

UA

9.1

RECAP

Absolutely free algebra over  $X$

$\underline{F}(X) = (\text{terms over } X; \text{ natural operations})$

Free algebra for  $\mathcal{K}$  over  $X$

$\underline{F}_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \underline{E}(X)/d_{\mathcal{K}}$

$d_{\mathcal{K}} = \bigwedge \{ \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Con } \underline{E}(X)} \underline{E}(X)/\alpha \in S(\mathcal{K}) \}$

$\rightarrow \underline{F}_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \in \text{SP}(\mathcal{K})$

@ (i)  $s \mathrel{d_{\mathcal{K}}} t$

(ii)  $\mathcal{K} \models s \approx t$

(iii)  $HSP(\mathcal{K}) \models s \approx t$

(iv)  $F_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \models s \approx t$

useful  $\circlearrowleft$   $\forall m: X \rightarrow A \quad \exists! \underline{F}(X) \rightarrow \underline{A}$  extending  $m$ ,  
namely  $\hat{m}$

UA

9.2

write  $xx, xy \cdot z \approx zy \cdot x$   
 $\uparrow$

EXAMPLE

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(A, \cdot); (A, \cdot) \models \{x \cdot x, (x \cdot y) \cdot z \approx z \cdot y \cdot x\}\}$$

What is  $\underline{F} := \underline{E}_{\mathcal{X}}(x, y)$ ?

$$\begin{array}{c} y \cdot xy \approx x \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \end{array}$$

playing with identities now each term is equivalent  
(modulo  $\Delta_{\mathcal{X}}$ ) to one of  $\{x, y, xy, yx\}$  (use (ii))

candidate  $\underline{B} := \{x, y, xy, yx; *\}$

*	x	y	xy	yx
x	x	xy	yx	y
y	yx	y	x	xy
xy	y	yx	xy	x
yx	xy	x	y	yx

4 distinct

Is  $\underline{B} \cong \underline{F}$ ? Not clear, e.g. why  $xy \not\sim x$  ( $\mathcal{X} \not\models xy \sim x$ )

Enough to show  $\underline{B}$  satisfies  $uu \sim u$ ,  $uv \cdot w \approx vw \cdot u$   
(for all  $u, v, w \in \underline{B}$ !)

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	2	3	1
1	3	1	0	2
2	1	3	2	0
3	2	0	1	3

*	00	11	01	10
00	00	01	10	11
11	10	11	00	01
01	11	10	01	00
10	01	00	11	10

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_1, \mu_2) * (\nu_1, \nu_2) &= \\ &= (u_2 + v_1 + v_2, u_1 + u_2 + v_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{B} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, *) \quad \vec{u} * \vec{v} = A \vec{u} + A^2 \vec{v} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad A^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

verification now easy

# Mod-Id : #1 Galois correspondence in UA

$\Sigma$  - still fixed

$\text{Alg} :=$  all algebras in signature  $\Sigma$

$\text{Ident} :=$  all identities in  $\Sigma$  over  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$

relation  $\Phi \subseteq \text{Alg} \times \text{Ident}$   $A \in \Phi$  iff  $A \models \text{sat}$

classes of algebras

$E^{\leftarrow} := \text{Mod}(E) = \{A; A \models E\}$   
all algebras satisfying  $E$

sets of identities

$\mathcal{X}^{\rightarrow} := \text{Id}(\mathcal{X}) = \{S \in \mathcal{X}; S \models \text{sat}\}$   
all identities satisfied in  $\mathcal{X}$

{

antiisomorphisms

(closed classes of algebras,  $\subseteq$ )  $\leftrightarrow$  (closed classes of identities)  
 $= \{\text{Mod}(E); E \subseteq \text{Ident}\}$   $= \{\text{Id}(\mathcal{X}); \mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Alg}\}$



closed classes of algebras = varieties

closed classes of identities = equational theories

"variety = class of algebras defined by identities"

$\Leftarrow$  we know

$\Rightarrow$  we now prove

**THEOREM** (Birkhoff '35) For every class of algebras  $\mathcal{K}$

$$\text{HSP}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{Mod}(\text{Id}(\mathcal{K}))$$

Proof:  $\subseteq$  ✓

$\supseteq$  • take  $\underline{A} \in \text{Mod}(\text{Id}(\mathcal{K}))$

$\underline{A}$  satisfies all the identities satisfied in  $\mathcal{K}$

• define  $\beta = \ker \widehat{\text{id}} \in \text{Con}(\underline{F}(A))$

(ie  $s \beta t$  iff  $\widehat{\text{id}}(s) = \widehat{\text{id}}(t)$ )

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & A \\ \pi_1 & \uparrow \widehat{\text{id}} & \uparrow \\ \underline{F}(A) & & \end{array}$$

• note  $\underline{F}(A)/\beta \cong \underline{A}$  (1st iso. t.)

