

Error Estimates in L^2 -norm

$$\text{AVP: } u \in V \text{ s.t. } a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V$$

$$\text{FEM: } u_h \in V_h \subset V \text{ s.t. } a(u_h, v_h) = \langle f, v_h \rangle \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

where $f \in V'$ and V is a Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_V$. Let H be another Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_H$ and inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$. Assume

$$(15) \quad V \hookrightarrow H, \text{ i.e., } V \subset H \text{ and } \|v\|_H \leq C \|v\|_V \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Aim is to obtain estimate $\|u - u_h\|_H$ which enables to prove a higher convergence order than for $\|u - u_h\|_V$. In case when $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset V \subset H(\Omega)$ typical choice is $H = L^2(\Omega)$.

We introduce an **adjoint** (or **dual**) problem to

AVP:

$$(16) \quad \text{Find } \varphi \in V \text{ such that } a(v, \varphi) = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Lax-Milgram $\Rightarrow \exists!$ solution of (16). In particular,

can use $\langle f, v \rangle = (g, v)_H$, where $g \in H$. Since,

$$|(g, v)_H| \leq \|g\|_H \|v\|_H \stackrel{(15)}{\leq} C \|g\|_H \|v\|_V \quad \forall v \in V$$

the form $(g, v)_H$ defines an element of V' . Thus,

$$\forall g \in H \quad \exists! \varphi_g \in V \text{ s.t. } a(v, \varphi_g) = (g, v)_H \quad \forall v \in V.$$

Theorem 9 (Aubin-Nitsche Lemma)

Let $V \hookrightarrow H$. Then,

$$\|u - u_h\|_H \leq M \|u - u_h\|_V \sup_{g \in H} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|g\|_H} \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|\varphi_g - v_h\|_V \right\}$$

Proof Since $u - u_h \in V$ and $a(u - u_h, v_h) = 0$

$\forall v_h \in V_h$ we have that

$$(g, u - u_h)_H = a(u - u_h, \varphi g) = a(u - u_h, \varphi g - v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

$$\Rightarrow (g, u - u_h)_H = \inf_{v_h \in V_h} a(u - u_h, \varphi g - v_h)$$

$$\leq M \|u - u_h\|_V \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|\varphi g - v_h\|_V$$

Since $\|u - u_h\|_H = \sup_{g \in H} \frac{(g, u - u_h)_H}{\|g\|_H}$ completes the proof \square .

Assume again that the AUP represents a weak formulation of a 2nd order elliptic PDE in Ω with homogeneous Dirichlet BCs; i.e. $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Under assumptions of Theorem 7:

$$\|u - u_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch^k \|u\|_{k+1,\Omega} \quad \text{for } u \in H^{k+1}(\Omega).$$

Definition The adjoint problem (16) is regular if

1) $\varphi g \in H^2(\Omega) \quad \forall g \in L^2(\Omega)$

2) $\exists C > 0$ s.t. $\|\varphi g\|_{2,\Omega} \leq C \|g\|_{0,\Omega} \quad \forall g \in L^2(\Omega)$

Remark Regularity can be proven if coefficients in the PDE are sufficiently smooth and $\partial\Omega$ is sufficiently smooth or Ω is polygonal and convex.

Theorem 10 Let (H1) and (H2) hold and let

$$\sum_i c [\hat{H}^2(\hat{\tau}_i)]', \quad i=1, \dots, M$$

and let (H3), (H4) hold with $\mathcal{Q}(\Omega) \supset H^2(\Omega)$.

Let there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P_k(\hat{\tau}_i) \subset \hat{P}_i \subset H^1(\hat{\tau}_i), \quad i=1, \dots, M,$$

and let the solution $u \in V$ of the AVP satisfy $u \in H^{k+1}(\Omega)$. Finally, let the adjoint problem (16) be regular. Then, there exists a constant C independent of h such that

$$\|u - u_h\|_{0,\Omega} \leq Ch^{k+1} |u|_{k+1,\Omega}$$

Proof By Thm 6

$$\|v - \Pi_h v\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch |v|_{2,\Omega} \quad \forall v \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$$

