

A REMARK ON SMOOTH IMAGES OF BANACH SPACES

PETR HÁJEK AND MICHAL JOHANIS

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Banach space with a non-separable super-reflexive quotient. Then for any separable Banach space Y of dimension at least two there exists a C^∞ -smooth surjective mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that the restriction of f onto any separable subspace of X fails to be surjective. This solves a problem posed by Aron, Jaramillo, and Ransford (Problem 186 in the book [GMZ]).

1. SMOOTH IMAGES

It was shown by Bates [B] that every separable Banach space Y is a range of a C^1 -smooth surjection $f : X \rightarrow Y$ from any infinite dimensional separable Banach space X . Moreover, under rather general conditions f can be chosen to be C^∞ -smooth. On the other hand, it was shown in [Há1] that if $X = c_0$ and $Y = \ell_2$, then f cannot be C^2 -smooth. In the setting of the non-separable space $X = c_0(\omega_1)$ it turns out ([GHM]) that the existence of C^2 -smooth surjections onto ℓ_2 depends on the additional axioms of set theory. In particular, under the continuum hypothesis such surjections easily exist, while under the Martin's axiom MA_{ω_1} (which implies the negation of the continuum hypothesis) there are no such C^2 -smooth surjections.

In a recent paper of Aron, Jaramillo, and Ransford, [AJR], the following result was shown: Let Γ be a set of cardinality at least continuum \mathfrak{c} , and suppose there exists a bounded linear operator $L : X \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$, such that $L(X)$ contains the canonical basis of $c_0(\Gamma)$. Then for any separable Banach space Y of dimension at least two there exists a C^∞ -smooth surjective mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that the restriction of f onto any separable subspace of X fails to be surjective.

Of course, the result applies in particular to spaces $X = c_0(\mathfrak{c})$ or $X = \ell_p(\mathfrak{c})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. As we have seen above, this result holds for $c_0(\omega_1)$ if we assume the continuum hypothesis, but it fails under MA_{ω_1} , as ℓ_2 cannot be a range of a C^∞ -smooth surjection. It is therefore quite natural to ask what happens for $X = \ell_p(\omega_1)$ spaces in this respect. The authors in [AJR] speculate that the result perhaps again depends on additional axioms of set theory. The problem is posed also in the recent monograph of Guirao, Montesinos, and Zizler, [GMZ, Problem 186].

Corollary 5 in this note gives a solution to this problem. In particular, there is a C^∞ -smooth surjection $f : \ell_p(\omega_1) \rightarrow Y$ onto any separable Banach space Y of dimension at least 2 such that the restriction of f onto any separable subspace of $\ell_p(\omega_1)$ fails to be surjective. Our result is in fact somewhat more general, and applies in particular to all non-separable super-reflexive Banach spaces.

Before formulating the main theorem we recall some basic definitions on trees. A tree is a partially ordered set (T, \leq) with the property that for every $t \in T$ the subset $\{s \in T; s \leq t\}$ is well-ordered. For $t \in T$ we denote by t^+ the set of all immediate successors of t , i.e. $t^+ = \{u \in T; s < u \text{ if and only if } s \leq t\}$. For $u \in T$ we write u^- for the unique $t \in T$ such that $u \in t^+$, if such t exists. If a tree has a least element, then we will call this tree rooted. We will assume that the least element of a rooted tree is designated by 0, unless stated otherwise. The height of an element $t \in T$ is a unique ordinal $\text{ht}(t)$ with the same order type as $\{s \in T; s < t\}$. The height of the tree T is defined by $\sup\{\text{ht}(t) + 1; t \in T\}$. A branch of T is a maximal linearly ordered subset and we denote by $\mathcal{B}(T)$ the set of all branches of T . For an ordinal α we denote by $T_\alpha = \{t \in T; \text{ht}(t) = \alpha\}$ the α th level of the tree T . For a branch $b \in \mathcal{B}(T)$ we denote $b_\alpha = b \cap T_\alpha$. Let μ be a cardinal. We say that T is μ -branching if $\text{card } T_0 \leq \mu$ and $\text{card } t^+ \leq \mu$ for each $t \in T$.

