

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ ($d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{N}$)

(a) $\Lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ Define $\psi(y) = \Lambda(x \mapsto \varphi(x, y))$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$
 Then $\psi \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ and $\forall \alpha: \mathcal{D}^\alpha \psi(y) = \Lambda(x \mapsto \mathcal{D}^{(\alpha, \alpha')} \varphi(x, y))$

Proof: Fix $c > 0$ s.t. $\text{spt } \varphi \subset \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}}(0, c)}$

We proceed in several steps

① ψ is well defined:

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$ define $\varphi_y(x) = \varphi(x, y)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$

• clearly $\varphi_y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ (as $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$)

• $\text{spt } \varphi_y \subset \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}}(0, c)}$, so it is compact

We deduce that $\varphi_y \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ and hence $\psi(y) = \Lambda(\varphi_y)$

is well defined.

② $\text{spt } \psi$ is compact: If $\|y\| > c$, necessarily $\varphi_y \equiv 0$, so $\psi(y) = \Lambda(0) = 0$.

Hence $\text{spt } \psi \subset \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}}(0, c)}$

③ $y_n \rightarrow y$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d_2} \Rightarrow \varphi_{y_n} \rightarrow \varphi_y$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$

• WLOG $\|y_n\| \leq c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

⌈ If $\|y_n\| > c$ for finitely many n , just omit them

If $\|y_n\| > c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ except for finitely many, then

\exists no $n \geq n_0: \varphi_{y_n} = 0$. Moreover, $\|y\| \leq c$, so $\varphi_y = 0$. Thus $\varphi_{y_n} \rightarrow \varphi_y$.

Otherwise we split (y_n) to two subsequences (y_{n_k}) and (y_{m_k})

covering whole sequence (y_n) s.t. $\|y_{n_k}\| > c$ and $\|y_{m_k}\| \leq c$

Then $\|y\| = c$ and hence $\varphi_{y_{n_k}} = 0 \rightarrow 0 = \varphi_y$

and it is enough to prove the convergence for $\varphi_{y_{m_k}}$ ⌋

• So assume $\forall n: \|y_n\| \leq c$ and, consequently, $\|y\| \leq c$.

We are going to show that $\varphi_{y_n} \rightarrow \varphi_y$ in this case

□ $\text{spt } \varphi_{y_n} \subset \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}}(0, c)}$ for each n (see ① above)

□ Fix α multi-index and show that $\mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi_{y_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\alpha \varphi_y$

Observe that $D^{\alpha} \varphi_{y_n}(x) = D^{(\alpha, 0)} \varphi(x, y_n)$
 $D^{(\alpha, 0)} \varphi$ is cts on $\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$, so uniformly cts on $\overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}}(0, C)}$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\exists \delta > 0$ s.t.

$$(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}}(0, C)}, \| (x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2) \| < \delta \Rightarrow \| D^{(\alpha, 0)} \varphi(x_1, y_1) - D^{(\alpha, 0)} \varphi(x_2, y_2) \| < \varepsilon$$

Fix $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $\forall n \geq n_0 : \| y_n - y \| < \delta$

If $n \geq n_0$ and $x \in \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}}(0, C)}$, then

$$| D^{\alpha} \varphi_{y_n}(x) - D^{\alpha} \varphi_y(x) | = | D^{(\alpha, 0)} \varphi(x, y_n) - D^{(\alpha, 0)} \varphi(x, y) | < \varepsilon$$

So, $\| D^{\alpha} \varphi_{y_n} - D^{\alpha} \varphi_y \|_{\infty} \leq \varepsilon$. This completes the proof of the convergence.