Then, for any $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{v_h \in V_h} \|\varphi_g - v_h\|_{1,\Omega} &\leq \|\varphi_g - \Pi_h \varphi_g\|_{1,\Omega} \leq Ch |\varphi_g|_{2,\Omega} \\ &\leq \tilde{C} h \|g\|_{0,\Omega} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow By Thm 9

$$\|u - u_h\| \leq M \tilde{C} h \|u - u_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leq \bar{C} h^{k+1} |u|_{k+1,\Omega} \quad \square$$

Nonhomogeneous Dirichlet BCs

Consider the problem

(17) $Lu = f$ in Ω , $u = u_b$ on $\partial\Omega$,
where L is a linear differential operator of 2nd order. Then, the weak solution is an element of $H^1(\Omega)$
 \Rightarrow necessary condition for existence of weak solution
is that $\exists \tilde{u}_b \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that $\tilde{u}_b|_{\partial\Omega} = u_b$.

Then, the weak solution of (17) satisfies
 $u \in H^1(\Omega)$, $u - \tilde{u}_b \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $a(u, v) = \langle f, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

\Rightarrow we look for function $\tilde{u} = u - \tilde{u}_b$ satisfying

(18) $\tilde{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $a(\tilde{u}, v) = \langle \tilde{f}, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

where $\langle \tilde{f}, v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle - a(\tilde{u}_b, v)$.

The problem (18) has form of AVP - we can use it for definition of discrete problem like above, i.e.,
seek $\tilde{u}_h \in V_h$ satisfying

(19) $a(\tilde{u}_h, v_h) = \langle \tilde{f}, v_h \rangle \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$

Approximate solution of (17) is then $u_h := \tilde{u}_h + \tilde{u}_b$.

Since $u - u_h = \tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_h$ have same error estimates as for $u - u_h$.

However, difficult to construct \tilde{u}_b or compute $\langle \tilde{u}_b, v \rangle$.

Therefore, replace \tilde{u}_b by some approximation \tilde{u}_{bh} .

Then, we find $\bar{u}_h \in V_h$ such that

(20) $a(\bar{u}_h, v_h) = \langle f, v_h \rangle - a(\tilde{u}_{bh}, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$

and get approximate solution $u_h := \bar{u}_h + \tilde{u}_{bh}$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} u - u_h &= \tilde{u} + \tilde{u}_b - \bar{u}_h - \tilde{u}_{bh} \\ &= (\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_h) + (\tilde{u}_h - \bar{u}_h) + (\tilde{u}_b - \tilde{u}_{bh}) \end{aligned}$$

where \tilde{u}_h is solution of (19). Since

$$a(\tilde{u}_h - \bar{u}_h, v_h) = a(\tilde{u}_b - \tilde{u}_{bh}, v_h)$$

we have that

$$\|\tilde{u}_h - \bar{u}_h\| \leq \frac{M}{\alpha} \|\tilde{u}_b - \tilde{u}_{bh}\|_{1,\Omega}$$

Thus,

$$\|u - u_h\|_{1,\Omega} \leq \|\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_h\|_{1,\Omega} + C \|\tilde{u}_b - \tilde{u}_{bh}\|_{1,\Omega}$$

Since we have estimates for $\tilde{u} - \tilde{u}_h$, these estimates also hold for $u - u_h$ if \tilde{u}_{bh} defined appropriately.

Natural choice is $\tilde{u}_{bh} \in X_h$. Then, approximate solution does not depend on how \tilde{u}_b defined for "interior" DOFs (values for $\phi(\tilde{u}_{bh})$ for $\phi \in \Sigma_h(\Sigma_h^{\partial\Omega})$).

E.g. we can set

$$\textcircled{21} \begin{cases} \int \phi(\tilde{u}_{bh}) = \phi(\Pi_h \tilde{u}_b) = \phi(\tilde{u}_b) & \forall \phi \in \Sigma_h^{\partial\Omega} \\ \phi(\tilde{u}_{bh}) = 0 & \forall \phi \in \Sigma_h \setminus \Sigma_h^{\partial\Omega} \end{cases}$$

if Π_h is defined for \tilde{u}_b . In most cases $\phi(\tilde{u}_b)$ depends only on $\tilde{u}_b|_{\partial\Omega} = u_b$ for $\phi \in \Sigma_h^{\partial\Omega}$ (e.g. for all Lagrange FEs). Then \tilde{u}_{bh} in (21) depends only on u_b but not choice of \tilde{u}_b .