

Theorem VII.4 Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}([a, b])$

(a) The weak derivative is uniquely determined:

$g_1, g_2 \in L^1_{loc}([a, b])$  two weak derivatives of  $f$ .

Then  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}([a, b])$ :

$$\int_a^b g_1 \varphi = - \int_a^b f \varphi' = \int_a^b g_2 \varphi, \text{ hence } \int_a^b (g_1 - g_2) \varphi = 0$$

By L2 we deduce  $g_1 - g_2 = 0$  a.e.

$\mu_1, \mu_2$  two measures which are weak derivatives of  $f$

Then  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}([a, b])$

$$\int_a^b \varphi d\mu_1 = - \int_a^b f \varphi' = \int_a^b \varphi d\mu_2, \text{ hence } \int_a^b \varphi d(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = 0$$

By L2 we get  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$

(b1)  $f$  absolutely continuous on  $[a, b]$

$\Rightarrow$  •  $f'$  exists a.e. and  $f' \in L^1([a, b])$  [properties of AC functions]

• Integration by parts for AC functions yields

$$\int_a^b f' \varphi = \underbrace{[f \varphi]_a^b}_=0 - \int_a^b f \varphi' \Rightarrow f' \text{ is the weak derivative of } f$$

(b2)  $g \in L^1([a, b])$  is the weak derivative of  $f$

$\Rightarrow$  define  $f_0(t) = \int_a^t g$ ,  $t \in [a, b]$ .

Then  $f_0 \in AC([a, b])$ ,  $f_0' = g$  a.e. [by properties of indefinite Lebesgue integral]

Hence by (b1) we know that  $g$  is the weak derivative of  $f_0$

Thus  $f - f_0$  has weak derivative — the constant zero function

By P3 we know  $f - f_0$  is const. (o.e.  $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}$   $f - f_0 = c$  a.e.)

thus  $f = f_0 + c$  a.e.)

Therefore  $f$  equals a.e. to an AC function.

(b3)  $f$  locally absolutely continuous on  $(a, b)$ , i.e.  $f$  is A.C on  $[c, d]$  for each  $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$ .

Then  $f'$  exist a.e.,  $f' \in L^1_{loc}$  and  $f'$  is the weak derivative of  $f$

Apply (b1) to each  $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$  and observe

that  $\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}((a, b)) \exists [c, d] \subset (a, b) : \varphi \in \mathcal{D}([c, d])$

(b4)  $g \in L^1_{loc}((a, b))$  is the weak derivative of  $f$ ,

Fix  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  and define  $f_0(t) = \int_{x_0}^t g$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$

Then  $f_0 \in AC_{loc}((a, b))$

and  $\exists C \in \mathbb{R} : f = f_0 + C$  a.e.

Similarly as in (b2)

(c1)  $\mu \geq 0$ , non-negative measure which is a weak derivative of  $f$ :

Set  $f_1(t) = \mu([a, t])$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$

$\Rightarrow f_1$  is a non-decreasing function on  $(a, b)$  (and sdd)

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \in \mathcal{D}((a, b)) &\Rightarrow \int_{(a, b)} \varphi d\mu = \int_{(a, b)} \int_a^t \varphi'(s) ds d\mu(t) \stackrel{\text{FUBINI}}{=} \int_{(a, b)} \varphi'(s) \cdot \mu([s, b]) ds = \int_a^b \varphi'(s) (\mu([a, s]) - \mu([a, s])) ds \\ &= \int_a^b \int_{[s, b]} \varphi'(s) d\mu(t) ds = \int_a^b \varphi'(s) \cdot \mu([s, b]) ds = \int_a^b \varphi'(s) (\mu([a, s]) - \mu([a, s])) ds \\ &= \underbrace{\mu([a, b]) \cdot \int_a^b \varphi'}_{= [\varphi]_a^b = 0} - \int_a^b \varphi'(s) \underbrace{\mu([a, s])}_{= f_1(s)} ds = - \int_a^b f_1 \cdot \varphi' \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \mu$  is the weak derivative of  $f_1$

$\Rightarrow f - f_1$  has 0 as weak derivative

$\Rightarrow \exists C \in \mathbb{R} : f - f_1 = C$  a.e., i.e.  $f = f_1 + C$  a.e.

