

Numerical Integration

Let $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}$ be a family of triangulations of Ω & $\{X_h\}$ family of finite element spaces under some assumptions for convergence of discrete solution. For simplicity assume all FEs $(\mathcal{T}, P_{\mathcal{T}}, \Sigma_{\mathcal{T}})$, $\mathcal{T} \in \cup \mathcal{T}_h$, are affine-equivalent to one reference element $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \hat{P}, \hat{\Sigma})$.

Introduce quadrature formula on reference element

$$(22) \quad \sum_{l=1}^L \hat{\omega}_l \hat{\varphi}(\hat{b}_l) \sim \int_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{x}$$

where $\hat{\omega}_l$ are positive real numbers called weights and $\hat{b}_l \in \hat{\mathcal{T}}$ are points called nodes.

For any $\mathcal{T} \in \cup \mathcal{T}_h$ invertible affine mapping $F_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{x}) = B_{\mathcal{T}} \hat{x} + b_{\mathcal{T}}$ such that $F_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{\mathcal{T}}) = \mathcal{T}$ & hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}} \varphi dx = |\det B| \int_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{x} \quad \hat{\varphi} := \varphi \circ F_{\mathcal{T}}$$

\Rightarrow quadrature formula on \mathcal{T}

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \omega_{l,\mathcal{T}} \varphi(b_{l,\mathcal{T}}) \sim \int_{\mathcal{T}} \varphi dx$$

where $\omega_{l,\mathcal{T}} = |\det B| \omega_l$, $b_{l,\mathcal{T}} = F_{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{b}_l)$, $l=1, \dots, L$.

Introduce error of quadrature formula:

$$E_{\mathcal{T}}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \varphi dx - \sum_{l=1}^L \omega_{l,\mathcal{T}} \varphi(b_{l,\mathcal{T}})$$

$$\hat{E}(\varphi) = \int_{\hat{\mathcal{T}}} \hat{\varphi} d\hat{x} - \sum_{l=1}^L \hat{\omega}_l \hat{\varphi}(\hat{b}_l)$$

$$\text{Clearly } E_T(\varphi) = \det B(\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi}))$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ Find nodes & weights s.t.

$$\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{\varphi} \in P_k(T)$$

Let us assume AUP is weak formulation of BVP

$$-\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$

where $a_{ij} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ are defined everywhere in $\overline{\Omega}$.

$$\text{Thus, } V = H_0^1(\Omega), \quad a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_j} dx$$

$$\langle f, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f v dx$$

Assume that $\exists \theta > 0$ such that

$$(23) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \theta |\xi|^2 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Then, assumptions of AUP are satisfied

$$\text{Set } V_h = \{v_h \in X_h : \phi(v_h) = 0 \quad \forall \phi \in \sum_h\}$$

& assume that $V_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$.

We approximate the integrals over T^h

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} a_h(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{T \in T_h} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \omega_{\ell, T} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (a_{ij} \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_j})(b_{\ell, T}) \\ \langle f_h, v_h \rangle = \sum_{T \in T_h} \sum_{\ell=1}^L \omega_{\ell, T} (f v_h)(b_{\ell, T}) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Here, } (a_{ij} \frac{\partial u_h}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial x_j})(b_{\ell, T}) = [a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (u_h|_T) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (v_h|_T)](b_{\ell, T})$$

Discrete problem : Find $u_h \in V_h$ s.t. $a_h(u_h, v_h) = \langle f_h, v_h \rangle \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$

Note a_h & f_h not defined on V from AUP

Discrete problem solvable \Leftrightarrow a_h is V_h -elliptic
 $\exists \tilde{\alpha} > 0$ s.t. $a_h(v_h, v_h) \geq \tilde{\alpha} \|v_h\|_{V_h}^2 \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$

Theorem 11 (First Strang Lemma)

Let $u \in V$ be the solution of the AUP and let $u_h \in V_h$ satisfy $a_h(u_h, v_h) = \langle f_h, v_h \rangle \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$

where V_h is a subspace of V , $a_h : V_h \times V_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear form, which is V_h -elliptic; i.e.,

$$\exists \tilde{\alpha} > 0 \text{ s.t. } a_h(v_h, v_h) \geq \tilde{\alpha} \|v_h\|_V^2 \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

and f_h is a linear functional on V_h . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_V &\leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \left\{ (M + \tilde{\alpha}) \|u - v_h\|_V \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{w_h \in V_h} \frac{|a(v_h, w_h) - a_h(v_h, w_h)|}{\|w_h\|_V} \right\} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} \sup_{w_h \in V_h} \frac{|\langle f, w_h \rangle - \langle f_h, w_h \rangle|}{\|w_h\|_V} \end{aligned}$$

consistency errors

Proof $\|u - u_h\|_V \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}} \sup_{w_h \in V_h} \frac{a_h(u - v_h, w_h)}{\|w_h\|_V}$

