

CONVOLUTIONS OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Recall: $U \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow U * \varphi(x) = U(x, \check{\varphi})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

Hence, the regular distribution induced by $U * \varphi$ equals:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{U * \varphi}(\varphi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U * \varphi(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U(y \mapsto \varphi(x-y)) \varphi(x) dx = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} U(y \mapsto \underbrace{\varphi(x-y) \varphi(x)}_{\in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d), \text{ locally } C^\infty, x \in \text{spt } \varphi, x-y \in \text{spt } \varphi \Rightarrow y \in \text{spt } \varphi - \text{spt } \varphi}) dx = \Lambda_1(x \mapsto U(y \mapsto \varphi(x-y) \varphi(x))) \end{aligned}$$

P.VII.14 (b)

$$\begin{aligned} &= U(y \mapsto \Lambda_1(x \mapsto \varphi(x-y) \varphi(x))) = U(\check{\varphi} * \varphi) \\ &= \int \varphi(x-y) \varphi(x) dx = \int \check{\varphi}(y-x) \varphi(x) dx = \check{\varphi} * \varphi(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

So, $\Lambda_{U * \varphi}(\varphi) = U(\check{\varphi} * \varphi)$.

Hence, it would be natural to define (for $U, V \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$):

$$U * V(\varphi) = U(\check{V} * \varphi), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \quad (*)$$

But it is not possible in general, as $\check{V} * \varphi$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, but not necessarily to $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Some cases when it is possible to define $U * V$ by formula (*):

Case 1: $\text{spt } V$ is compact.

Then, given $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Theorem VII.15 (c) shows that $\text{spt}(\check{V} * \varphi) \subset \text{spt } \check{V} + \text{spt } \varphi$, so it is compact. Therefore $\check{V} * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and hence (*) has a sense.

Moreover, $U * V \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in this case:

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be compact. Let $L := K + \text{spt } \check{V}$.
 Then L is compact, hence $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0 \exists C > 0$:
 $|U(\varphi)| \leq C \cdot \|\varphi\|_N, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\check{V} * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$
 $\Gamma \text{spt } \check{V} * \varphi \subset \text{spt } \check{V} + \text{spt } \varphi \subset \text{spt } \check{V} + K = L$

So,
 $|U * V(\varphi)| = |U(\check{V} * \varphi)| \leq C \cdot \|\check{V} * \varphi\|_N$

Further, $\check{V} * \varphi(x) = \check{V}(y \mapsto \varphi(x-y)) = V(y \mapsto \varphi(x+y))$

Since V has compact support, by Prop. VII.12 (d) $\exists D > 0 \exists M \in \mathbb{N}_0$
 s.t. $|V(\varphi)| \leq D \|\varphi\|_M, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Fix d s.t. $|d| \leq N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Then:

$$|D^{\alpha}(\check{V} * \varphi)(x)| \stackrel{\text{Thm. VII.15 (b)}}{=} |(\check{V} * D^{\alpha} \varphi)(x)| = |V(y \mapsto D^{\alpha} \varphi(x+y))|$$

$$= |V(\tau_{-x} D^{\alpha} \varphi)| \leq D \cdot \|\tau_{-x} D^{\alpha} \varphi\|_{\infty} = D \cdot \|D^{\alpha} \varphi\|_M \leq D \cdot \|\varphi\|_{N+M}$$

So, $|U * V(\varphi)| \leq C \cdot D \cdot \|\varphi\|_{N+M}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Hence $U * V \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Case 2: $\text{spt } U$ is compact

As in the proof of Prop. VII.12 (d) we find $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

s.t. $U(\varphi) = U(\varphi \cdot \varphi)$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$\exists \psi \geq 0$ and $\psi \equiv 1$ on an open set containing $\text{spt } V$

Then one may naturally extend U to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by setting

$$U(f) := U(\varphi \cdot f), \quad f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then (*) again has a sense.

