

Prop XI.5

(a) Let A be a Banach algebra with involution. Then A^+ is again a B -algebra with involution if we set $(a, \lambda)^* = (a^*, \bar{\lambda})$, $(a, \lambda) \in A^+$

Then only nontrivial axiom is $((a, \lambda)(b, \mu))^* = (b, \mu)^*(a, \lambda)^*$

And this holds:

$$\begin{aligned} ((a, \lambda)(b, \mu))^* &= (ab + \lambda b + \mu a, \lambda \mu)^* = ((\bar{a}b + \lambda \bar{b} + \mu \bar{a}), \bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu}) = \\ &= (b^* a^* + \bar{\lambda} b^* + \bar{\mu} a^*, \bar{\lambda} \cdot \bar{\mu}) = (b^*, \bar{\lambda})(a^*, \bar{\mu}) = \\ &= (b, \mu)^*(a, \lambda)^*. \end{aligned}$$

(b) If A is a C^* -algebra and we define

$$\|(a, \lambda)\| = \max \{ |\lambda| ; \sup_{\substack{b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|ab + \lambda b\| \}, \quad (a, \lambda) \in A^+,$$

then A^+ is a C^* -algebra

① Set $p_1(a, \lambda) := |\lambda|$, $(a, \lambda) \in A^+$

The p_1 is a seminorm

$$p_1((a, \lambda)(b, \mu)) \leq p_1(a, \lambda) p_1(b, \mu) \quad [\text{In fact, } \|\cdot\|]$$

$$p_1((a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)) = p_1(a, \lambda)^2$$

... this is obvious from definitions

② Set $p_2(a, \lambda) = \sup_{\substack{b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|ab + \lambda b\|$, $(a, \lambda) \in A^+$

Interpretation: define $L(a, \lambda)(s) = as + \lambda s$, $s \in A$

The $L(a, \lambda) : A \rightarrow A$ linear, $\|L(a, \lambda)\| \leq \|a\| + |\lambda|$
so, $L(a, \lambda) \in L(A)$.

Moreover, $p_2(a, \lambda) = \|L(a, \lambda)\|$ by defn. $L_{A, S}$

③ $(a, \lambda) \mapsto L(a, \lambda)$ is linear (clear)

$$L((a_1, \lambda_1)(a_2, \lambda_2)) = L(a_1, \lambda_1)L(a_2, \lambda_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{If } L(a_1, \lambda_1)L(a_2, \lambda_2)(b) = L_{(a_1, \lambda_1)}(a_2 b + \lambda_2 b) = \\ & = a_1 a_2 b + \lambda_2 a_1 b + \lambda_1 a_2 b + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 b = \end{aligned}$$

$$= L(a_1 a_2 + \lambda_2 a_1 + \lambda_1 a_2, \lambda_1 \lambda_2)(b) = L((a_1, \lambda_1)(a_2, \lambda_2))(b). \quad \square$$

④ Thus P_2 is a seminorm and $P_2((a_1, \lambda_1)(a_2, \lambda_2)) \leq P_2(a_1, \lambda_1)P_2(a_2, \lambda_2)$

$\begin{aligned} & \text{This follows from ② and ③} \quad \square \end{aligned}$

$$⑤ P_2((a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)) = P_2(a, \lambda))^2$$

$\begin{aligned} & \text{It is enough to prove } \| \cdot \|^2 \\ & \text{if } \end{aligned}$

$$P_2((a, \lambda)^*(a, \lambda)) = P_2((a^* a + \bar{\lambda} a + \lambda a^*, \bar{\lambda} \cdot \lambda)) =$$

$$= \sup_{\substack{b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|a^* a b + \bar{\lambda} a b + \lambda a^* b + \bar{\lambda} \lambda b\| \geq$$

$$\geq \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|b^* a^* a b + \bar{\lambda} b^* a b + \lambda b^* a^* b + \bar{\lambda} \lambda b^* b\| \geq$$

$$= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|(b^* a^* + \bar{\lambda} b^*)(a b + \lambda b)\| = \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|(a b + \lambda b)^*(a b + \lambda b)\|$$

$$= \sup_{\|b\| \leq 1} \|a b + \lambda b\|^2 = P_2(a, \lambda)^2 \Rightarrow$$

$$⑥ P_2(a, 0) = \|a\| \quad \text{for } a \in A$$

$$\boxed{P_2(a, 0) = \sup_{\substack{\text{for } b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|a - b\| \leq \sup_{\substack{\text{for } b \in A \\ \|b\| \leq 1}} \|a\| \cdot \|b\| = \|a\|}$$

conversely : if $a = 0$, then $P_2(a, 0) = P_2(0, 0) = 0$
 if $a \neq 0$, then

$$P_2(a, 0) \geq \|a - \frac{a}{\|a\|}\| = \|a\|,$$

so the equality follows. \square

$$⑦ \|(\alpha, \lambda)\| = \max \{P_1(\alpha, \lambda), P_2(\alpha, \lambda)\}$$

