

WORDS WITH MORE PERIODS

Let  $w[i]$ ,  $0 \leq i < |w|$ , be the  $(i + 1)$ th letter of the word  $w$ , so that  $w = w[0]w[1] \cdots w[n-1]$  with  $n = |w|$ . We say that  $p \geq 1$  is a *period* of  $w$  if  $w[i] = w[i+p]$  holds for all  $0 \leq i < n - p$ . The least period of  $w$  is called *the period* of  $w$ .

By the definition, any word has infinitely many periods. Namely, each  $p \geq |w|$  is a period of  $w$ . Also, each multiple of a period is again a period. These are trivial examples of multiple periods. However, there are also nontrivial cases. For example, the word *abaababaaba* of length 11 has periods 5 and 8. When this can happen explains the Theorem of Fine and Wilf, also called the *Periodicity lemma*.

*Theorem.* If a word of length at least  $p + q - \gcd(p, q)$  has periods  $p$  and  $q$ , then they have also a period  $\gcd(p, q)$ .

On the other hand, for each  $p < q$  such that  $p \nmid q$ , there is a word of length  $p + q - \gcd(p, q) - 1$  having periods  $p$  and  $q$ , and not a period  $\gcd(p, q)$ .

We give several proofs of this fundamental result. The first one is by induction.

*Proof.* Let WLOG  $p \leq q$ . To prove the first claim, proceed by induction on  $p + q$ . The first part of the claim holds if  $p = q$ . Let  $p < q$ , and set  $d := \gcd(p, q)$ . Let  $w$  have periods  $p$  and  $q$  with  $|w| \geq p + q - d$ . Consider the prefix  $v$  of  $w$  of length  $|w| - p$ . The word  $v$  has a period  $p$ . We show that it also has a period  $q - p$ . If  $i < |v| - (q - p)$ , then  $i + q < |w|$ , and we have

$$v[i + q - p] = w[i + q - p] = w[i + q] = w[i] = v[i].$$

Since  $d = \gcd(p, q) = \gcd(p, q - p)$ , we have  $|v| \geq p + (q - p) - \gcd(p, q - p)$ , and  $v$  has a period  $d$  by the induction assumption. Note that  $|v| \geq q - d \geq p$ . Thus

$$w[i] = w[i \bmod p] = v[i \bmod p] = v[(i + d) \bmod p] = w[(i + d) \bmod p] = w[i + d]$$

holds for each  $i < |w| - d$  since  $p$  is a period of  $w$ . The equality

$$v[i \bmod p] = v[(i + d) \bmod p]$$

above holds for any  $i$ , since  $d \mid p$  which implies that the difference between  $i \bmod p$  and  $(i + d) \bmod p$  is divisible by  $d$ .

In order to show optimality, assume  $p < q$  and  $p \nmid q$  with  $d = \gcd(p, q)$ . Consider first the word

$$(a^{d-1}b)^{k-1}a^{d-1}c(a^{d-1}b)^{k-1}a^{d-1}$$

which has periods  $kd$  and  $(k + 1)d$ , but not the period  $d$ . This shows the optimality if  $d = q - p$ . Assume that  $d < q - p$ . Then, by induction, there is a word  $v$  of length  $q - d - 1$  having periods  $p$  and  $q - p$  but not having the period  $d$ . Extend  $v$  to the word  $w$  of length  $p + q - d - 1$  so that it has a period  $p$ . Certainly, the number  $d$  is not a period of  $w$ , and it remains to show that  $w$  has a period  $q$ . Let  $i < (p + q - d - 1) - q = p - d - 1$ . Then

$$w[i] = v[i] = v[i + q - p] = w[i + q - p] = w[i + q].$$

Crucial fact here is that  $i + q - p < q - d - 1 = |v|$ . □

The following proof makes the modular computation more explicit.

