

1. Select $K=H$

From Stampacchia we have that for any $F \in H^*$
 $\exists u \in H$ such that

$$a(u, v-u) \geq F(v-u) \quad \text{for all } v \in H$$

Select $v = w + u$ for $w \in H$.

$$a(u, w) \geq F(w) \quad \textcircled{1}$$

& $v = -w + u$, for $w \in H$

$$a(u, -w) \geq F(-w)$$

$$\Rightarrow F(w) \geq a(u, w) \quad \textcircled{2}$$

Then, by $\textcircled{1}$ & $\textcircled{2}$ $\exists u \in H$ st.

$$a(u, w) = F(w) \quad \text{for all } w \in H.$$

2. As $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ continuous bilinear form, $v \mapsto a(u, v)$
is continuous; hence, by Riesz-Fröchet $\exists A_u$
(depends on u) st.

$$a(u, v) = (A_u, v) \quad \forall v \in H$$

Then,

$$\|A_u\| \leq c_1 \|u\| \quad \& \quad (A_u, u) \geq c_2 \|u\|^2$$

Let f be representation of continuous linear functional
 $F \in H^*$; i.e. $F(v) = (v, f)$ for all $v \in H$.

Construct $P_K : H \rightarrow K$ as

$$\|P_K w - w\| = \min_{v \in K} \|w - v\|$$

From convex set theory,

$$(w - P_K w, v - P_K w) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } v \in K, \quad \|P_K w_1 - P_K w_2\| \leq \|w_1 - w_2\|$$

$$K \text{ closed} \Rightarrow (P_K w - w, v) = 0 \text{ for } v \in K$$

show that $\forall \alpha > 0$ following equivalent:

$$(1) \alpha(Au, v-u) \geq \alpha(f, v-u) \text{ for } v \in K$$

$$(2) (\alpha f - \alpha Au, v-u) \leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K$$

$$(3) u = P_K(\alpha f - \alpha Au + u)$$

• (1) \Leftrightarrow (2):

$$\alpha(Au, v-u) \geq \alpha(f, v-u)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 \geq (\alpha f, v-u) - (\alpha Au, v-u) \\ = (\alpha f - \alpha Au, v-u)$$

by linearity of inner product (first term).

• (2) \Rightarrow (3): let $w = \alpha f - \alpha Au + u$

$$0 \leq \|P_K w - u\|^2 = (P_K w - u, P_K w - u) \quad [(P_K w - w, v) = 0 \text{ for } v \in K] \\ = (w - u, P_K w - u) \\ = (\alpha f - \alpha Au, P_K w - u) \\ \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|P_K w - u\|^2 = 0 \Rightarrow u = P_K w$$

• (3) \Rightarrow (2)

P_K has property that

$$(w - P_K w, v - P_K w) \leq 0 \text{ for } v \in K$$

select $w = \alpha f - \alpha Au + u$, $u = P_K(\alpha f - \alpha Au + u) = P_K w$

then

$$(\alpha f - \alpha Au + u - u, v - u) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\alpha f - \alpha Au, v - u) \leq 0$$

Define the mapping $S: K \rightarrow K$ by $Sv = P_K(\alpha f - \alpha Av + v)$

Consider $x, y \in K$

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_x - S_y\|^2 &= \|\mathcal{P}_K(\alpha f - \alpha Ax + x) - \mathcal{P}_K(\alpha f - \alpha Ay + y)\|^2 \\ &\leq \|\alpha f - \alpha Ax + x - \alpha f + \alpha Ay - y\| \\ &= \|\alpha Ax - \alpha Ay\|^2 - (\alpha Ax - \alpha Ay, x - y) \\ &\quad - (x - y, \alpha Ax - \alpha Ay) + \|x - y\|^2 \\ &= \alpha^2 \|A(x - y)\|^2 - \alpha (A(x - y), x - y) \\ &\quad - \alpha (x - y, A(x - y)) + \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^2 c_1^2 \|x - y\|^2 - 2\alpha c_2 \|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \\ &= (\alpha^2 c_1^2 - 2\alpha c_2 + 1) \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\leq k^2 \|x - y\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $k^2 = (\alpha^2 c_1^2 - 2\alpha c_2 + 1)$. If $\alpha c_1^2 < 2c_2$ then

$$k^2 < \alpha^2 c_1^2 - 2\alpha c_2 + 1 = 1.$$

Hence, by Banach's fixed point theorem there exists a unique $u \in K$ such that $u = \mathcal{P}_K(\alpha f - \alpha Au + u)$.