Let μ be a cardinal. We say that a subset S of a topological space X is μ -Suslin in X if there is a μ -branching tree T of height ω and closed sets $F_t \subset X$, $t \in T$ such that $S = \bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}(T)} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{b_n}$. We remark that ω -Suslin sets are called simply Suslin in the classical terminology and that a classical result states that in Polish spaces Suslin sets (our ω -Suslin sets) are precisely the analytic sets, see e.g. [K, Theorem 25.7].

Some more notation: By $B(x, r)$, resp. $U(x, r)$ we denote the closed, resp. open ball in a metric space centred at x and with radius r . By $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$, resp. $\mathcal{P}^n(X; Y)$ we denote the space of continuous linear operators, resp. continuous n -homogeneous polynomials from X to Y ; for an introduction to the theory of polynomials see e.g. [HJ, Chapter 1]. If f is a mapping into a vector space Y , then $\text{supp}_o f = f^{-1}(Y \setminus \{0\})$. If $x, y \in Y$, then $[x, y]$ denotes the segment with endpoints x and y .

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space that admits a C^k -smooth bump, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, with each derivative bounded on X . Let Y be a Banach space with $\text{dens } Y \leq \text{dens } X$, let $C \subset Y$ be convex, $y_1 \in C$, and $C \subset A \subset \bar{C}$ a $\text{dens } Y$ -Suslin set. Then there is $f \in C^k(X; Y)$ with $\text{supp}_o f \subset B_X$ such that $f(X) = [0, y_1] \cup A$.*

Date: March 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B26, 46B80, 46T20.

Key words and phrases. smooth surjections.

Supported by GAČR 16-07378S.

Before proving the theorem we show some of its corollaries. For this we also need the following theorem of Felix Hausdorff, [Ha, Satz I], see also [J, Exercise 29.8].

Theorem 2. *Every uncountable Polish space is a union of an increasing ω_1 -sequence of G_δ sets.*

Corollary 3. *Let X be a non-separable Banach space that admits a C^k -smooth bump, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ with each derivative bounded on X , and let Y be a separable Banach space with $\dim Y \geq 2$. Then there is $f \in C^k(X; Y)$ such that $f(X) = Y$ but $f(Z) \neq Y$ for any separable subset $Z \subset X$.*

Proof. Let $g \in Y^*$, $g \neq 0$. Set $A_1 = \{y \in Y; g(y) > 0\} \cup \{0\}$ and $C = \{y \in Y; g(y) < 0\} \cup \{0\}$, and note that C is convex. Since $\dim Y \geq 2$, $\ker g$ is non-trivial and by Theorem 2 there are G_δ sets $H_\alpha \subset \ker g$, $\alpha \in [2, \omega_1)$ such that $H_\alpha \subsetneq H_\beta$ for $2 \leq \alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ and $\ker g = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [2, \omega_1)} H_\alpha$. Set $A_\alpha = C \cup H_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in [2, \omega_1)$ and note that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in [1, \omega_1)} A_\alpha = Y$, but if β is a countable ordinal, then $\bigcup_{\alpha \in [1, \beta)} A_\alpha \neq Y$. Let $\{B_\alpha \subset X\}_{\alpha \in [1, \omega_1)}$ be a uniformly discrete system of balls of radius 1. By Theorem 1 (using $y_1 = 0$) there are mappings $f_\alpha \in C^k(X; Y)$ such that $\text{supp}_0 f_\alpha \subset B_\alpha$ and $f_\alpha(X) = A_\alpha$ for each $\alpha \in [1, \omega_1)$. It follows that $f = \sum_{\alpha \in [1, \omega_1)} f_\alpha \in C^k(X; Y)$ and $f(X) = Y$. On the other hand, if $Z \subset X$ is a separable subset, then Z meets at most countably many of the balls B_α and consequently $f(Z) \neq Y$. \square

To relax the assumption on the existence of a suitable bump in the previous corollary we use the following non-separable variant of [Há2, Theorem 4]. (We note that in the separable case the assumption is in particular satisfied if there is a non-compact operator from X into ℓ_p , see [HJ, Proposition 3.33].)