$\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|$ is a seminorm satisfying

$$\|(\alpha_1, \lambda_1)(\alpha_2, \lambda_2)\| \leq \|(\alpha_1, \lambda_1)\| \|(\alpha_2, \lambda_2)\|$$

$$\|(\alpha, \lambda)^*(\alpha, \lambda)\| = \|(\alpha, \lambda)\|^2$$

$$\boxed{P_{B_\delta} \circ ①, ④, ⑤ \square}$$

⑧ $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm :

$$\boxed{P \quad \|(\alpha, \lambda)\| = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda) = P_1(\alpha, \lambda) = 0, \text{ i.e. } \lambda = 0 \\ P_2(\alpha, 0) = \|(\alpha, 0)\| = \|\alpha\| \quad (\text{by } ⑥) \\ \text{so } \alpha = 0. \quad \square}$$

⑨ $(A^*, \|\cdot\|)$ is a C^* -algebra

\boxed{P} It's enough to show that $\|\cdot\|$ is complete

This follows, for example, from the fact that

$$\|\cdot\| \text{ is equivalent to } \|\cdot\|_1 \text{ defined by } \|(\alpha, \lambda)\|_1 = \|\alpha\| + |\lambda|$$

To this end we prove $\|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\| \rightarrow 0$

$$\Rightarrow \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \|\alpha_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ & } |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow P_1(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) = |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\bullet P_2(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) \leq \underbrace{P_2(\alpha_n, 0)}_{= \|\alpha_n\| \text{ by } ⑥} + \underbrace{P_2(0, \lambda_n)}_{= |\lambda_n| \text{ by definition of } P_2} = \|\alpha_n\| + |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \Leftarrow: \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\| \rightarrow 0 &\Rightarrow \underbrace{P_1(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)}_{= |\lambda_n|} \rightarrow 0 \subset P_2(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0 \\ \bullet \|\alpha_n\| = \underbrace{P_2(\alpha_n, 0)}_{⑥} &\leq P_2(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) + \underbrace{P_2(0, \lambda_n)}_{= |\lambda_n|} = P_2(\alpha_n, \lambda_n) + |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \|(\alpha_n, \lambda_n)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

(10) Suppose that A has no mult. Then p_2 is a norm.

$$F_{p_2}(a, \lambda) = 0 \Rightarrow \forall b \in A \quad ab + \lambda b = 0$$

$$\bullet \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \forall b \in A: ab = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{take } b = a^*} aa^* = 0 \xrightarrow{\|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2} a = 0$$

$$\bullet \lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow \forall b \in A: b = -\frac{a}{\lambda} \cdot b$$

$\Rightarrow -\frac{a}{\lambda}$ is a left mult, hence A is unital. \square

(11) If A has no mult, then (A^+, p_2) is a $(^*\text{-})$ -algebra, hence $p_2 = \| \cdot \|_1$.

F The equality follows from Corollary XI.4. So, it is enough to show that p_2 is complete.

$$\text{Define } \Theta : A^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \Theta(a, \lambda) = \lambda.$$

Then Θ is a linear functional.

$\ker \Theta = \{ (a, 0), a \in A \} \quad \text{--- it is closed as } A \text{ is complete}$
 $\text{and } p_2 \text{ is a norm.}$

Thus Θ is cts on (A^+, p_2) .

We shall prove that p_2 is equivalent to $\| \cdot \|_1$, i.e.

$$p_2(a_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \| (a_n, \lambda_n) \|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

\Leftarrow : clear, as $p_2 \leq \| \cdot \|_1$

\Rightarrow Assume $p_2(a_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow 0$. Then $\lambda_n = \Theta(a_n, \lambda_n) \rightarrow 0$ (as Θ is cts)

$$\text{so, } p_1(a_n, \lambda_n) = |\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0. \text{ It follows } \| (a_n, \lambda_n) \|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

(12) If A has a mult ℓ , then p_2 is not a norm, for example $p_2(-\ell, 1) = 0$.

$$\text{The } p_2(a, \lambda) = \|a + \lambda e\|, \text{ hence } \| (a, \lambda) \|_1 = \max \{ |\lambda|, \|a + \lambda e\| \}$$