(4) ψ is cts:

$$y_n \rightarrow y \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \stackrel{(3)}{\Rightarrow} \varphi_{y_n} \rightarrow \varphi_y \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}) \Rightarrow \psi(y_n) = \Lambda(\varphi_{y_n}) \rightarrow \Lambda(\varphi_y) = \psi(y)$$

$$(5) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_j}(y) = \Lambda \left(x \mapsto \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j}(x, y) \right) \quad \begin{matrix} j=1, \dots, d_2 \\ y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2} \end{matrix}$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_j}(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\psi(y + t \cdot e_j) - \psi(y)}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Lambda(x \mapsto \varphi(x, y + t e_j)) - \Lambda(x \mapsto \varphi(x, y))}{t} \right]$$

$e_j = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{j}{1}, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$

Λ is linear

$$\stackrel{\cong}{=} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Lambda \left(x \mapsto \frac{\varphi(x, y + t e_j) - \varphi(x, y)}{t} \right) = (*)$$

$\varphi_t(x, y)$ using notation from Lemma VII.13 for $e = (0, e_j)$

$$\text{Lemma VII.13 (b)} \Rightarrow \varphi_t \rightarrow \partial_{(0, e_j)} \varphi = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j} \text{ in } \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$$

given $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$, it follows $(\varphi_t)_y \rightarrow \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j} \right)_y$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$
 [obvious from definitions]

Therefore

$$(*) = \Lambda \left(\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j} \right)_y \right) = \Lambda \left(x \mapsto \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j}(x, y) \right)$$

⑥ $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ and $\forall d : D^d \varphi(y) = 1 (x \mapsto D^{(0,d)} \varphi(x,y))$

- ⑤ \Rightarrow the formula holds if $|d|=1$
- ④ applied to $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_j} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ together with the formula implies $\varphi \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$
- We conclude by induction: Assume it holds of $|d| \leq \mathfrak{z}$ for some $\mathfrak{z} \in \mathbb{N}$

Assume $|d| = \mathfrak{z} + 1$. Then $d = \beta + \mathfrak{z}_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, d_2\}$ and β with $|\beta| = \mathfrak{z}$

Then for $y^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$:

$$D^d \varphi(y^0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (D^\beta \varphi)(y^0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (y \mapsto 1 (x \mapsto D^{(0,\beta)} \varphi(x,y))) \Big|_{y=y^0}$$

↑
induction
hypothesis

$$= (y \mapsto 1 (x \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (D^{(0,\beta)} \varphi)(x,y))) \Big|_{y=y^0} = 1 (x \mapsto D^{(0,d)} \varphi(x,y^0))$$

↑
⑤ applied to $D^{(0,\beta)} \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$

Moreover, by ④ applied to $D^{(0,\beta)} \varphi$ we get that $D^d \varphi$ is cts.

Conclusion: The statement follows by combining ⑥ and ②

Lemma R (representation of distributions)

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ open, $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$, $K \subset \Omega$ compact

$\Rightarrow \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \exists \{\mu_\alpha\}_{|\alpha| \leq N}$ regular Borel measures on K s.t.

$\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\Omega)$:

$$\lambda(\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_K D^\alpha \varphi d\mu_\alpha$$

Proof: Prop VII.6 $\Rightarrow \exists C > 0, N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ s.t. $|\lambda(\varphi)| \leq C \cdot \|\varphi\|_N, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_K(\Omega)$

Let $X = (C(K))_{\{d, |\alpha| \leq N\}}$ be equipped with the max-norm

$$\|(f_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq N}\| = \max_{|\alpha| \leq N} \|f_\alpha\|_\infty$$

Then X is a Banach space

Define $T: \mathcal{D}_k(\Omega) \rightarrow X$ by $T(\varphi) = (D^\alpha \varphi|_k)_{|\alpha| \leq N}$