Theorem 4. *Let X be a Banach space for which there is $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ for some infinite Γ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ such that $T(B_X)$ contains the canonical basis $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. Then for every Banach space Y of density at most $\text{card } \Gamma$ there exists a polynomial surjection $P \in \mathcal{P}(\lceil p \rceil X; Y)$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset B_X$ be such that $T(x_\gamma) = e_\gamma$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and let $\{y_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ be a dense set in B_Y . Denote $m = \lceil p \rceil$ and define $Q: \ell_p(\Gamma) \rightarrow Y$ by $Q(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_\gamma(z)^m y_\gamma$, where f_γ are the canonical coordinate functionals. Then $Q \in \mathcal{P}(m \ell_p(\Gamma); Y)$ by [HJ, Theorem 1.29] (consider the net indexed by the directed set of all finite subsets of Γ). Finally, define $P \in \mathcal{P}(mX; Y)$ by $P = Q \circ T$. Now if $y \in Y$, then by [HJ, Fact 6.64] there is a sequence $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of distinct elements of Γ such that $y = \|y\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-mn} y_{\gamma_n}$. Put $x = \|y\|^{\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} x_{\gamma_n}$. Then $P(x) = Q(\|y\|^{\frac{1}{m}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n} e_{\gamma_n}) = \|y\| \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-mn} y_{\gamma_n} = y$. \square

The next corollary in particular solves Problem 186 from [GMZ].

Corollary 5. *Let X be a Banach space for which there is $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ for some uncountable Γ and $1 \leq p < \infty$ such that $T(B_X)$ contains the canonical basis of $\ell_p(\Gamma)$. (This holds in particular if X has a non-separable super-reflexive quotient.) Then for any separable Banach space Y with $\dim Y \geq 2$ there is $f \in C^\infty(X; Y)$ such that $f(X) = Y$ but $f(Z) \neq Y$ for any separable subset $Z \subset X$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4 there is a polynomial surjection $P: X \rightarrow \ell_2(\Gamma)$. By Corollary 3 there is $g \in C^\infty(\ell_2(\Gamma); Y)$ such that $g(\ell_2(\Gamma)) = Y$ but $g(Z) \neq Y$ for any separable subset $Z \subset \ell_2(\Gamma)$. To finish we set $f = g \circ P$.

If X is non-separable and super-reflexive, then there is a bounded linear injection from X into $\ell_p(\Gamma)$, see e.g. [JTZ, proof of Lemma 2]. The existence of the operator T now follows from Corollary 12 used with $\mu = \omega_1$. As for the quotient, see the remark preceding Corollary 12. \square

We note that for $k > 1$ the assumption of Corollary 3 is stronger than the assumption of Corollary 5. Indeed, if X admits a $C^{1,1}$ -smooth bump, then it is already super-reflexive.

We now proceed to prove Theorem 1. This will be done with the help of the next two auxiliary statements.

Lemma 6. *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space that admits a function $\varphi \in C^k(X; [0, 1])$, $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, with each derivative bounded on X , and such that $\text{supp}_0 \varphi \subset B_X$ and $\varphi = 1$ on $B(0, r)$ for some $r \in (0, 1)$. Let T be a rooted dens X -branching tree of height ω . Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=n_0}^\infty \subset (0, +\infty)$ be such that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Let Y be a Banach space and let $\{y_t\}_{t \in T} \subset Y$ be such that $y_0 = 0$ and $\|y_t - y_{t-}\| \leq \varepsilon_n ((\frac{r}{4})^k)^n$ for each $t \in T_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq n_0$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, resp. $\|y_t - y_{t-}\| \leq \varepsilon_n ((\frac{r}{4})^n)^n$ if $k = \infty$. Then there is $f \in C^k(X; Y)$ such that $\text{supp}_0 f \subset B_X$ and*

$$f(X) = \bigcup_{t \in T \setminus \{0\}} [y_{t-}, y_t] \cup \left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{b_n}; b \in \mathcal{B}(T) \right\}.$$

Proof. Note that $T_0 = \{0\}$. By induction on the tree levels we find a collection $\{x_t\}_{t \in T} \subset X$ such that

- (i) $U(x_s, (\frac{r}{4})^n) \subset U(x_t, r(\frac{r}{4})^{n-1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in T_{n-1}$, and $s \in t^+$, and
- (ii) for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the family $\{U(x_t, (\frac{r}{4})^n)\}_{t \in T_n}$ is uniformly discrete.

