

## V.2 Continuous and bounded linear mappings

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  be LCS over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear mapping. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  is continuous.
- (ii)  $L$  is continuous at  $\mathbf{o}$ .
- (iii)  $L$  is **uniformly continuous**, i.e.,

$$\forall U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{o}) \exists V \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{o}) \forall x, y \in X : x - y \in V \Rightarrow L(x) - L(y) \in U.$$

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be LCS and let  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear mapping. Then  $L$  is continuous if and only if*

$$\forall q \text{ a continuous seminorm on } Y \exists p \text{ a continuous seminorm on } X \\ \forall x \in X : q(L(x)) \leq p(x).$$

*If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a family of seminorms generating the topology of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a family of seminorms generating the topology of  $Y$ , then the continuity of  $L$  is equivalent to the condition*

$$\forall q \in \mathcal{Q} \exists p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P} \exists c > 0 \forall x \in X : q(L(x)) \leq c \cdot \max\{p_1(x), \dots, p_k(x)\}.$$

**Proposition 14.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a LCS over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $L : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  be a linear mapping. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $L$  is continuous.
- (ii)  $\ker L$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ .
- (iii) There exists  $U \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{o})$  such that  $L(U)$  is a bounded subset of  $\mathbb{F}$ .

*If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a family of seminorms generating the topology of  $X$ , the continuity of  $L$  is also equivalent to:*

- (iv)  $\exists p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathcal{P} \exists c > 0 \forall x \in X : |L(x)| \leq c \cdot \max\{p_1(x), \dots, p_k(x)\}.$

*If  $L$  is discontinuous, then  $\ker L$  is a dense subspace of  $X$ .*

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a LCS and let  $A \subset X$ . The set  $A$  is said to be **bounded** in  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ , if for any  $U \in \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{o})$  there exists  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $A \subset \lambda U$ .

**Lemma 15.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  be a LCS and let  $A \subset X$ . The set  $A$  is bounded in  $X$  if and only if each continuous seminorm  $p$  on  $X$  is bounded on  $A$ . (It is enough to test it for a family of seminorms generating the topology of  $X$ .)*

**Proposition 16.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  be LCS over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear mapping. Consider the following two assertions:

- (i)  $L$  is continuous.
- (ii) For any bounded subset  $A \subset X$  its image  $L(A)$  is bounded in  $Y$  (i.e.,  $L$  is a **bounded mapping**).

Then (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). In case  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by a translation invariant metric on  $X$ , then (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii).

**Remark.** (1) It follows from Theorem 22 in Section V.4 that, whenever a LCS  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  is metrizable, i.e., the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  is generated by a metric, then this metric can be chosen to be translation invariant.

(2) Equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) in Proposition 16 fails in general. This follows from a general theorem we arrive later.

**Definition.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{T})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{U})$  be LCS over  $\mathbb{F}$  and let  $L : X \rightarrow Y$  be a linear mapping. The mapping  $L$  is said to be

- an **isomorphism of  $X$  into  $Y$**  if  $L$  is continuous, one-to-one and  $L^{-1}$  is continuous on  $L(X)$ ;
- an **isomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y$** , if  $L$  is continuous, one-to-one, onto and  $L^{-1}$  is continuous on  $Y$ .

The spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  are said to be **isomorphic** if there is an isomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y$ .

**Remark:** For general TVS the following statements from this section are valid:

- Proposition 12 (no change needed).
- Equivalence of conditions (i)–(iii) from Proposition 14.
- Bounded sets are defined in the same way, Proposition 16 holds (no change needed).
- An obvious analogue of Remark (1) after Proposition 16 holds as well (it follows from an analogue of Theorem 22 with substantially more difficult proof).