*Proof.* First, suppose that  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime. We  $\approx$  be the smallest equivalence on the set  $I = \{0, 1, \dots, p + q - 2\}$  (that is, on letter indices of  $w$ ) satisfying  $i \approx (i \bmod p)$  and  $i \approx (i \bmod q)$ . The definition implies that  $w[i] = w[j]$  if  $i \approx j$ . We

want to show that all elements of  $I$  are equivalent. Obviously, it is enough to show that for  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ .

Set  $i_k := (kq \bmod p)$ . Because  $p$  and  $q$  are coprime, the number  $q$  is a generator of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , that is,  $\{i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{p-1}\} = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ . If  $i_k < p-1$ , then  $i_k + q < p-1 + q$ , which implies  $i_k \approx i_k + q \approx i_{k+1 \bmod p}$ . We deduce that all elements of  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  are equivalent.

Let now  $\gcd(p, q) = d$ . For  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$  dlet

$$w_r := w[r]w[r+d]w[r+2d] \cdots w[r+(p'+q'-2)d],$$

with  $p' = p/d$  a  $q' = q/d$ . It is easy to see that  $w$  has periods  $p$  a  $q$  if and only if  $w_r$  has periods  $p'$  a  $q'$  for each  $r = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$ . Since the words  $w_r$  are of length  $p' + q' - 1$ , they are by the first part of the proof powers of the same letter. Thus  $w$  has a period  $d$ .

Let us show optimality. Let  $w$  be of length  $p + q - \gcd(p, q) - 1$  with  $p < q$  and  $p \nmid q$ . Again, we first suppose that  $p$  a  $q$  are coprime. If the equivalence  $\approx$  has at least two classes, then we can identify each  $w[i]$  with the equivalence class  $[i]_{\approx}$ , in order to obtain a word with required properties. Consider again only words  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  and view that as vertices of a directed graph  $G$ , in which  $i \rightarrow j$  holds if and only if  $i + q < p + q - 2$  a  $i + q \equiv j \pmod p$ . It is easy to see that classes of  $\approx$  restricted to  $\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$  are (weakly connected) components of  $G$ . Each vertex has obviously outgoing and incoming degree at most one. Also,  $p-1$  a  $p-2$  have the outgoing degree zero. Similarly  $q-1 \pmod p$  a  $q-2 \pmod p$  have indegree zero. This implies that  $G$  has more than one component and the word  $w$  is nontrivial. Let now  $\gcd(p, q) = d$ . The word  $w_{d-1}$  defined as above has length  $p' + q' - 2$ , since  $(d-1) + (p' - q' - 2)d = p + q - d - 1$ . Therefore, it can contain two different letters and  $w$  does not have a period  $d$ .  $\square$

The following proof uses the Fourier transform. For this proof, it is convenient to reformulate the claim in terms of sequences.

*Theorem.* Let  $f = (f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $g = (g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be sequences with periods  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. If  $f_n = g_n$  for  $0 \leq n < p + q - \gcd(p, q)$ , then  $f = g$ , and it has a period  $\gcd(p, q)$ .

On the other hand, for each  $p$  and  $q$ , there are two distinct  $f$  and  $g$ , with periods  $p$  and  $q$  respectively, such that  $f_n = g_n$  for  $0 \leq n < p + q - \gcd(p, q) - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let the alphabet be from  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $d = \gcd(p, q)$ . Let  $\varphi_{m,n}$  denote the sequence with  $j$ th coefficient

$$\varphi_{m,n}(j) = e^{2\pi i \frac{nj}{m}}.$$

Since  $f$  has a period  $p$ , it is generated by the set

$$\Phi_p = \{\varphi_{p,k} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$$

of  $p$  sequences with the period  $p$ . In the same way, since  $g$  has a period  $q$ , it is generated by the set

$$\Phi_q = \{\varphi_{q,k} \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1\}.$$

The set  $\Phi = \Phi_p \cup \Phi_q$  contains exactly  $p + q - \gcd(p, q)$  (distinct) elements.