For $v_h, w_h \in V_h$

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(u - v_h, w_h) &= \langle f_h, w_h \rangle - a_h(v_h, w_h) \\ &= \langle f_h, w_h \rangle - \langle f, w_h \rangle + a(u, w_h) - a_h(v_h, w_h) \\ &= a(u - v_h, w_h) + a(v_h, w_h) - a_h(v_h, w_h) \\ &\quad + \langle f_h, w_h \rangle - \langle f, w_h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

From fact that $a(u - v_h, w_h) \leq M \|u - v_h\|_V \|w_h\|_V$
we have that

$$\|u - v_h\| \leq \frac{M}{2} \|u - v_h\|_V + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{w_h \in V_h} \frac{|a(v_h, w_h) - a(v_h, u_h)|}{\|w_h\|_V} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{w_h \in V_h} \frac{|\langle f_h, w_h \rangle - \langle f, w_h \rangle|}{\|w_h\|_V}$$

Additionally, $\|u - u_h\| \leq \|u - v_h\| + \|v_h - u_h\|$ □

Theorem 12 Consider quadrature formula (R2) defined on reference element $(\hat{\tau}, \hat{P}, \hat{\Sigma})$ and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\hat{P} \subset P_m(\hat{\tau})$ and let there hold at least one of the following conditions:

(26) $\bigcup_{\ell=1}^r \{\hat{b}_\ell\}$ contains a $P_{m-1}(\hat{\tau})$ -unisolvent set

(27) $\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{\varphi} \in P_{2m-2}(\hat{\tau})$

Then, the bilinear form a_h in defined in (26) is uniformly elliptic; i.e., $\exists \alpha > 0$, independent of h , s.t
 $a_h(v_h, v_h) \geq \alpha \|v_h\|_{V_h}^2 \quad \forall v_h \in V_h, \forall h > 0$

Theorem 13 (Bramble-Hilbert Lemma)

Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz continuous boundary. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0, p \in [1, \infty]$ and let $\ell \in [\omega^{k+1} P(G)]'$ satisfying $\ell(q) = 0 \quad \forall q \in P_k(G)$.

Then, there is a constant (depending only on G, k, p) such that

$$|\ell(v)| \leq C \|\ell\|_{[\omega^{k+1} P(G)]'} \|v\|_{k+1, p, G} \quad \forall v \in \omega^{k+1} P(G)$$

Proof For any $v \in \omega^{k+1} P(G)$

$$|\ell(v)| = |\ell(v+q)| \leq \|\ell\|_{[\omega^{k+1} P(G)]'} \|v+q\|_{k+1, p, G} \quad \forall q \in P_k(G)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\ell(v)| \leq \|\ell\|_{[\omega^{k+1}, P(\alpha)]}, \inf_{q \in EP_k(\alpha)} \|v + q\|_{k+1, P, \alpha} \\ \leq C \|\ell\|_{[\omega^{k+1}, P(\alpha)]} \|v\|_{k+1, P, \alpha} \quad \square$$

Theorem 14 Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with Lipschitz-continuous boundary, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let $\varphi \in \omega^{m, p}(G)$ and $w \in \omega^{m, \infty}(G)$. Then, $\varphi w \in \omega^{m, p}(G)$ and

$$(29) \quad \|\varphi w\|_{m, p, G} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^m \|\varphi\|_{m-j, p, G} \|w\|_{j, \infty, G}$$

where C depends only on m & n .

Proof From Leibniz formula. (skip)

Theorem 15 Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{\varphi} \in P_{2k-2}(\hat{T}).$$

Then, there exists a constant C , independent of $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and h such that

$$(30) \quad |E_T(a, u, v)| \leq Ch^k \|a\|_{k, \infty, T} \|u\|_{k-1, T} \|v\|_0, T \\ \forall a \in \omega^{k, \infty}(\hat{T}), u, v \in P_{k-1}(\hat{T}).$$

Proof $E_T(a, u, v) = |\det B_T| \hat{E}(\hat{a}, \hat{u}, \hat{v})$, $\hat{a} \in \omega^{k, \infty}(\hat{T})$