Set $x_0 = 0$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $\{x_t\}_{t \in T_{n-1}}$ are already defined. By [HJ, Fact 6.65] each ball $B(x_t, \frac{3}{4}r(\frac{r}{4})^{n-1})$, $t \in T_{n-1}$ contains a $\frac{2}{3}r(\frac{r}{4})^{n-1}$ -separated set $\{x_s\}_{s \in t^+}$. Then $U(x_s, \frac{1}{4}r(\frac{r}{4})^{n-1}) \subset U(x_t, r(\frac{r}{4})^{n-1})$ for each $s \in t^+$ and so (i) holds. Also, each family $\{U(x_s, (\frac{r}{4})^n)\}_{s \in t^+}$ is $\frac{1}{6}r(\frac{r}{4})^{n-1}$ -uniformly discrete and combining this with (i) and the inductive hypothesis gives (ii).
Next, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$ we set

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in T_i} (y_t - y_{t^-}) \varphi\left(\left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^i (x - x_t)\right).$$

The inner sum is locally finite by (ii) and hence $f_n \in C^k(X; Y)$ and

$$D^j f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t \in T_i} (y_t - y_{t^-}) \left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^{ij} D^j \varphi\left(\left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^i (x - x_t)\right)$$

for each $x \in X$ and $j < k + 1$. In fact, since $D^j \varphi\left(\left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^i (x - x_t)\right)$ is non-zero only for $x \in U(x_t, (\frac{r}{4})^i) \setminus B(x_t, r(\frac{r}{4})^i)$, by (i) and (ii) we see that at each $x \in X$ only one summand overall in the formula for $D^j f_n(x)$ can be non-zero and so we have the following estimate:

$$\|D^j f_m(x) - D^j f_l(x)\| = \|D^j(f_m - f_l)(x)\| \leq \max_{i=l+1, \dots, m} \varepsilon_i \left(\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^j\right)^i \left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^{ij} C_j \leq C_j \sup_{i>l} \varepsilon_i$$

for $x \in X$, $m > l \geq n_0$, $l \geq j$, and $j < k + 1$, where $C_j > 0$ is such that $D^j \varphi$ is bounded by C_j . It follows by [HJ, Theorem 1.85] that $f_n \rightarrow f \in C^k(X; Y)$ uniformly on X .

Finally, note that $\varphi(X \setminus U(0, r)) = \varphi(B(0, 1) \setminus U(0, r)) = [0, 1]$. Hence, by using induction on n and properties (i) and (ii) we obtain $f_n(B(x_t, r(\frac{r}{4})^n)) = y_t$ for each $t \in T_n$ and $f(X \setminus G_n) = f_n(X \setminus G_n) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \bigcup_{t \in T_i} [y_{t^-}, y_t]$, where $G_n = \bigcup_{t \in T_n} U(x_t, r(\frac{r}{4})^n)$. On the other hand, by (i) and (ii), $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ if and only if there is a branch $b \in \mathcal{B}(T)$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{b_n}$. It follows that $x \in U(x_{b_n}, r(\frac{r}{4})^n)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently $f_n(x) = y_{b_n}$. Therefore $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{b_n}$. \square

Recall that the Lindelöf number of a topological space is the smallest infinite cardinal number μ such that every open covering of this space has a subcovering of cardinality at most μ . For metric spaces the Lindelöf number is equal to the density.

