

$X = \{ [f] : f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \text{ measurable s.t. } \forall p \in (0, \infty) : [f] \in C_p(\mathbb{R}) \}$

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \left\{ [f] \in X ; \| [f] \|_{p_1} < \varepsilon, \dots, \| [f] \|_{p_k} < \varepsilon \right\} ; p_1, \dots, p_k \in (0, \infty), \varepsilon > 0 \right\}$$

$$\text{Recall : } \| [f] \|_p = \begin{cases} \int_0^\infty |f|^p & \text{if } p \in (0, 1) \\ \sqrt{\left( \int_0^\infty |f|^p \right)^{1/p}} & \text{if } p \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

(1)  $\mathcal{U}$  is a base of nbhds of 0 in a Haesdorff ~~linear~~ topology

Check the axioms,

\*  $U \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow U$  is balanced

Clear, as for  $|x| \leq 1$  we have

$$\| [\lambda f] \|_p = |\lambda|^p \| f \|_p \leq \| f \|_p \text{ if } p < 1$$

$$|\lambda| \| f \|_p \leq \| f \|_p \text{ if } p \geq 1$$

\*  $U \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow U$  absorbing

$$\bigcap U = U_{p_1, \dots, p_k, \varepsilon} \quad f \in X$$

$$\Rightarrow \| [f_j] \|_{p_j} < \infty \text{ for each } j$$

$$\| \epsilon f \|_{P_j} = \begin{cases} \epsilon \| f \|_{P_j} & P_j \geq 1 \\ \epsilon^p \| f \|_{P_j} & P_j < 1 \end{cases}$$

hence, if  $\epsilon_0$  is small enough, all these values are  $< \varepsilon$ ,  
hence  $\epsilon f \in U$ .  $\downarrow$

- $U_{P_1, \dots, P_k, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + U_{P_1, \dots, P_k, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \subset U_{P_1, \dots, P_k, \varepsilon}$   
as  $\| [f] + [g] \|_p \leq \| [f] \|_p + \| [g] \|_p$  for each  $p \in (0, \infty)$

- $U_{P_1, \dots, P_k, \varepsilon} \cap U_{Q_1, \dots, Q_l, \sigma} \supseteq U_{P_1, \dots, P_k, Q_1, \dots, Q_l, \min\{\varepsilon, \sigma\}}$
- $\bigcap U = \{0\}$

$\Gamma [f] \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists p : \| [f] \|_p > 0$ , hence  $\exists \varepsilon > 0$

s.t.  $\| [f] \|_p > \varepsilon \Rightarrow [f] \notin U_{P, \varepsilon}$   $\downarrow$



(2)  $X$  is measurable, as it has a countable base of neighborhoods of 0.

$\Gamma$  Let  $P_1 < P_2 < \dots < P_k$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We will show that  $\exists \sigma > 0 : U_{P_1, P_k, \sigma} \subset U_{P_1, \dots, P_k, \varepsilon}$ .  $\} (*)$

To this end we will use the following inequality:

$$(**) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_1 < P_2 < P_3 \Rightarrow \exists c, d > 0 \text{ s.t. } \int |f|^{P_2} \leq \left( \int |f|^{P_1} \right)^c \left( \int |f|^{P_3} \right)^d \\ \text{for each } f \text{ measurable} \end{array} \right.$$

It is clear that  $(\ast\ast)$  implies  $(\ast)$  and it follows from  $(\ast)$  that

$$\left\{ \left\{ [f]_j : \| [f]_j \|_{\frac{1}{n}} < \frac{1}{m} , \| [f]_j \|_n < \frac{1}{m} \right\} , m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

is a base of neighborhoods of 0, so  $\mathcal{X}$  is metrizable.

Let us prove  $(\ast\ast)$ :

Let  $0 < p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \infty$ .

If  $\lambda \in (0, p_2)$  and  $\mu, \nu \in (1, \infty)$  satisfy  $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu} = 1$ ,

the Hölder inequality implies

$$\int |f|^{p_2} = \int |f|^{\lambda} \cdot |f|^{p_2-\lambda} \leq \left( \int |f|^{\lambda \mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left( \int |f|^{(p_2-\lambda)\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$$

So, if  $\lambda \mu = p_1$ ,  $(p_2-\lambda)\nu = p_3$ , we can set  $c = \frac{1}{\mu}$ ,  $d = \frac{1}{\nu}$  and we are done.