Then by above equations

$$\Rightarrow \alpha (Au, v - u) \geq \alpha (f, v - u)$$

$$\Rightarrow (Au, v - u) \geq (f, v - u)$$

$$\Rightarrow a(u, v - u) \geq F(v - u).$$

which proves the first statement.

• As $a(u, v)$ is symmetric then it induces a norm in H

$$\|u\|_a^2 = a(u, u)$$

$$c_2 \|u\|^2 \leq (Au, u) = a(u, u) = \|u\|_a^2$$

$$\|u\|_a = a(u, u) = (Au, u) \leq \|Au\| \|u\| \leq c_1 \|u\|^2$$

\Rightarrow Norms are equivalent.

From Riesz-Frechet $\exists g$ s.t.
 $F(v) = a(g, v) \quad \forall v \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} a(g-u, v-u) &= a(g, v-u) - a(u, v-u) \\ &= F(v-u) - a(u, v-u) \quad \forall v \in K \end{aligned}$$

By first part $a(u, v-u) \geq F(v-u)$
 $\Rightarrow F(v-u) - a(u, v-u) \leq 0$

$$\Rightarrow a(g-u, v-u) \leq 0 \quad \forall v \in K$$

By Proposition 1.5, point 8 as K , non-empty, closed & convex subset of Hilbert space then

$$\|g-u\|_a = \min_{v \in K} \|g-v\|_a$$

$$\Rightarrow a(g-u, g-u) = \min_{v \in K} a(g-v, g-v)$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{a(g, g)}_{\text{a symmetric}} - 2a(g, u) + a(u, u) = \min_{v \in K} (a(g, g) - 2a(g, v) + a(v, v))$$

$$a(u, u) - 2F(u) = \min_{v \in K} (a(v, v) - 2F(v))$$

Divide through by 2 completes the proof \square .

3. Select $X = C_0 = \{x = \{x_i\} : x_i \rightarrow 0 \text{ for } i \rightarrow \infty\}$,
 $\|x\| = \max_i |x_i|$

M -closed ball in space \rightarrow clearly non-empty, bounded & closed

Define A as $Ax = \{1, x_1, x_2, \dots\}$, for $x = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax - Ay\| &= \max(|1-1|, |x_1-y_1|, |x_2-y_2|, \dots) \\ &= \max(|x_1-y_1|, |x_2-y_2|, \dots) \\ &= \|x-y\| \quad \Rightarrow \text{non-expansive.} \end{aligned}$$

Assume a fixed point exists; i.e., $\exists x \in C_0$ s.t.

$$Ax = x$$

$$\Rightarrow \{1, x_1, x_2, \dots\} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow x_i \not\rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow x \notin C_0.$$

And, hence, we have a contradiction. Therefore, a fixed point does not exist.

Let $B(w, r)$ - closed ball in Hilbert space, centre $w \in H$, radius $r > 0$.

$T: B(w, r) \rightarrow H$ - monotone & continuous on $B(w, r) \cap M$
 M - arbitrary finite dimensional subspace.

Assume for each $z \in S(w, r) = \{u \in H: \|u - w\| = r\}$

$$z - w + \lambda Tz \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0, \quad (*)$$

Define operator $A: B \rightarrow H$:

$$Ax = T(xr + w), \quad x \in B$$

By Theorem 2.22 $\exists x_0 \in B$ s.t. $(Ax_0, y - x_0) \geq 0$
for all $y \in B$. Moreover if $\|x_0\| < 1$ then

$Ax_0 = 0$. If $\|x_0\| = 1$ $Ax_0 = 0$ if for all $x \in \{u \in H: \|u\| = 1\}$
 $x + \lambda Ax \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

By condition $(*)$ $\forall z = xr + w \in S(w, r), x \in B$

$$\text{then } \|z - w\| = \|xr + w - w\| = r\|x\| = r$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x\| = 1 \Rightarrow x \in \{u \in H: \|u\| = 1\}$$

$$\& z - w + \lambda Tz = xr + w - w + \lambda Ax$$

$$= xr + \lambda Ax \neq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x + \lambda r^{-1} x \neq 0, \quad \forall \lambda r^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{r} \geq 0,$$

Therefore condition of Theorem 2.22 met & $Ax_0 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow T(x_0 r + w) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists z_0 = x_0 r + w \in B(w, r) \text{ s.t. } \bar{z}_0 = 0 \quad \uparrow$$