 (0.21)	9.62	55.31	47.80 - 62.08	2187					
Ever	48.27 (1.29)	9.89	48.87	39.11 - 56.74	59					
Differen	Difference in means: 6.09 (3.48, 8.69) <sup>†</sup> , P: $< 0.001^{\ddagger}$									
<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval <sup>‡</sup> Welch two-sample t-test										

Table 7: Analysis of **Math score** by **Arrested**.





Figure 7: Boxplots of Math score by Arrested.

#### 4.5 Science score by Family composition

Science score by Family composition								
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν			
All	53.88 (0.21)	9.63	54.89	46.59 - 61.90	2095			
Mother and father	54.55 (0.24)	9.46	55.75	47.62 - 62.37	1593			
Other	51.74 (0.44)	9.88	51.92	44.29 - 60.15	502			
Difference in mean	s: <b>2.81</b> ( <b>1.83</b>	<b>, 3.79</b> ) <sup>†</sup> ,	P: <0.00	1 <sup>‡</sup>				

Table 8: Analysis of Science score by Family composition.

<sup>†</sup>95% confidence interval






Figure 8: Boxplots of Science score by Family composition.

### 4.6 Science score by Gender

Science score by Gender								
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν			
All	53.51 (0.21)	9.82	54.53	46.00 - 61.74	2294			
Male	54.82 (0.30)	9.93	56.22	47.72 - 63.36	1133			
Female	52.23 (0.28)	9.54	53.15	44.86 - 59.95	1161			
Differen	Difference in means: <b>2.59</b> $(1.79, 3.39)^{\dagger}$ , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% conf	îdence interval			<sup>‡</sup> Welch two-sam	ole t-test			

Table 9: Analysis of Science score by Gender.







Figure 9: Boxplots of Science score by Gender.

#### 4.7 Science score by Math enrollment past 2 years

Science score by Math enrollment past 2 years							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν		
All	53.77 (0.21)	9.75	54.85	46.39 - 61.90	2233		
Yes	54.14 (0.21)	9.66	55.39	47.02 - 62.17	2108		
No	47.53 (0.81)	9.01	47.09	40.59 - 53.66	125		
Difference in means: 6.61 (4.96, 8.26) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% cor	ifidence interval	<sup>‡</sup> Welch two-samp	ole t-test				

Table 10: Analysis of Science score by Math enrollment past 2 years.






Figure 10: Boxplots of Science score by Math enrollment past 2 years.

## 4.8 Science score by Arrested

Science score by Arrested							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν		
All	53.78 (0.21)	9.75	54.87	46.40 - 61.90	2230		
Never	53.93 (0.21)	9.64	54.95	46.72 - 61.91	2172		
Ever	48.01 (1.57)	11.95	45.76	37.97 - 58.72	58		
Difference in means: <b>5.92</b> (2.75, 9.09) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% con	<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval <sup>‡</sup>				ole t-test		

Table 11: Analysis of Science score by Arrested.





Figure 11: Boxplots of Science score by Arrested.

Social science score by Family composition							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν		
All	53.51 (0.21)	9.47	54.40	46.03 - 61.48	2081		
Mother and father	54.25 (0.24)	9.36	55.28	46.91 - 61.95	1584		
Other	51.15 (0.42)	9.42	51.33	44.31 - 58.73	497		
Difference in means: <b>3.10</b> (2.15, 4.05) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							

Table 12: Analysis of Social science score by Family composition.

#### 4.9 Social science score by Family composition

<sup>†</sup>95% confidence interval






Figure 12: Boxplots of Social science score by Family composition.

#### 4.10 Social science score by Gender

Social science score by Gender							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν		
All	53.24 (0.20)	9.52	53.85	45.74 - 61.30	2275		
Male	53.99 (0.29)	9.78	55.15	46.19 - 62.11	1125		
Female	52.50 (0.27)	9.19	52.52	45.30 - 60.36	1150		
Difference in means: <b>1.49</b> (0.71, 2.28) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval				<sup>‡</sup> Welch two-samp	ole t-test		

Table 13: Analysis of Social science score by Gender.





Figure 13: Boxplots of Social science score by Gender.

#### 4.11 Social science score by Math enrollment past 2 years

Social science score by Math enrollment past 2 years							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν		
All	53.52 (0.20)	9.43	54.29	46.06 - 61.44	2216		
Yes	53.84 (0.20)	9.34	54.83	46.44 - 61.60	2094		
No	48.10 (0.85)	9.37	46.54	41.46 - 54.92	122		
Difference in means: <b>5.74</b> (4.02, 7.47) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval <sup>‡</sup> Welch two-sample t-				ole t-test			

Table 14: Analysis of Social science score by Math enrollment past 2 years.