Proposition 7. *Let (X, ρ) be a metric space with Lindelöf number μ , $U \subset X$, let $A \subset \bar{U}$ be a non-empty μ -Suslin set, and let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset (0, +\infty)$. Then there is a rooted μ -branching tree T of height ω and a family $\{x_t\}_{t \in T} \subset U$ such that $A = \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{b_n}; b \in \mathcal{B}(T)\}$ and $\rho(x_u, x_t) < \varepsilon_n$ for each $u \in t^+$, $t \in T_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. There is a μ -branching tree S of height ω and closed sets $F_s \subset \bar{U}$, $s \in S$ such that $F_u \subset F_s$ if $u \in s^+$ and $A = \bigcup_{b \in \mathcal{B}(S)} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{b_n}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that S is rooted and that $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. We construct the tree T and the family $\{x_t\}_{t \in T}$ by induction on the tree level. The members of T will be pairs (s, α) , where $s \in S$. We set the least element of T as $(0, 0)$ and choose $x_{(0,0)} \in U$ arbitrarily. To start the induction we also put $\varepsilon_0 = +\infty$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and assume that T_n and x_t , $t \in T_n$ are already defined. Fix $t = (s, \alpha) \in T_n$. For each $u \in s^+$ there is a covering of $U(x_t, \varepsilon_n) \cap F_u$ by balls $U(x_{(u,\gamma)}, \varepsilon_{n+1})$, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\alpha,u}$ such that $x_{(u,\gamma)} \in U \cap U(x_t, \varepsilon_n)$, $\text{dist}(x_{(u,\gamma)}, F_u) < \frac{1}{n+1}$, and $\text{card } \Gamma_{\alpha,u} \leq \mu$. Set $t^+ = \{(u, \gamma); u \in s^+, \gamma \in \Gamma_{\alpha,u}\}$. Then clearly $\text{card } t^+ \leq \mu$ and $\rho(x_v, x_t) < \varepsilon_n$ for any $v \in t^+$.

Now for a given $x \in A$ there is a branch $b \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ such that $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{b_n}$. By induction it is easy to see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\beta_n \in \Gamma_{b_{n-1}, b_n}$ such that $x \in F_{b_n} \cap U(x_{(b_n, \beta_n)}, \varepsilon_n)$. Put $c_0 = (0, 0)$ and $c_n = (b_n, \beta_n)$. Then $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a branch in T and clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{c_n} = x$. Thus $A \subset \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{d_n}; d \in \mathcal{B}(T)\}$.

On the other hand, suppose that $c \in \mathcal{B}(T)$ and $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{c_n}$. Then $c_n = (b_n, \beta_n)$ with $\{b_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ being a branch in S . Since $\text{dist}(x_{c_n}, F_{b_n}) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $F_{b_n} \subset F_{b_k}$ if $n \geq k$, it follows that $x \in F_{b_k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{b_n}$. Thus $\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{d_n}; d \in \mathcal{B}(T)\} \subset A$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. By composing the bump with a suitable smooth real function we obtain $r \in (0, 1)$ and φ as in Lemma 6. Set $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^{kn}$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, resp. $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^{n^2}$ if $k = \infty$. By Proposition 7 there is a rooted dens Y -branching (and hence also dens X -branching) tree T of height ω and a family $\{y_t\}_{t \in T} \subset C$ such that $A = \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{b_n}; b \in \mathcal{B}(T)\}$ and $\|y_t - y_{t^-}\| < \varepsilon_{n+1}$ for each $t \in T_n$, $n \geq 2$. By relabelling and adding a node we may assume that $T_0 = \{0\}$, $T_1 = \{1\}$, and $y_0 = 0$. By Lemma 6 there is $f \in C^k(X; Y)$ such that $\text{supp}_0 f \subset B_X$ and $f(X) = A \cup \bigcup_{t \in T \setminus \{0\}} [y_{t^-}, y_t] = [0, y_1] \cup A$. \square

2. CANONICAL BASIS OF $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ IN A LINEAR IMAGE

In this section we look for some sufficient conditions on the space X ensuring that there is a bounded linear operator $T: X \rightarrow \ell_p(\Gamma)$ such that $T(B_X)$ contains the canonical basis. For $p = 1$ we have the following simple and certainly well-known observation.