Moreover, $U * V$ is a distribution:

U has cpt support \Rightarrow ^{Prop III.12cd)} $\exists C > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}_0 : |U(\varphi)| \leq C \cdot \|\varphi\|_N, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

So,

$$|(U * V)(\varphi)| = |U(\varphi \cdot (\check{V} * \varphi))| \leq C \cdot \|\varphi \cdot (\check{V} * \varphi)\|_N, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Fix $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ compact. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $x \in \text{spt } \varphi$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } (\check{V} * \varphi)(x) &= V(y \mapsto \varphi(x+y)) \quad [\text{compod above}] \\ &= V(\tau_{-x}\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{spt } \tau_{-x}\varphi = \text{spt } \varphi - x \subset K - \text{spt } \varphi =: L$$

$$L \text{ is compact } \Rightarrow \exists D > 0, M \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ s.t. } |V(\eta)| \leq D \|\eta\|_M, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

$$\text{So, } |(\check{V} * \varphi)(x)| \leq D \|\tau_{-x}\varphi\|_M = D \|\varphi\|_M, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \text{spt } \varphi$$

d multiindex, $|a| \leq N \Rightarrow$

$$|D^a(\check{V} * \varphi)(x)| = |\check{V} * D^a \varphi(x)| \leq D \|D^a \varphi\|_M \leq D \|\varphi\|_{M+|a|}, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \text{spt } \varphi$$

By the above we know that $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$|U * V(\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi \cdot (\check{V} * \varphi)\|_N \leq C \cdot 2^N \cdot \|\varphi\|_N \cdot \|\check{V} * \varphi\|_{\text{spt } \varphi, N}$$

\uparrow
see the proof of Prop 8

$$\leq \underbrace{C \cdot 2^N \cdot \|\varphi\|_N \cdot D}_{\text{a positive constnt}} \cdot \|\varphi\|_{M+N}$$

This shows $U * V \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Case 3: $\forall r > 0 : (\overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt } V) \cap \text{spt } U$ is compact.

For $r > 0$ fix $\varphi_r \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ s.t. $\varphi_r \geq 0$ and $\varphi_r = 1$ on an open set containing $(\overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt } V) \cap \text{spt } U$.

We now extend U to the space

$$Y = \left\{ f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d); \exists r > 0 : \text{spt } f \subset \overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt } V \right\}$$

by $\tilde{U}(f) = U(\psi_r f)$ if $\text{spt} f \subset \overline{U(0,r)} - \text{spt} V$

Clearly, \mathcal{Y} is a vector space. Moreover, \tilde{U} is a well-defined linear extension of U :

- $\psi_r f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so $U(\psi_r f)$ is defined.
- Assume $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $r > 0$, $\text{spt} f \subset \overline{U(0,r)} - \text{spt} V$, $s > r$.
Then $(\psi_s - \psi_r)f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we claim that $\text{spt}(\psi_s - \psi_r)f \cap \text{spt} U = \emptyset$

Γ $G := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \psi_s = \psi_r = 1\}$. By the choice of ψ_s and ψ_r we know that $\text{spt} U \cap (\overline{U(0,r)} - \text{spt} V) \subset \text{Int } G$

Hence, $\text{spt}(\psi_s - \psi_r)f \subset \text{spt}(\psi_s - \psi_r) \cap \text{spt} f \subset C(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{Int } G) \cap (\overline{U(0,r)} - \text{spt} V)$

Combining these two conclusions, we see that $\text{spt}(\psi_s - \psi_r)f \cap \text{spt} U \subset (\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{Int } G) \cap (\overline{U(0,r)} - G) \cap \text{spt} U \subset C(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \text{Int } G) \cap \text{Int } G = \emptyset$.

So, by Prop. VII.12 (c) we deduce $U((\psi_s - \psi_r)f) = 0$, i.e. $U(\psi_s f) = U(\psi_r f)$.

Hence, \tilde{U} is well defined.

- If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then $\text{spt} \varphi \subset \overline{U(0,r)} - \text{spt} V$ for r large enough, then $\psi_r \varphi = \varphi$, so $\tilde{U}(\varphi) = U(\psi_r \varphi) = U(\varphi)$.
Thus, \tilde{U} extends U .