Thus  $f$  is a.e.-equal to a non-decreasing function.

(c2)  $f$  non-decreasing, bdd

For  $(c,d) \subset (a,b)$  set  $\mu((c,d)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow d^-} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow c^+} f(t)$

and for  $A \subset (a,b)$  arbitrary set

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \mu(I_n) ; \begin{array}{l} I_n \text{ open intervals } \subset (a,b) \\ \bigcup_n I_n \supset A \end{array} \right\}$$

$\Rightarrow \mu^*$  is a finite outer measure:

•  $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$   $\left[ \exists t_0$  a point of continuity of  $f$

The  $\mu((t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)) \rightarrow 0$  for  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$  ]

•  $\mu^*$  finite  $\dots \mu^*(A) \leq \mu((a,b))$

•  $\mu^*$   $\sigma$ -subadditive  $\left[ A = \bigcup_n A_n, \varepsilon > 0 \right.$

$\exists (I_{n,k})$  open intervals  $A_n \subset \bigcup_k I_{n,k}$

$$\sum_k \mu(I_{n,k}) < \mu^*(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n}$$

$$\Rightarrow A \subset \bigcup_{n,k} I_{n,k} \quad \& \quad \sum_{n,k} \mu(I_{n,k}) < \sum_n \left( \mu^*(A_n) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \right) \\ = \sum_n \mu^*(A_n) + \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu^*(A) \leq \sum_n \mu^*(A_n) + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0 \text{ arbitrary} \left. \right]$$

Moreover,  $[c,d] \subset (a,b) \Rightarrow \mu^*([c,d]) = \lim_{t \rightarrow d^+} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow c^-} f(t)$

$\left[ \varepsilon > 0 \Rightarrow \mu^*([c,d]) \leq \mu((c-\varepsilon, d+\varepsilon)) \leq f(d+\varepsilon) - f(c-\varepsilon), \text{ take } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \right.$

$\geq$ :  $I_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$  open intervals,  $[c,d] \subset \bigcup_k I_k$

$[c,d]$  compact  $\Rightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{N} : [c,d] \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m I_k$

w.l.o.g.  $I_k \cap [c,d] \neq \emptyset$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\text{Then } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(I_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(I_k)$$

Further,  $\bigcup_{k=1}^m I_k = I$  is an open interval

$$\text{Claim: } \mu(I) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(I_k)$$

by induction: Assume  $I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n$  is an open interval  $c$   
 Then  $\mu(I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n) \leq \mu(I_1) + \dots + \mu(I_n)$

•  $n=1$  ... obvious

•  $n=2$ :  $I_1 \cup I_2$  an interval  $\Rightarrow \mu(I_1 \cup I_2) \leq \mu(I_1) + \mu(I_2)$

$\overline{\overline{I_1 \supset I_2 \dots \text{clear}}}$

$I_2 \supset I_1 \dots \text{clear}$

$$I_1 = (a, \beta), I_2 = (\gamma, \delta), \quad a < \gamma < \beta < \delta$$

$$\mu(I_1 \cup I_2) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \beta^-} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} f(t)$$

$$\mu(I_1) + \mu(I_2) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^-} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \beta^+} f(t) + \lim_{t \rightarrow \gamma^-} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} f(t)$$

$\geq 0$  as  $f$  is non-decreasing  $\checkmark$

• Assume it holds for some  $n \geq 2$

and let us have  $I_1, \dots, I_{n+1}$

Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  be such that  $I_j \cap I_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$

$$\text{Then } \mu(I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{n+1}) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \\ i \neq j}} \mu(I_i)}_{\substack{\text{inductive} \\ \text{hypothesis}}} + \underbrace{\mu(I_j \cup I_{n+1})}_{\substack{\leq \mu(I_j) + \mu(I_{n+1}) \\ \text{by the case } n=2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu(I_i) \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{Thus } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(I_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(I_k) \geq \mu(I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n) =$$

$$= \mu((a, \beta)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \beta^-} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} f(t) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow \delta^+} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow c^-} f(t)$$

$$\uparrow (a, \beta) := I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_n \quad \checkmark$$