Fact 8. *Let X be a Banach space. Then there is $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_1(\Gamma))$ such that $T(B_X)$ contains the canonical basis $\{e_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ if and only if X has a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\ell_1(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. \Leftarrow We can take the projection composed with the isomorphism and suitably scaled.

\Rightarrow We can use the lifting property of $\ell_1(\Gamma)$ once we realise that T is in fact onto. Indeed, let $x_\gamma \in B_X$ be such that $T(x_\gamma) = e_\gamma$. Any $y \in \ell_1(\Gamma)$ is of the form $y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_{\gamma_n}$. We can put $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_{\gamma_n}$, since the series converges absolutely. Then $T(x) = y$. \square

Now we give several auxiliary technical statements leading finally to the conditions given in Corollary 12.

Let X be a normed linear space and $M \subset X^*$. We say that a net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \subset X$ is M -null if $\lim_\alpha f(x_\alpha) = 0$ for each $f \in M$. Recall that the cofinality of an infinite cardinal μ , denoted by $\text{cf } \mu$, is the smallest cardinal ν such that $[0, \mu)$ has a subset A of cardinality ν with $\sup A = \mu$; μ is called regular if $\text{cf } \mu = \mu$.

Lemma 9. *Let X be a normed linear space and $\{f_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \subset X^*$. For $x \in X$ we denote $\text{supp } x = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; f_\gamma(x) \neq 0\}$. Let $\mu > \omega$ be a regular cardinal and $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)} \subset X$ an $\{f_\gamma\}$ -null net such that $\text{card } \text{supp } x_\alpha < \mu$ for each $\alpha \in [0, \mu)$. Then there is a subnet $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ of $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ with disjoint supports, i.e. $\text{supp } y_\alpha \cap \text{supp } y_\beta = \emptyset$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \mu)$, $\alpha \neq \beta$.*

Proof. Since $\text{cf } \mu > \omega$, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ there is $G(\gamma) \in [0, \mu)$ such that $f_\gamma(x_\alpha) = 0$ for $\alpha \in [G(\gamma), \mu)$. We define an increasing $F: [0, \mu) \rightarrow [0, \mu)$ such that $\text{supp } x_{F(\alpha)} \cap \text{supp } x_{F(\beta)} = \emptyset$ whenever $0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \mu$ by transfinite recursion. Put $F(0) = 0$. Let $\beta \in (0, \mu)$ and put $\Lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0, \beta)} \text{supp } x_{F(\alpha)}$. Then $\text{card } \Lambda < \mu$ since μ is regular. Put $\eta = \sup_{\gamma \in \Lambda} G(\gamma)$. Then again $\eta < \mu$ by the regularity. We set $F(\beta) = \max\{\eta, \sup_{\alpha \in [0, \beta)} (F(\alpha) + 1)\}$ and note that $f_\gamma(x_{F(\beta)}) = 0$ for $\gamma \in \Lambda$.

Finally, we put $y_\alpha = x_{F(\alpha)}$ for $\alpha \in [0, \mu)$, which clearly defines a (Willard) subnet of $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$. \square

Proposition 10. *Let X be a normed linear space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ for some Γ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $\mu > \omega$ be a regular cardinal. Then for each weakly null net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)} \subset X \setminus \ker T$ there is a subnet $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p([0, \mu)))$ such that $S(y_\alpha) = e_\alpha$, $\alpha \in [0, \mu)$, where $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ is the canonical basis of $\ell_p([0, \mu))$.*

The same holds if we consider $c_0(\Gamma)$ and $c_0([0, \mu))$ instead of $\ell_p(\Gamma)$ and $\ell_p([0, \mu))$.