Figure 14: Boxplots of Social science score by Math enrollment past 2 years.

#### 4.12 Social science score by Arrested

Social science score by Arrested							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	N		
All	53.52 (0.20)	9.44	54.27	46.06 - 61.45	2213		
Never	53.68 (0.20)	9.39	54.53	46.20 - 61.55	2155		
Ever	47.61 (1.24)	9.41	47.42	41.21 - 55.07	58		
Difference in means: <b>6.07</b> ( <b>3.57, 8.58</b> ) <sup>†</sup> , P: <b>&lt;0.001</b> <sup>‡</sup>							
<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval <sup>‡</sup> Welch two-sample t-test					le t-test		

Table 15: Analysis of **Social science score** by **Arrested**.





Figure 15: Boxplots of Social science score by Arrested.

#### 4.13 Reading score by Family composition

Reading score by Family composition							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν		
All	53.38 (0.21)	9.53	54.86	46.39 - 61.08	2107		
Mother and father	53.85 (0.24)	9.56	55.54	47.03 - 61.82	1600		
Other	51.92 (0.41)	9.30	53.40	45.16 - 59.16	507		
Difference in means: <b>1.93</b> (0.99, 2.87) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<$ 0.001 <sup>‡</sup>							

Table 16: Analysis of Reading score by Family composition.

<sup>†</sup>95% confidence interval






Figure 16: Boxplots of Reading score by Family composition.

## 4.14 Reading score by Gender

Reading score by Gender						
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν	
All	53.06 (0.20)	9.69	54.47	45.80 - 60.98	2306	
Male	51.77 (0.30)	10.12	53.11	43.76 - 60.23	1137	
Female	54.31 (0.27)	9.08	55.81	48.16 - 61.76	1169	
Differen	ce in means: -	-2.54 (-3	3.33, —1.7	<b>6</b> ) <sup>†</sup> , P: <b>&lt;0.</b>	001 <sup>‡</sup>	

Table 17: Analysis of **Reading score** by **Gender**.



 $^\ddagger Welch \ two-sample \ t\text{-test}$ 





Figure 17: Boxplots of **Reading score** by **Gender**.

#### 4.15 Reading score by Math enrollment past 2 years

Reading score by Math enrollment past 2 years							
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	N		
All	53.30 (0.20)	9.62	54.78	46.31 - 61.11	2244		
Yes	53.68 (0.21)	9.49	55.22	46.79 - 61.41	2117		
No	46.90 (0.84)	9.49	47.06	39.45 - 53.14	127		
Difference in means: <b>6.79</b> $(5.07, 8.50)^{\dagger}$ , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$							
<sup>†</sup> 95% confidence interval <sup>‡</sup> Welch two-sample t-test					le t-test		

Table 18: Analysis of Reading score by Math enrollment past 2 years.






Figure 18: Boxplots of Reading score by Math enrollment past 2 years.

## 4.16 Reading score by Arrested

Reading score by Arrested						
Group	Mean (S.E.)	Std. Dev.	Median	Q1 - Q3	Ν	
All	53.31 (0.20)	9.61	54.81	46.32 - 61.14	2241	
Never	53.50 (0.20)	9.53	54.94	46.46 - 61.22	2182	
Ever	46.49 (1.31)	10.09	47.06	36.50 - 52.50	59	
Difference in means: 7.01 (4.35, 9.66) <sup>†</sup> , P: $<0.001^{\ddagger}$						
<sup>†</sup> 95% con	fidence interval		<sup>‡</sup> Welch two-samp	le t-test		

Table 19: Analysis of **Reading score** by **Arrested**.




Figure 19: Boxplots of Reading score by Arrested.

#### References

- DAHL, D. B., SCOTT, D., ROOSEN, C., MAGNUSSON, A., and SWINTON, J. (2019). *xtable: Export tables to ETEX or HTML*. URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=xtable. R package version 1.8-4.
- LEISCH, F. (2002). Dynamic generation of statistical reports using literate data analysis. In Härdle, W. and Rönz, B., editors, *COMPSTAT 2002 Proceedings in Computational Statistics*, pages 575–580, Heidelberg, 2002. Physica-Verlag.
- R CORE TEAM (2021). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- ZEILEIS, A., HORNIK, K., and MURRELL, P. (2009). Escaping RGBland: Selecting colors for statistical graphics. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, **53**(9), 3259–3270. doi:10.1016/j.csda.2008.11.033.
- ZEILEIS, A., FISHER, J. C., HORNIK, K., IHAKA, R., McWHITE, C. D., MURRELL, P., STAUFFER, R., and WILKE, C. O. (2019). colorspace: A toolbox for manipulating and assessing colors and palettes. arXiv 1903.06490, arXiv.org E-Print Archive. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/1903.06490.