- \tilde{U} is linear ... clear: $f, g \in \mathcal{Y} \Rightarrow \exists r > 0$ $\text{spt} f, \text{spt} g \subset \overline{U(0,r)} - \text{spt} V$
Then $\tilde{U}(f+g) = U(\psi_r(f+g)) = U(\psi_r f) + U(\psi_r g) = \tilde{U}(f) + \tilde{U}(g)$
 $\tilde{U}(\lambda f) = U(\psi_r \lambda f) = \lambda U(\psi_r f) = \lambda \tilde{U}(f)$

Next: $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \check{V} * \varphi \in \mathcal{Y}$

Γ $\text{spt} \check{V} * \varphi \subset \text{spt} \check{V} + \text{spt} \varphi = (-\text{spt} V) + \text{spt} \varphi \subset (-\text{spt} V) + \overline{U(0,r)}$
for r large enough.

Thus $U * V$ may be defined by $U * V(\varphi) = \tilde{U}(\check{V} * \varphi)$

Clearly, it is a well-defined linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Moreover, it is a distribution on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$K \subset \mathbb{R}^d \text{ compact, } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \text{supp } \check{V} * \varphi \subset \text{supp } \varphi - \text{supp } V \subset K - \text{supp } V$$

$$\text{Fix } r > 0 \text{ s.t. } K \subset \overline{U(0,r)} \text{ then: } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \text{supp } \check{V} * \varphi \subset \overline{U(0,r)} - \text{supp } V$$

Let $L := \text{supp } \varphi_r$. It is compact, so $\exists C, N$ s.t.

$$|U(\eta)| \leq C \cdot \|\eta\|_N \text{ for } \eta \in \mathcal{D}_L(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |U * V(\varphi)| &= |\check{U}(\check{V} * \varphi)| = |U(\varphi_r * \check{V} * \varphi)| \leq C \cdot \|\varphi_r * \check{V} * \varphi\|_N \\ &\leq C \cdot 2^N \cdot \|\varphi_r\|_N \cdot \|\check{V} * \varphi\|_N \end{aligned}$$

Next proceed similarly as in case 2:

$$x \in L \Rightarrow \check{V} * \varphi(x) = V(\tau_x \varphi), \text{ supp } \tau_x \varphi \subset K - L$$

$$\text{Fix } D, M \text{ s.t. } \forall \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{K-L}(\mathbb{R}^d) : |V(\eta)| \leq D \|\eta\|_M$$

Then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have (as in case 2):

$$|U * V(\varphi)| \leq C \cdot 2^N \cdot \|\varphi_r\|_N \|\check{V} * \varphi\|_N \leq C \cdot 2^N \|\varphi_r\|_N D \cdot \|\varphi\|_{M+N}$$

So, $U * V$ is a distribution.

Case 4: $\exists M, N \in \mathbb{N}_0, C, D > 0$ s.t. $|U(\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(L)$
 $|V(\varphi)| \leq D \|\varphi\|_M$

Then $\exists \mu_\alpha, |\alpha| \leq N$ finite Borel regular measures on \mathbb{R}^d (signed or complex)

$$\text{s.t. } U(\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha \varphi d\mu_\alpha, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

Consider $T: \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\{\alpha, |\alpha| \leq N\}}$ defined by $T\varphi = (D^\alpha \varphi)_{|\alpha| \leq N}$

Then T is a linear bijection of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ onto $Z := T(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$

Moreover, $U \circ T^{-1}$ is a continuous linear functional on Z equipped with the norm

$$\text{inherited from } C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)^{\{\alpha, |\alpha| \leq N\}} \quad (\|(\tau_\alpha)_\alpha\| = \max_\alpha \|\tau_\alpha\|_\infty), \quad \|U \circ T^{-1}\| \leq C$$

By Hahn-Banach thm we extend $U \circ T^{-1}$ to a cts linear functional $L : (C_0(\mathbb{R}^d))^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. By Riesz representation thm $\exists (\mu_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq N}$ finite Borel \mathbb{F} -valued measures on \mathbb{R}^d s.t. $L((f_\alpha)_\alpha) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f_\alpha d\mu_\alpha$

Then $U(\varphi) = U \circ T^{-1}(T\varphi) = L((D^\alpha \varphi)_{|\alpha| \leq N}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha \varphi d\mu_\alpha$

Then U may be extended to $Y := \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d); \|f\|_N < \infty\}$ by setting

$$\tilde{U}(f) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha f d\mu_\alpha, \quad f \in Y$$

Remark: The choice of $(\mu_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq N}$ need not be unique (H-B thm is used and moreover there are examples - for $d=1, N=1$ the pairs $(\delta_1 - \delta_0, 0)$ and $(0, \lambda|_{[0,1]})$, where λ is the Lebesgue measure represent the same distribution).