Proof. Consider the sets $\Gamma_n = \{\alpha \in [0, \mu); \frac{1}{n} \leq \|T(x_\alpha)\| \leq n\}$. Since $\text{cf } \mu > \omega$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{card } \Gamma_n = \mu$. Thus by passing to a subnet we may assume that $\{T(x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ is semi-normalised. Since T is w - w continuous, $\{T(x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ is weakly null. By Lemma 9 there is a subnet $\{y_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ of $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ such that $\{T(y_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ have disjoint supports. Consequently there is a bounded linear projection $P: \ell_p(\Gamma) \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}\{T(y_\alpha)\}$ and an isomorphism $R \in \mathcal{L}(\overline{\text{span}}\{T(y_\alpha)\}; \ell_p([0, \mu)))$ with $R(T(y_\alpha)) = e_\alpha$. We may then set $S = R \circ P \circ T$. \square

Let X be an infinite-dimensional normed linear space and μ a cardinal. For an application of Proposition 10 we need to find a non-trivial weakly null long sequence in X . Notice that if $M \subset X^*$ separates the points of X , then there is no $\sigma(X, M)$ -null long sequence of length μ in $X \setminus \{0\}$ when $\text{cf } \mu > \text{card } M$. Indeed, M gives rise to a neighbourhood basis of $\sigma(X, M)$ of cardinality $\text{card } M$ and thus any $\sigma(X, M)$ -null long sequence of length μ is eventually zero. Consequently, there is no weakly null long sequence of length μ in $X \setminus \{0\}$ when $\text{cf } \mu > w^*\text{-dens } X^*$ and there is no non-zero w^* -null long sequence of length ω_1 in ℓ_∞ . In particular, if we want to have a weakly null long sequence of length $\text{dens } X$ in $X \setminus \{0\}$ with $\text{dens } X$ a regular cardinal, then the space X has to be a DENS space, i.e. a space for which $w^*\text{-dens } X^* = \text{dens } X$.

Recall that a Banach space X is weakly Lindelöf determined (WLD) if and only if there is a one-to-one w^* -pointwise continuous bounded linear operator $T: X^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty^c(\Gamma)$ for some set Γ , see [AM]. Clearly, a quotient of a WLD space is again WLD. Note also that a WLD space is a DENS space, [HMOVZ, Proposition 5.40].

Lemma 11. *Let X be a WLD Banach space and $\mu \leq \text{dens } X$ a cardinal with $\text{cf } \mu > \omega$. Then there is a normalised uniformly separated weakly null net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)} \subset X$.*

Proof. There is a Markushevich basis $\{(x_\gamma; f_\gamma)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ of X that countably supports X^* , i.e. the set $\{\gamma \in \Gamma; f_\gamma(x_\gamma) \neq 0\}$ is countable for every $f \in X^*$, see e.g. [HMOVZ, Theorem 5.37]. It follows that $\{x_{F(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ is weakly null for any one-to-one mapping $F: [0, \mu) \rightarrow \Gamma$. Now consider the sets $\Gamma_n = \{\gamma \in \Gamma; \|x_\gamma\| \leq n, \|f_\gamma\| \leq n\}$. Then $\text{card } \Gamma_n \geq \mu$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $\|x_\alpha - x_\beta\| \geq \frac{1}{\|f_\alpha\|} |f_\alpha(x_\alpha - x_\beta)| = \frac{1}{\|f_\alpha\|} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_n$, $\alpha \neq \beta$. Hence the set $\{x_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n}$ is bounded and has a positive distance from the origin. Thus we may put $y_\gamma = \frac{x_\gamma}{\|x_\gamma\|}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$, and $\{y_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n}$ satisfies the requirements. \square

Note that if X, Y are Banach spaces and $Q \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ is onto, then by the open mapping theorem after scaling Q we obtain $R \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ such that $B_Y \subset R(B_X)$. Now if $T \in \mathcal{L}(Y; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ is such that $T(B_Y)$ contains the canonical basis of $\ell_p(\Gamma)$, then $T \circ R: X \rightarrow \ell_p(\Gamma)$ has the same property.

Corollary 12. *Let X be a Banach space, $\mu > \omega$ a regular cardinal, Γ a set, and $1 < p < \infty$. Consider the following conditions:*

- (i) X is WLD and there is $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ such that $\text{dens } X / \ker T \geq \mu$.
- (ii) X contains a non-zero weakly null net $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu)}$ and there is $T \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ such that $\text{dens } \ker T < \mu$.