! •  $\lambda_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \beta$ :  $s \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{K}} t \stackrel{\text{thm.}}{\Rightarrow} \mathcal{K} \models s \approx t$

$\Rightarrow \underline{A} \models s \approx t \stackrel{\text{def. of } \beta}{\Rightarrow} s \beta t$

$$\underline{A} \cong \underline{F}(A)/\beta \cong$$

$$\underline{F}(A)/\lambda_{\mathcal{K}} \cong$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{F}_{\mathcal{K}}(A) \in \text{SP}(\mathcal{K}) \quad \text{PROP.}$$

$$\in \text{HSP}(\mathcal{K})$$

• so we have anti isomorphism  $(\text{varieties}, \subseteq) \leftrightarrow (\text{closed cl. of id}, \subseteq)$

• sometimes easy to show  $\mathcal{K}$  is a variety, hard to find  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $\mathcal{K} = \text{Mod}(\mathcal{E})$

Ex.  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W}$  varieties of groups

$$\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W} := \left\{ G; \exists \underline{N} \leq \underline{G} \quad \underline{N} \in \mathcal{V}, \underline{G}/\underline{N} \in \mathcal{W} \right\} \text{ is a variety}$$

$\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W} = \text{abelian groups}$     $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{W} = \text{2-step solvable}$

## FINITE BASE

variety  $\mathcal{K}$  is finitely based if  $\mathcal{K} = \text{Mod}(\mathcal{E})$   
for some finite  $\mathcal{E}$

say  $\mathcal{K} = \text{HSP}(\underline{A})$ ,  $\underline{A}$  finite with finite  $\Sigma$

- not necessarily finitely based
- no algorithm to check whether ~~there is~~ it is  
(McKenzie '96)

### Examples

- finitely based : finite groups (Oates, Powell '64)

$$\text{HSP}(D_8) = \text{HSP}(Q_8) = \text{Mod}(x^4 \approx 1, x^2y \approx xy^2) \\ \text{dihedral} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{quaternion} \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{group axioms}$$

- non-finitely based
  - a 7-element algebra with a binary op. (Lyndon '54)
  - a 3-element  $\begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array}$  (Murski '65)

	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1
2	0	2	2

## THE OTHER SIDE

$\mathcal{E}$  ... set of identities

closure of  $\mathcal{E} = \text{Id}(\text{Mod } \mathcal{E})$  ... all identities that  
~~syntactically follows~~ semantically follow from  $\mathcal{E}$

Def.  $\mathcal{E} \models \text{sxt}$  if  $\forall A \quad A \models \mathcal{E} \Rightarrow A \models \text{sxt}$

$\text{sxt semantically follows from } \mathcal{E}$

... would be nice if ...

closure of  $\mathcal{E}$  = all identities that syntactically follow from  $\mathcal{E}$

? Def.  $\mathcal{E} \vdash \text{sxt}$  if  $\text{sxt}$  can be obtained from  $\mathcal{E}$   
 by "such and such" syntactic manipulations

$\text{sxt syntactically follows from } \mathcal{E}$

? Then  $\mathcal{E} \models \text{sxt} \text{ iff } \mathcal{E} \vdash \text{sxt}$

### Todos

- what is "such and such"?
- prove  $\Rightarrow$  in Then

Example

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \text{group axioms}, x^2 \approx 1 \} \models xy \approx yx$$

says every group satisfying  $x^2 \approx 1$  is commutative  
it can be shown by syntactic manipulations:

$$xy \approx xyxx \approx xyxxyx \approx yx$$

closer look

$$\begin{array}{c}
 xy \approx xy \cdot 1 \approx xy \cdot xx \approx \dots \\
 \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 z \approx z \cdot 1 \qquad \qquad 1 \approx xx \\
 \text{applied to } z=xy \\
 \underline{\text{substitution}} \qquad \qquad \qquad xy \approx xy \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad xy \cdot 1 \approx xy \cdot xx \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{applying operations} \\
 \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{to identities}
 \end{array}$$

we have used

- $\approx$  is an equivalence on  $F(x)$
  - invariant under applying operations
  - invariant under substitutions
- $\stackrel{(1)}{\circ}$  substitution = endomorphism of  $F(x)$
- $\stackrel{(2)}{\circ}$  invariant under substitutions  
 $\Rightarrow$  fully invariant:  $s \approx t \Rightarrow e(s) \approx e(t) \quad \forall e \in \text{End}(F(x))$

we have observed:  $\approx$  is a fully invariant congruence of  $E(x)$  ( $\approx = \text{Id}(x)$ )

Is it enough? YES!

Def. equational theory = fully invariant congruence of  $E(x)$

$E \vdash s \approx t$  if  $(s, t)$  is in the equational theory generated by  $E$

(can be described in a more concrete way...)

Theorem  $E \models s \approx t \iff E \vdash s \approx t$

( $\Rightarrow \text{Id}(\text{Mod}(E)) = \text{equational theory generated by } E$ )

so we have anti isomorphisms (mutually inverse)

varieties  $\longleftrightarrow$  equational theories

Proof: nice exercise (not required for exam)