This choice is possible:  $\mu = \frac{p_1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\nu = \frac{p_3}{p_2-\lambda}$ ,

$d$  must satisfy

$$1 = \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu} = \frac{\lambda}{p_1} + \frac{p_2-\lambda}{p_3}$$

$$p_1 p_3 = \lambda p_3 + p_2 p_1 - \lambda p_1$$

$$\lambda = p_1 \cdot \frac{p_3 - p_2}{p_3 - p_1} \quad \text{Then } (\lambda \in (0, p_2))$$

and if  $\mu = \frac{p_1}{\lambda}$ ,  $\nu = \frac{p_3}{p_2-\lambda}$  it works.

$$\left( \text{one can compute } \mu = \frac{p_3 - p_1}{p_3 - p_2}, \nu = \frac{p_3 - p_1}{p_2 - p_1} \right)$$

(3)  $X$  is not locally convex:

If  $0 < p < 1$  and  $\delta > 0$ , then  $\{[f], \|f\|_p < \delta\}$  is a neighborhood of 0 which contains no convex subset of 0

For if  $U \subset \{[f], \|f\|_p < \delta\}$  is a convex subset of 0, then there is  $n$  and  $\varepsilon$  s.t.

$$U_{n, \frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon} \subset U, \text{ hence } \text{co}(U_{n, \frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon}) \subset U \subset \{[f], \|f\|_p < \delta\}$$

Fix  $c > 0$  s.t.  $c < \varepsilon$  &  $c^{1/n} < \varepsilon$

Then for each  $k$ :  $c \cdot y_{(k, k+1)} \in U_{n, \frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon}$

$$\|c \cdot y_{(k, k+1)}\|_n = c < \varepsilon$$

$$\|c \cdot y_{(k, k+1)}\|_{\frac{1}{n}} = c^{1/n} < \varepsilon \quad \Downarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall N \in \mathbb{N}: \quad & \frac{1}{N} \left( c \cdot y_{(0,1)} + c \cdot y_{(1,2)} + \dots + c \cdot y_{(N-1, N)} \right) \\ & \in \text{co}(U_{n, \frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon}) \subset \{[f], \|f\|_p < \delta\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{But } \left\| \frac{1}{N} (c \cdot y_{(0,1)} + \dots + c \cdot y_{(N-1, N)}) \right\|_p =$$

$$= \left\| \frac{c}{N} y_{(0, N)} \right\|_p = \left( \frac{c}{N} \right)^p \cdot N = c^p N^{1-p} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$$

However, for  $N$  large enough, this function

does not belong to  $\{[f], \|f\|_p < \delta\}$ ,

a contradiction.  $\downarrow$

(3)  $X$  is an F-space

$$\text{Define } \delta(t, g) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} (\min\{1, \|t-g\|_{1,n}\} + \min\{1, \|t-g\|_{1,n}\})$$

The  $\delta$  is a translation invariant metric generating the topology of  $X$ .

Moreover,  $(t, g)$  is complete:

Let  $(f_k)$  be a  $g$ -cauchy sequence

Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $(f_k)$  is both  $\|\cdot\|_{1,n}$ -cauchy and  $\|\cdot\|_n$ -cauchy. By completeness of  $L^p$ ,  $p \in (0, \infty)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  
 $g_n \in L^n(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $f_k \xrightarrow{k} g_n$  in  $L^n(\mathbb{R})$   
 $h_n \in C^{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $f_k \xrightarrow{k} h_n$  in  $C^{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$

Since  $f_k \rightarrow g$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  ( $p \in (0, \infty)$ )

implies  $\exists (t_{k_n}) : f_{k_n} \rightarrow g$  a.e.

We get  $g_n = h_n$ ,  $g_n = g_m$ ,  $h_n = h_m$  (a.e.)

hence  $\exists g$  s.t.  $f_k \xrightarrow{k} g$  in  $L^n(\mathbb{R})$  and in  $C^{1,n}(\mathbb{R})$   
for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then  $g \in X$  and  $f_k \rightarrow g$  in  $X$ .

(5)

## Description of $X^*$

$\varphi \in X^* \Rightarrow \varphi$  is bdd on a nsd of  $O$  in  $X$

By (\*) from (2)  $\exists \varepsilon > 0 \exists 0 < p < 1 < q < \infty$   
s.t.  $\varphi$  is bdd on  $U_{p,q} \cap E$

Since  $\varphi$  is linear and  $\min\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{1/p}\} \cdot U_{p,q,1} \subset U_{p,q, \varepsilon}$ ,

We see that  $\varphi$  is bdd on  $U_{p,q,1}$ .

Hence, fix  $c > 0$  s.t.  $|f| \in L^p \leq 1, \|f\|_q \leq 1 \Rightarrow |\varphi(f)| \leq c$

Next, fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and set

$$X_n = \{f \in X, f = 0 \text{ outside } (-n, n)\}$$

Then  $X_n \subset X$  and for  $f \in X_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p &= \left( \int_{-n}^n |f|^p \right)^{1/p} = \left( \int_{-n}^n 1 \cdot |f|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \left( \int_{-n}^n 1 \right)^{1-p/q} \left( \int_{-n}^n |f|^q \right)^{p/q} = \\ &\quad \text{Holder for } \frac{q}{p} \text{ and } \frac{q}{q-p} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (2n)^{1-p/q} \cdot \|f\|_q^p$$

$$\text{Hence, } U_{q, (2n)} \cap X_n \subset U_{p, q, 1} \cap X_n,$$

$$\text{so } \varphi \text{ is bdd on } U_{q, (2n)} \cap X_n \text{ by C}$$

Hence, for  $f \in X_n$  we get

$$|\varphi(f)| \leq c \cdot (2n)^{1/q - 1/p} \cdot \|f\|_q$$

Since  $L^\infty(-n, n) \subset X_n \subset L^q(-n, n)$ , we see

that  $X_n$  is dense in  $L^q(-n, n)$  (in the norm  $\| \cdot \|_q$ ),  
so  $\varphi|_{X_n}$  can be uniquely extended to an element  
of  $(L^q(-n, n))^*$

Hence,  $\exists! g_n \in L^r(-n, n)$  (where  $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ )

$$\text{s.t. } \varphi(f) = \int_{-n}^n f g_n, \quad f \in X_n.$$

By uniqueness we get  $g_{n+1}|_{(-n, n)} = g_n$  (a.e.),

so there is  $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  s.t.

