

$$(a) x_n \rightarrow x \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^d, \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \Rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_{x_n} \varphi \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}_x \varphi$$

Recall  $\tilde{\tau}_x \varphi(y) = \varphi(y-x), y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow \exists r_1 > 0 \text{ s.t. } \text{spt } \varphi \subset U(0, r_1)$

$$x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow \exists r_2 > 0 \text{ s.t. } \{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset U(0, r_2)$$

$$K := \overline{U(0, r_1 + r_2)} \Rightarrow K \subset \mathbb{R}^d \text{ is compact}$$

and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ spt } \tilde{\tau}_{x_n} \varphi \subset K$  and also  $\text{spt } \tilde{\tau}_x \varphi \subset K$

$$\tilde{\tau}_{x_n} \varphi(y) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(y - x_n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow y - x_n \in \text{spt } \varphi$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{spt } \varphi + x_n \subset U(0, r_1) + U(0, r_2) \subset K$$

Similarly,

$$\tilde{\tau}_x \varphi(y) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(y - x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow y - x \in \text{spt } \varphi$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in \text{spt } \varphi + x \subset U(0, r_1) + U(0, r_2) \subset K$$

- of multindex  $\Rightarrow \|D^\alpha \tilde{\tau}_{x_n} \varphi - D^\alpha \tilde{\tau}_x \varphi\|_\infty = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |D^\alpha \varphi(y - x_n) - D^\alpha \varphi(y - x)|$

$$= \sup_{y \in K} |D^\alpha \varphi(y - x_n) - D^\alpha \varphi(y - x)|$$

$$y \in K \Rightarrow y - x_n, y - x \in K + \overline{U(0, r_2)} = \overline{U(0, r_1 + 2r_2)}$$

this is compact.  $D^\alpha \varphi$  is cts, so uniformly cts on  $\overline{U(0, r_1 + 2r_2)}$

So, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $\delta > 0$  s.t.

$$\forall y_1, y_2 \in \overline{U(0, r_1 + 2r_2)} : \|y_1 - y_2\| < \delta \Rightarrow |D^\alpha \varphi(y_1) - D^\alpha \varphi(y_2)| < \varepsilon$$

Further,  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , so there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\forall n \geq n_0 : \|x_n - x\| < \delta$

Now, given  $n \geq n_0$  and  $y \in K$ , we have  $y - x_n, y - x \in \overline{U(0, r_1 + 2r_2)}$ ,

$$\|(y - x_n) - (y - x)\| = \|x - x_n\| < \delta \text{ and hence}$$

$$|D^\alpha \varphi(y - x_n) - D^\alpha \varphi(y - x)| < \varepsilon$$

Take sup over  $y \in K$  and deduce that

$$\|D^\alpha \tilde{\tau}_{x_n} \varphi - D^\alpha \tilde{\tau}_x \varphi\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n \geq n_0$$

This completes the proof that  $D^\alpha \tilde{\tau}_{x_n} \varphi \rightharpoonup D^\alpha \tilde{\tau}_x \varphi$ .

$$(bD : \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d), e \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow \partial_e \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

$$\text{Recall } \partial_e \varphi(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\varphi(x+te) - \varphi(x)}{t}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Define  $g_x(t) = \varphi(x+te)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g_x \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$   
and  $\partial_e \varphi(x) = g_x'(0) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x+te) \cdot e_j \Big|_{t=0} = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) e_j$

$$\text{So, } \partial_e \varphi = \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

(b2) For  $r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  define  $\varphi_r(x) = \frac{1}{r} (\varphi(x+r\epsilon) - \varphi(x))$ .

Clearly  $\varphi_r \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We claim that  $\varphi_r \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} \partial_e \varphi$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$

Fix  $c > 0$  s.t.  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset U(0, c)$ . If  $0 < |r| < 1$ , then  
 $\text{supp } \varphi_r \subset \text{supp } \varphi + U(0, \|re\|) \subset \overline{U(0, \|re\|)}$   
so supports are contained in a unique compact.

Further, given  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < |r| < 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_r(x) - \partial_e \varphi(x)| &= \left| \frac{1}{r} (g_x(r) - g_x(0)) - g_x'(0) \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r g_x'(t) dt - g_x'(0) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r (g_x'(t) - g_x'(0)) dt \right| = \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \sum_{j=1}^d e_j \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x+te) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) \right) dt \right| \leq \underbrace{\left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x+te) - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}}_{\text{Cauchy-Schwarz}} \\ &\leq \|re\| \cdot \left( \sum_{j=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_2^2 \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\varepsilon > 0 \xrightarrow{(a)} \exists \delta > 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad \|y\|_\infty < \delta \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_2 < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{So, if } 0 < |r| \|re\| < \delta, \text{ then } \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j} \right\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{|r|} \cdot |r| \cdot \|re\| \cdot \sqrt{d} \varepsilon$$

Conclusion: If  $0 < m < \delta$ , then  $\|\varphi_r - D_e \varphi\| < \text{const} \cdot \varepsilon$

We deduce that  $\varphi_r \rightrightarrows D_e \varphi$

Now, assume  $L$  is a multilinear. Then

$$D^\alpha \varphi_r = (D^\alpha \varphi)_r \rightrightarrows D_e(D^\alpha \varphi) = D^\alpha(D_e \varphi)$$

↑ apply the above arguments to  $D^\alpha \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(P^d)$ .