However, \tilde{U} is uniquely determined by U :

Assume \tilde{U} in the above form and $U = \tilde{U}|_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

Find $R \geq 1$ s.t. $|\mu_\alpha|(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U(0, R)) < \varepsilon$ for each $|\alpha| \leq N$

Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, $\varphi = 1$ on $\overline{U(0, 1)}$

Let $\varphi_R(x) = \varphi(\frac{x}{R})$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \varphi_R \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi_R = 1$ on $\overline{U(0, R)}$

$$D^\alpha \varphi_R(x) = \frac{1}{R^{|\alpha|}} D^\alpha \varphi(\frac{x}{R}) \Rightarrow \|D^\alpha \varphi_R\|_\infty \leq \|D^\alpha \varphi\| \quad (\text{recall } R \geq 1)$$

Let $f \in Y$ be arbitrary. Then $\varphi_R f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \tilde{U}(\varphi_R f) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{U}(f)| = |\tilde{U}((1 - \varphi_R)f)| = \left| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} D^\alpha (1 - \varphi_R) f d\mu_\alpha \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus U(0, R)} |D^\alpha (1 - \varphi_R) f| d|\mu_\alpha| = \textcircled{*}$$

$$\int |D^\alpha (1 - \varphi_R) f| \leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{d_1}{\beta_1} \dots \binom{d_d}{\beta_d} |D^\beta (1 - \varphi_R) D^{\alpha - \beta} f|$$

$$\leq \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \binom{d_1}{\beta_1} \dots \binom{d_d}{\beta_d} \|1 - \varphi\|_N \|f\|_N = 2^N \|1 - \varphi\|_N \|f\|_N$$

$$\text{So, } \textcircled{*} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{N} \|1 - \varphi\|_N \|f\|_N \cdot \varepsilon$$

• Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\tilde{U}(f) = 0$. \downarrow

Moreover, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have (for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$)

$$|\check{V} * \varphi(x)| = |V(\tau_x \varphi)| \leq D \|\tau_x \varphi\|_M = D \|\varphi\|_M$$

and of d as a multiplier, then

$$|D^{\alpha} (\check{V} * \varphi)(x)| = |\check{V} * D^{\alpha} \varphi(x)| \leq D \cdot \|D^{\alpha} \varphi\|_M \leq D \|\varphi\|_{M+|\alpha|}$$

Hence, $\|\check{V} * \varphi\|_N \leq D \|\varphi\|_{N+M} < \infty$, so $\check{V} * \varphi \in \mathcal{F}$

$\Rightarrow U * V(\varphi) = \tilde{U}(\check{V} * \varphi)$ may be defined.

Clearly, it is a linear functional on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover,

$$|U * V(\varphi)| = |\tilde{U}(\check{V} * \varphi)| \leq C \cdot \|\check{V} * \varphi\|_N \leq C \cdot D \cdot \|\varphi\|_{N+M}$$

So, $U * V$ is a distribution.

Prop VII.16 (a) In Cases 1-4 we get a distribution. Moreover, Case 3 covers cases 1 and 2; further $U * V = V * U$

Above we have proved that $U * V$ is a distribution.

It is clear that, in case $\text{spt} U$ or $\text{spt} V$ is compact, Case 3 may be used and that the result is the same as in Case 1 or 2.

Further, if Case 3 is applicable to U, V , it is applicable to V, U as well and $V * U = U * V$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \rightarrow (\overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt} U) \cap \text{spt} V = \overline{U(0, r)} + (-\text{spt} U) \cap (\text{spt} V - \overline{U(0, r)}) \\ & = \overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt} U \cap (\overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt} V) \end{aligned}$$

So, if the set $\overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt} U \cap (\overline{U(0, r)} - \text{spt} V)$ is compact, also $\text{spt} V$ is compact.