Next:  $[c, d] \subset (a, b) \Rightarrow \mu^*(c, d) = \mu(c, d)$

$\Gamma \Leftarrow$ : clear from definitions

$$\geq: \varepsilon > 0 \text{ small } \Rightarrow \mu^*(c, d) \geq \mu^*([c+\varepsilon, d-\varepsilon]) = \lim_{t \rightarrow d-\varepsilon^+} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow c-\varepsilon^-} f(t)$$

$$\geq f(d-\varepsilon) - f(c+\varepsilon) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mu(c, d) \quad \checkmark$$

Next step:  $\forall A \subset (a, b) \quad \forall C \in \mathcal{C}(a, b) : \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (a, c]) + \mu^*(A \cap (c, b))$

$\Gamma \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  by  $\sigma$ -subadditivity

$\exists \epsilon > 0, \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  open intervals,  $A \subset \bigcup_n I_n, \sum_n \mu(I_n) < \mu^*(A) + \epsilon$

$$J_n := I_n \cap (a, c], \quad H_n := I_n \cap (c, b)$$

$$A \cap (c, b) \subset \bigcup_n H_n \Rightarrow \mu^*(A \cap (c, b)) \leq \sum_n \mu(H_n)$$

$$A \cap (a, c] \subset \bigcup_n J_n \Rightarrow \mu^*(A \cap (a, c]) \leq \sum_n \mu^*(J_n)$$

But  $\mu(H_n) + \mu^*(J_n) = \mu(I_n)$ :

$$\mu^*(J_n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow a^+} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow c^-} f(t)$$

$$\geq \mu^*(J_n) = \mu^*(J_n) \quad \text{and use the previous steps}$$

$$\leq \mu^*(J_n) \subset \mu^*(J_n)$$

$$\text{Thus } \mu^*(A \cap (c, b)) + \mu^*(A \cap (a, c]) \leq \sum_n \mu(H_n) + \sum_n \mu^*(J_n)$$

$$= \sum_n \mu(I_n) < \mu^*(A) + \epsilon$$

$\epsilon > 0$  arbitrary  $\Rightarrow$  we are done

Conclusion: By the Carathéodory construction  $\mu^*$  restricted to the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is  $\sigma$ -additive. By definition it is regular

Let  $f_1(t) = \mu((a, t))$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$ .

By (c1)  $\mu$  is the weak derivative of  $f_1$ .

Since  $f_1 = f$  except for a countable set,  $\mu$  is the weak derivative of  $f$

(c3)  $f$  of bounded variation

$\Rightarrow \exists f_1, f_2$  of bounded variation

$f$  real valued  $\Rightarrow f = f_1 - f_2$ ,  $f_1, f_2$  bounded non-decreasing

so, by (c2) we deduce that there is a measure  $\mu$  which is the weak derivative

of  $f$  and  $\mu((c, d)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow d^-} f(t) - \lim_{t \rightarrow c^+} f(t)$  for  $(c, d) \subset (a, b)$

(c4)  $\mu$  a signed or complex measure which is the weak derivative of  $f$   
The  $f_1(t) = \mu([a, t])$ ,  $t \in (a, b)$ , is of bounded variation

┌  $\mu$  real-valued --- then  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$   
 $\mu$  complex ---  $\mu = (\operatorname{Re} \mu)^+ - (\operatorname{Re} \mu)^- + i((\operatorname{Im} \mu)^+ - (\operatorname{Im} \mu)^-)$  ┘

and  $\mu$  is its weak derivative  $\Rightarrow \exists c \in \mathbb{R} \quad f = f_1 + c$  a.e.  $\downarrow$