If one of the above conditions is satisfied, then there is $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; \ell_p([0, \mu]))$ such that $S(B_X)$ contains the canonical basis of $\ell_p([0, \mu])$.

Proof. (i) Let $Z = X/\ker T$. According to the remark preceding Corollary 12 it suffices to find the required operator from Z . Let $Q: X \rightarrow Z$ be the canonical quotient mapping. Define $\hat{T}: Z \rightarrow \ell_p(\Gamma)$ by $\hat{T}(z) = T(x)$ for some $x \in Q^{-1}(z)$. Then $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{L}(Z; \ell_p(\Gamma))$ and it is one-to-one. Also, Z is WLD with $\text{dens } Z \geq \mu$ and hence by combining Lemma 11 and Proposition 10 there exists $S \in \mathcal{L}(Z; \ell_p([0, \mu]))$ such that $S(B_Z)$ contains the canonical basis of $\ell_p([0, \mu])$.

(ii) Since $\text{cf } \mu > \omega$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu]}$ is semi-normalised and contained in B_X . Also, by [T, Theorem 1.1] we may assume that $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in [0, \mu]}$ is a long Schauder basic sequence, and in particular that it is uniformly separated. Consequently there is $\beta < \mu$ such that $x_\alpha \notin \ker T$ for $\alpha \geq \beta$ and we may apply Proposition 10. \square

Finally, note that ℓ_∞ has a quotient isomorphic to $\ell_2(\mathfrak{c})$ ([HMVZ, Theorem 4.22]) although it does not contain a non-zero w^* -null long sequence of length ω_1 .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank Petr Holický for showing Hausdorff's theorem to us.

REFERENCES

- [AJR] Richard M. Aron, Jesús A. Jaramillo, and Thomas Ransford, *Smooth surjections without surjective restrictions*, J. Geom. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 4, 2081–2090.
- [AM] Spiros A. Argyros and Sophocles Mercourakis, *On weakly Lindelöf Banach spaces*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **23** (1993), no. 2, 395–446.
- [B] Sean Michael Bates, *On smooth, nonlinear surjections of Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **100** (1997), no. 1, 209–220.
- [GHM] Antonio J. Guirao, Petr Hájek, and Vicente Montesinos, *Ranges of operators and derivatives*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **367** (2010), no. 1, 29–33.
- [GMZ] Antonio J. Guirao, Vicente Montesinos, and Václav Zizler, *Open problems in the geometry and analysis of Banach spaces*, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Ha] Felix Hausdorff, *Summen von \aleph_1 Mengen* (German), Fund. Math. **26** (1936), 241–255.
- [Há1] Petr Hájek, *Smooth functions on c_0* , Israel J. Math. **104** (1998), no. 1, 17–27.
- [Há2] Petr Hájek, *A remark on S. M. Bates' theorem*, Serdica Math. J. **25** (1999), no. 3, 257–258.
- [HJ] Petr Hájek and Michal Johanis, *Smooth analysis in Banach spaces*, De Gruyter Ser. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 19, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.
- [HMVZ] Petr Hájek, Vicente Montesinos, Jon Vanderwerff, and Václav Zizler, *Biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics 26, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [J] Thomas Jech, *Set theory. The third millennium edition, revised and expanded*, Springer monographs in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [JTZ] Kamil John, Henryk Toruńczyk, and Václav Zizler, *Uniformly smooth partitions of unity on superreflexive Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **70** (1981), no. 2, 129–137.
- [K] Alexander S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Grad. Texts in Math. 156, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [T] Jarmo Talponen, *Extracting long basic sequences from systems of dispersed vectors*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 2, 1068–1084.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, ŽITNÁ 25, 115 67 PRAHA 1, CZECH REPUBLIC, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, ŽIKOVA 4, 160 00 PRAHA 6, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: hajek@math.cas.cz

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83, 186 75 PRAHA 8, CZECH REPUBLIC
E-mail address: johanis@karlin.mff.cuni.cz