Let φ_r be as in Case 3 - it may be chosen common for pairs U, V and V, U

$$U * V(\varphi) = \tilde{U}(\check{V} * \varphi) = U(\varphi_r * \check{V} * \varphi) = U(x \mapsto \varphi_r(x) V(y \mapsto \varphi(x+y))) =$$

\uparrow
 $\text{spt} \varphi \subset U(0, r)$

$$= U(x \mapsto V(y \mapsto \varphi_2(x) \varphi(x+y))) \stackrel{\text{Prop. VIII.14 (5)}}{\downarrow} = V(y \mapsto U(x \mapsto \varphi_2(x) \varphi(x+y))) = (*)$$

Let us analyze the last expression:

$$\begin{aligned} U(x \mapsto \varphi_2(x) \varphi(x+y)) \neq 0 &\Rightarrow \text{supp}(\varphi_2 \cdot \tau_y \varphi) \cap \text{supp} U \neq \emptyset \\ &\Rightarrow \text{supp}(\tau_{-y} \varphi) \cap \text{supp} U \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow (\text{supp} \varphi - y) \cap \text{supp} U \neq \emptyset \\ &\Rightarrow y \in \text{supp} \varphi - \text{supp} U \subset U(0, r) - \text{supp} U \\ &\Rightarrow \text{supp}(y \mapsto U(x \mapsto \varphi_2(x) \varphi(x+y))) \subset \overline{U(0, r)} - \text{supp} U \\ &\Rightarrow (*) = V(y \mapsto \varphi_2(y) U(x \mapsto \varphi_2(x) \varphi(x+y))) = \\ &= V(y \mapsto U(x \mapsto \varphi_2(x) \varphi_2(y) \varphi(x+y))) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by exchanging roles of U, V , we prove

$$V * U(\varphi) = U(x \mapsto V(y \mapsto \varphi_2(y) \varphi_1(x) \varphi(x+y))) \quad \text{if } \text{supp} \varphi \subset U(0, r)$$

The two formulas coincide by Prop. VIII.14 (5). \square

• If U, V satisfy Case 4, then $U * V = V * U$

Both U and V may be represented using finite families of measures and canonically extended to the respective spaces Γ_{U_1}, Γ_V

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } U * V(\varphi) &= \tilde{U}(\tilde{V} * \varphi) = \tilde{U}(x \mapsto V(y \mapsto \varphi(x+y))) = \\ &= \tilde{U}(x \mapsto \tilde{V}(y \mapsto \varphi(x+y))) = \tilde{V}(y \mapsto \tilde{U}(x \mapsto \varphi(x+y))) = \\ &\quad \text{as } (x, y) \mapsto \varphi(x+y) \text{ is } C^\infty \text{ with odd derivatives} \\ &\quad \text{use Fubini: the same as in the proof of Prop. VIII.14 (6)} \\ &= \dots = V * U(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \quad V = 1_\varphi \text{ for some } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(12^d) \Rightarrow U * V = 1_{U * \varphi}$$

\square See the computation motivating formula (*) \square

$$(c) \quad U = 1_f, \quad f \in C^1_{loc}(12^d), \quad V = 1_\varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(12^d) \Rightarrow U * V = 1_{f * \varphi}$$

$$\sqrt{U * V} \stackrel{(b)}{=} 1_{U * \varphi} = 1_{f * \varphi} \quad \leftarrow \text{Thm VIII.15 (a)} \quad \square$$

(d) $U = \Lambda_f, V = \Lambda_g, f, g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow U * V = \Lambda_{f+g}$

Case 4 applies:

Thm 4.15 (a)

$$U * V(\varphi) = \tilde{U}(\check{V} * \varphi) = \tilde{U}(\Lambda_{\check{g}} * \varphi) = \tilde{U}(\check{g} * \varphi) =$$

Case 4 formula ($d\mu = f dx, U=0$)

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \cdot (\check{g} * \varphi)(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \check{g}(x-y) \varphi(y) dy \right) dx =$$

$\Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) g(y-x) dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(y) (f * g)(y) dy = \Lambda_{f+g}(\varphi)$

FUBINI THM

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\varphi(y) f(x) g(y-x)| dx dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \| \varphi \|_{\infty} |f(x)| |g(y-x)| dy dx \leq \| \varphi \|_{\infty} \| f \|_1 \| g \|_1$$