- $g|_{(-n, n)} \in L^r(-n, n)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- $\varphi(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f g, \quad f \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$

Now, for  $f \in X$   $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f \cdot \chi_{(-n, n)}$  (con't in  $X$ )

$$\Rightarrow \varphi(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(f \cdot \chi_{(-n, n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n}^n f g.$$

More precise properties of  $g$ :

Let  $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}; |g(x)| \geq c+1\}$ . Then  $\lambda(A) < 1$

Indeed, suppose  $\lambda(A) \geq 1$ . Fix  $B \subset A$  measurable with  $\lambda(B) = 1$

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|g(x)|}{|g(x)|+c} & x \in B \\ 0 & x \notin B \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f\|_p = \|f\|_q = 1 \Rightarrow |\varphi(f)| \leq c. \text{ Hence}$$

$$c \geq |\varphi(f)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{-n}^n f \cdot g \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B \cap (-n, n)} |g| = \int_B |g|$$

$$\geq \lambda(B) \cdot (c+1) = c+1, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Further, we claim that  $\int_A |g|^r < \infty$ .

For any fact we have

$$\|f \cdot \varphi_A\|_p = \int_A |f|^p \leq \left( \int_A 1 \right)^{1-p} \left( \int_A |f|^q \right)^{p/q}$$

Hölder

$$\leq \|f \cdot \varphi_A\|_q^p$$

Here, if we set  $X_A = \{f \in X_1 : f=0 \text{ outside } A\}$ ,

then  $X_A \subset X$  and  $U_{q_1, 1} \cap X_A \subset U_{p_1 q_1, 1} \cap X_A$

so, if  $f \in X_A \cap U_{q_1, 1}$ , then  $|\varphi(f)| \leq C$ ,

hence  $|\varphi(f)| \leq C \|f\|_{q_1, 1}$ ,  $f \in X_A$

$L^\infty(A) \subset X_A \subset L^q(A) \Rightarrow \varphi|_{X_A}$  can be uniquely

extended to an element of  $(L^q(A))^*$  of norm  $\leq C$ .

Here,  $\exists h \in L^r(A)$ ,  $\|h\|_r \leq C$  s.t.

$$\varphi(f) = \int_A f h \quad \text{for } f \in X_A$$

By uniqueness we get that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$h|_{(-n, n) \cap A} = g|_{(-n, n) \cap A} \stackrel{(a.s.)}{=} ; \text{ hence } h = g|_A \text{ a.s.}$$

After  $\varphi$ , indeed  $\int_A |g|^r = \|h\|_r^r \leq C^r < \infty$  ]

So,  $g = g \cdot \varphi_A + g \cdot \varphi_{(\mathbb{R} \setminus A)}$ , where

$$g \cdot \varphi_A \in L^r(\mathbb{R}), \quad g \cdot \varphi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus A} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

and  $\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \cdot g$ ,  $f \in X$

$$\Gamma_f \in X \Rightarrow \varphi(f) = \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \int_{-n}^n f g = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{(-n, n) \cap A} f g + \int_{(-n, n) \setminus A} f g \right)$$

$$= \int_A f g + \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus A} f g = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f g$$

$\uparrow$   
 $f \in C^1, g \varphi_A \in L^r$        $\mathbb{R}$        $f \in C^1, g \varphi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus A} \in L^\infty$

Conversely, if  $g = g_1 + g_2$ , where  $g_1 \in L^r(\mathbb{R})$  for some  $r \in (1, \infty)$   
 and  $g_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f g, \quad f \in X \text{ is an element of } X^*$$

$$\Gamma_f \in X \Rightarrow f \in C^1 \cap C^0 \quad (\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{\infty} = 1) \Rightarrow$$

$$f g = f g_1 + f g_2 \in C^1 \Rightarrow \varphi \text{ well defined}$$

Moreover,  $V = \{f \in X; \|f\|_1 \leq 1 \text{ & } \|f\|_\infty \leq 1\}$  is a subset of  $O$

$$\text{and } |\varphi(f)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f g \right| \leq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f g_1 \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f g_2 \right| \leq \|g_1\|_\infty + \|g_2\|_\infty$$

$\uparrow$   
 Holder

$$\Rightarrow \varphi \text{ is bounded on } V \Rightarrow \varphi \in X^*$$

Conclusion  $\varphi \in X^* \Leftrightarrow \exists g_1 \in L^r(\mathbb{R}) \text{ for some } r \in (1, \infty) \exists g_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

s.t.  $\varphi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(g_1 + g_2)$