For any $\hat{w} \in P_{k-1}(\hat{T})$ and $\hat{Q} \in \omega^{k, \infty}(\hat{T})$

$$|\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi} \hat{w})| = \left| \int_T \hat{\varphi} \hat{w} dx - \sum_{e=1}^L \hat{w}_e(\hat{\varphi} \hat{w})(b_e) \right| \leq \hat{C}_1 \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{k, \infty, \hat{T}} \|\hat{w}\|_{0, \hat{T}} \\ \leq \hat{C}_2 \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{k, \infty, \hat{T}} \|\hat{w}\|_{0, \hat{T}} \quad (\text{by equiv. of norms})$$

For any $\hat{w} \in P_{k-1}(\hat{T})$ $\hat{E}(-\hat{w}) \in [\omega^{k, \infty}(\hat{T})]$,

$$\|\hat{E}(-\hat{w})\|_{[\omega^{k, \infty}(\hat{T})]} \leq \hat{C}_2 \|\hat{w}\|_{0, \hat{T}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{E}(\hat{\varphi} \hat{w}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{\varphi} \in P_{k-1}(\hat{T})$$

$$\Rightarrow |E(\hat{\varphi}\hat{w})| \leq \bar{C}_3 |\hat{\varphi}|_{k,\infty,\tilde{\tau}} \|\hat{w}\|_{0,\tilde{\tau}} \quad \forall \hat{\varphi} \in \omega^{k,+}(\tilde{\tau}) \\ \hat{w} \in P_{k-1}(\tilde{\tau})$$

$$\Rightarrow |\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi}\hat{w}\hat{v})| \leq \hat{C}_3 |\hat{\varphi}\hat{w}|_{k,\infty,\tilde{\tau}} \|\hat{v}\|_{0,\tilde{\tau}} \\ \leq \hat{C}_4 \sum_{j=0}^k |\hat{w}|_{k-j,\infty,\tilde{\tau}} |\hat{u}|_{j,\infty,\tilde{\tau}} \|\hat{v}\|_{0,\tilde{\tau}} \\ \leq \hat{C}_5 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} |\hat{w}|_{k-j,\infty,\tilde{\tau}} |\hat{u}|_{j,\tilde{\tau}} \|\hat{v}\|_{0,\tilde{\tau}}$$

By Thm 2&3.

$$|\hat{w}|_{k-j,\infty,\tilde{\tau}} \leq C(k,n) \|B_{\tilde{\tau}}\|^{(k-j)} |w|_{k-j,\infty,\tau} \\ \leq \hat{C}_6 h_{\tilde{\tau}}^{k-j} |w|_{k-j,\infty,\tau} \quad j=0, \dots, k-1$$

$$|\hat{u}|_{j,\tilde{\tau}} \leq C(n) \|B_{\tilde{\tau}}\|^j |\det B_{\tilde{\tau}}|^{-1/2} |u|_{j,\tilde{\tau}} \\ \leq \hat{C}_6 h_{\tilde{\tau}}^j |\det B_{\tilde{\tau}}|^{-1/2} |u|_{j,\tilde{\tau}} \quad j=0, \dots, k-1$$

$$\|\hat{v}\|_{0,\tilde{\tau}} \leq C(n) |\det B_{\tilde{\tau}}|^{-1/2} \|v\|_{0,\tau}$$

$$\Rightarrow |E_{\tilde{\tau}}(uvw)| \leq \hat{C}_7 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} h_{\tilde{\tau}}^k |w|_{k-j,\infty,\tau} |u|_{j,\tilde{\tau}} \|v\|_{0,\tau} \\ \leq \hat{C}_8 h_{\tilde{\tau}}^k \|w\|_{k,\infty,\tau} \|u\|_{k-1,\tilde{\tau}} \|v\|_{0,\tau} \quad \square$$

Theorem 16 Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$\hat{E}(\hat{\varphi}) = 0 \quad \forall \hat{\varphi} \in P_{2k-2}(\tilde{\tau})$$

and let $q \in [1, \infty]$ satisfy $k > \frac{n}{q}$ ($\Rightarrow \omega^{k,q}(\tilde{\tau}) \subset C(\tilde{\tau})$)

Then, there is a constant C independent of $\tilde{\tau} \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and h such that

$$(3) |E_{\tilde{\tau}}(f_p)| \leq Ch_{\tilde{\tau}}^k |\tilde{\tau}|^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{k,q,\tilde{\tau}} \|p\|_{1,\tilde{\tau}} \\ \forall f \in \omega^{k,q}(\tilde{\tau}), p \in P_k(\tilde{\tau}).$$