Consider now the common  $p + q - d$  first values of  $f$  and  $g$  as the element  $h \in \mathbb{C}^{p+q-d}$ , and let  $\Phi' \subset \mathbb{C}^{p+q-d}$  be the initial parts of elements of  $\Phi$ . The key observation is that  $\Phi'$  is linearly independent. That follows from the fact that  $\Phi'$  forms a Vandermonde matrix, or in other terms, the vectors are values

of  $p + q - \gcd(p, q)$  distinct polynomials of degree less than  $p + q - \gcd(p, q)$  in  $p + q - \gcd(p, q)$  distinct points. This implies that  $h$  is given uniquely as linear combination of elements of  $\Phi'$ , hence also the two expressions in terms of  $\Phi_p$  and of  $\Phi_q$  must be the same. Therefore,  $f = g$  and it is generated by elements of the set  $\Phi_p \cap \Phi_q = \Phi_d = \{\varphi_{d,k} \mid k = 0, \dots, d-1\}$  of sequences with period  $d$ .

On the other hand,  $\Phi'$  generates the vector  $e_{p+q-d-1} = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$  which can be therefore written as the difference of two sequences generated by  $\Phi_p$  and  $\Phi \setminus \Phi_p \subset \Phi_q$  respectively. Such sequences have periods  $p$  and  $q$  respectively, they agree on first  $p + q - d - 1$  positions but differ on the next position.  $\square$

The last proof uses formal series.

*Proof.* Let the sequences be represented by formal series  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n x^n$  and  $g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} g_n x^n$ . Due to their periods, the sequences can be written as

$$f = \frac{P}{(1-x^p)}, \quad g = \frac{Q}{(1-x^q)},$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are polynomials with degree less than  $p$  and  $q$  respectively. Note that  $\gcd(1-x^p, 1-x^q) = 1-x^d$  with  $d = \gcd(p, q)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} f - g &= \frac{P}{1-x^p} - \frac{Q}{1-x^q} = \frac{(1-x^d)}{(1-x^p)(1-x^q)} \left( P \frac{(1-x^q)}{(1-x^d)} - Q \frac{(1-x^p)}{(1-x^d)} \right) \\ &= \frac{(1-x^d)}{(1-x^p)(1-x^q)} R, \end{aligned}$$

which is a product of a formal series with the absolute coefficient 1, and a polynomial  $R$  of degree less than  $p + q - d$ . This implies that if  $R$  is not zero, then the least non-zero coefficient of  $f - g$  has index less than  $p + q - d$ . In other words, if  $f$  and  $g$  agree on first  $p + q - d$  positions, then  $R = 0$ , and  $f = g$ . Then also

$$P \frac{(1-x^q)}{(1-x^d)} = Q \frac{(1-x^p)}{(1-x^d)}.$$

Since  $(1-x^q)/(1-x^d)$  and  $(1-x^p)/(1-x^d)$  are coprime, polynomials  $P$  and  $Q$  are divisible by  $(1-x^p)/(1-x^d)$  and  $(1-x^q)/(1-x^d)$  respectively. Therefore

$$f = g = \frac{D}{(1-x^d)},$$

where

$$D = \frac{P(1-x^d)}{1-x^p} = \frac{Q(1-x^d)}{1-x^q}$$

is a polynomial of degree less than  $d$ , and  $f = g$  has a period  $d$ .

On the other hand, if we put  $R = x^{p+q-d-1}$ , then there are polynomials  $P$  and  $Q$  of degree less than  $p$  and  $q$  respectively satisfying

$$P(1-x^q) - Q(1-x^p) = (1-x^d)x^{p+q-d-1}.$$

The corresponding  $f$  and  $g$  then agree on first  $p + q - d - 1$  positions but disagree on the next position.  $\square$

**Remark:** The polynomials  $P$  and  $Q$  from the last proof are obtained as follows. The extended Euclidean algorithm yields  $P'$  and  $Q'$  such that

$$P'(1-x^q) - Q'(1-x^p) = \gcd(1-x^p, 1-x^q) = 1-x^d.$$

4

We now set

$$P = P' x^{p+q-d-1} \pmod{(1-x^p)}, \quad Q = Q' x^{p+q-d-1} \pmod{(1-x^q)}.$$