(e) $\text{spt}(U * V) \subset \text{spt} U + \text{spt} V$

Case 3: Assume that $\text{spt} \varphi \cap (\text{spt} U + \text{spt} V) = \emptyset$

and $\text{spt} \varphi \subset \overline{U(0, R)}$

$$U * V(\varphi) = \tilde{U}(\check{V} * \varphi) = U(\varphi_R \cdot \check{V} * \varphi)$$

$$\check{V} * \varphi(x) = V(y \mapsto \varphi(x+y)) \dots \text{spt } \check{V} * \varphi \subset \text{spt} \varphi - \text{spt} V$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{spt}(\varphi_R \cdot \check{V} * \varphi) \subset \text{spt} \varphi - \text{spt} V$$

$$\text{Moreover, } (\text{spt} \varphi - \text{spt} V) \cap \text{spt} U = \emptyset$$

$$\text{SO } U(\varphi_R \cdot \check{V} * \varphi) = 0 \text{ by Prop VII.12 (c)}$$

Hence $U * V(\varphi) = 0$.

We deduce that $U * V$ vanishes on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\text{spt} U + \text{spt} V)$

Case 4: Assume that $\text{spt} \varphi \cap (\text{spt} U + \text{spt} V) = \emptyset$. As above, $\text{spt} \check{V} * \varphi \subset \text{spt} \varphi - \text{spt} V$

$$\text{and } (\text{spt} \varphi - \text{spt} V) \cap \text{spt} U = \emptyset.$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d), \varphi = 1$ on $\overline{U(0,1)}, \varphi \geq 0$

For $R > 0$ let $\varphi_R(x) = \varphi(\frac{x}{R})$. Then

$$U(\varphi_R(\check{V} * \varphi)) \leq 0 \text{ for each } R > 0$$

Moreover, the proof of Case 4 yields $\tilde{U}(\tilde{V} * \varphi) = \lim U(\varphi_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{V} * \varphi)) = 0$ ✓

$$(f) \quad D^\alpha(U * V) = D^\alpha U * V = U * D^\alpha V$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Case 3: } D^\alpha(U * V)(\varphi) &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} (U * V)(D^\alpha \varphi) = \\ &= (-1)^{|\alpha|} U(\varphi_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot (\tilde{V} * D^\alpha \varphi)) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} U(\varphi_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot (D^\alpha \tilde{V} * \varphi)) = \end{aligned}$$

↑ Theorem 15.65

$$= U(\varphi_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot (D^\alpha \tilde{V}) * \varphi) = (U * D^\alpha \tilde{V})(\varphi)$$

$$\uparrow D^\alpha \tilde{V}(\eta) = \tilde{V}(D^\alpha \eta) = V(x \mapsto D^\alpha \eta(-x)) = V((-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha \eta)$$

$$\text{Using (a): } D^\alpha(U * V) = D^\alpha(V * U) = V * D^\alpha U = D^\alpha U * V$$

$$\text{Case 4: } D^\alpha(U * V)(\varphi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} U * V(D^\alpha \varphi) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \tilde{U}(\tilde{V} * D^\alpha \varphi) =$$

$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \tilde{U}(D^\alpha \tilde{V} * \varphi) = \tilde{U}((D^\alpha \tilde{V}) * \varphi) = U * D^\alpha V(\varphi)$$

the last formula as above.

$$(g) \quad U = U * 1_{\delta_0} \quad , \quad D^\alpha U = U * D^\alpha 1_{\delta_0}$$

$$\Gamma U * 1_{\delta_0}(\varphi) = U(1_{\delta_0} * \varphi) = U(1_{\delta_0} * \varphi) \stackrel{\uparrow}{=} U(\varphi)$$

$$\Gamma 1_{\delta_0} * \varphi(x) = 1_{\delta_0}(y \mapsto \varphi(x-y)) = \varphi(x-0) = \varphi(x) \quad \Downarrow$$

$$D^\alpha U = D^\alpha(U * 1_{\delta_0}) \stackrel{(f)}{=} U * D^\alpha 1_{\delta_0} \quad \Downarrow$$

(Case 1 applies)