Then T is linear. Then $Y := T(\mathcal{D}_k(\Omega)) \subset X$

Moreover, there is a linear mapping $L: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$L(T\varphi) = \Lambda(\varphi) \text{ for } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}_k(\Omega)$$

$$\uparrow L \text{ correctly defined: } T\varphi_1 = T\varphi_2 \Rightarrow T(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = 0 \Rightarrow \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|_N = 0 \Rightarrow \Lambda(\varphi_1) = \Lambda(\varphi_2)$$

$$L \text{ linear: } L(aT\varphi + bT\psi) = L(T(a\varphi + b\psi)) = \Lambda(a\varphi + b\psi) = a\Lambda(\varphi) + b\Lambda(\psi) = aL(T\varphi) + bL(T\psi)$$

$$\text{Moreover, } |L(T\varphi)| = |\Lambda(\varphi)| \leq C \|\varphi\|_N = C \cdot \|T\varphi\|,$$

$$\text{so } L \text{ is cts, } \|L\| \leq C$$

$$H-B \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{L}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ linear extension of } L, \|\tilde{L}\| = \|L\| \leq C$$

Riesz representation theorem $\Rightarrow \exists (\mu_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq N}$ regular Borel measures on k s.t.

$$\tilde{L}((f_\alpha)_{|\alpha| \leq N}) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_k f_\alpha d\mu_\alpha$$

Then for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_k(\Omega)$:

$$\Lambda(\varphi) = L(T\varphi) = \tilde{L}(T\varphi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \int_k D^\alpha \varphi d\mu_\alpha.$$

(b) [FUJIMI THEOREM FOR DISTRIBUTIONS] $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}), \mu_2 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$

$\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}) \Rightarrow$

$$\mu_2(y \mapsto \mu_1(x \mapsto \varphi(x,y))) = \mu_1(x \mapsto \mu_2(y \mapsto \varphi(x,y)))$$

Proof: • $y \mapsto \mu_1(x \mapsto \varphi(x,y))$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ by (a)

$x \mapsto \mu_2(y \mapsto \varphi(x,y))$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$

so the expressions are well defined.

• Let $C > 0$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset \overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}}(0, C)}$

Lemma 2: $\mu_1|_{\overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_1}}(0, C)}} \rightsquigarrow \mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_1 \ll \nu_1$ for $|\alpha| \leq N_1$

$\mu_2|_{\overline{U_{\mathbb{R}^{d_2}}(0, C)}} \rightsquigarrow \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_0, \mu_2 \ll \nu_2$ for $|\alpha| \leq N_2$

$$\Lambda_2(y \mapsto \Lambda_1(x \mapsto \varphi(x,y))) = \sum_{|A| \leq N_2} \int D^A \Lambda_1(x \mapsto \varphi(x,y)) d\nu_\beta(y)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{|A| \leq N_2} \int \Lambda_1(x \mapsto D^{(A, \beta)} \varphi(x,y)) d\nu_\beta(y) = \sum_{|A| \leq N_2} \int \sum_{|A_1| \leq N_1} \int D^{(A_1, \beta)} \varphi(x,y) d\mu_\alpha(x) d\nu_\beta(y)$$

$$= \sum_{|A| \leq N_2} \sum_{|A_1| \leq N_1} \iint D^{(A_1, \beta)} \varphi(x,y) d\mu_\alpha(x) d\nu_\beta(y) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{FUBINI} \\ = \\ D^{(A_1, \beta)} \varphi \text{ is sdd, cts} \end{array}$$

$$= \sum_{|A| \leq N_2} \sum_{|A_1| \leq N_1} \iint D^{(A_1, \beta)} \varphi(x,y) d\nu_\beta(y) d\mu_\alpha(x)$$

$$= \sum_{|A_1| \leq N_1} \int \sum_{|A| \leq N_2} \int D^{(A_1, \beta)} \varphi(x,y) d\nu_\beta(y) d\mu_\alpha(x)$$

$$= \sum_{|A_1| \leq N_1} \int \Lambda_2(y \mapsto D^{(A_1, \beta)} \varphi(x,y)) d\mu_\alpha(x)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \sum_{|A_1| \leq N_1} \int D^A \Lambda_2(y \mapsto \varphi(x,y)) d\mu_\alpha(x)$$

$$= \Lambda_1(x \mapsto \Lambda_2(y \mapsto \varphi(x,y)))$$