Convergence of the average cost in the case of jump diffusions.

Karel Kadlec

KPMS MFF UK

21. 1. 2014

- Lévy process
- Itôo formula
- Controlled SDE
- Main result
- Proof

• Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,

2
$$L(0) = 0$$
,

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,

2
$$L(0) = 0$$
,

stochastically continuous.

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,
 - **2** L(0) = 0,
 - stochastically continuous.
- Sepecially L has cádlág version.

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,

2
$$L(0) = 0,$$

- stochastically continuous.
- Sepecially *L* has cádlág version.
- Denote $\Delta L_t = L_t L_{t-}$.

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,

2
$$L(0) = 0,$$

- **3** stochastically continuous.
- Sepecially *L* has cádlág version.
- Denote $\Delta L_t = L_t L_{t-1}$.
- Solution Poisson measure of L: $N(t, A) = \#\{s \in [0, t]; \Delta L_s \in A\}$.

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,
 - **2** L(0) = 0,
 - **3** stochastically continuous.
- Sepecially *L* has cádlág version.
- Denote $\Delta L_t = L_t L_{t-1}$.
- Solution Poisson measure of L: $N(t, A) = \#\{s \in [0, t]; \Delta L_s \in A\}$.
- Intensity jump measure of L: $\nu(A) = \mathbb{E}N(1, A)$.

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,
 - **2** L(0) = 0,
 - **3** stochastically continuous.
- Sepecially *L* has cádlág version.
- Denote $\Delta L_t = L_t L_{t-1}$.
- Solution Poisson measure of L: $N(t, A) = \#\{s \in [0, t]; \Delta L_s \in A\}$.
- Intensity jump measure of L: $\nu(A) = \mathbb{E}N(1, A)$.
- L is Lévy process, so $\mathbb{E}N(t, A) = t\nu(A)$.

- Stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$
- **2** L Lévy process (in \mathbb{R}):
 - stacionar independent increments,
 - **2** L(0) = 0,
 - stochastically continuous.
- Sepecially *L* has cádlág version.
- Denote $\Delta L_t = L_t L_{t-1}$.
- Solution Poisson measure of L: $N(t, A) = \#\{s \in [0, t]; \Delta L_s \in A\}$.
- Intensity jump measure of L: $\nu(A) = \mathbb{E}N(1, A)$.
- L is Lévy process, so $\mathbb{E}N(t, A) = t\nu(A)$.
- Some compensated Poisson measure: $\tilde{N}(t, A) = N(t, A) t\nu(A)$ (\mathcal{F} -martingal).

• For $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, $0 \notin \overline{A}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ borel measurable $(n \in \mathbb{N})$: $\int_{[0,t]} \int_A f(s,x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx)$ $= \sum_{0 \le s \le t} f(s, \Delta L_s) \mathbb{I}_{\Delta L_s \in A} - \int_{[0,t]} \int_A f(s,x) d\nu(x) ds$

and $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, x) \tilde{N}(ds, dx)$ we obtain by approximation.



We especially suppose L to be α-stable square integrable martingal, α ∈ (0,2). So

We especially suppose L to be α-stable square integrable martingal, α ∈ (0,2). So

$$L_t = \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x \tilde{N}(ds, dx), \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

We especially suppose L to be α-stable square integrable martingal, α ∈ (0,2). So

$$L_t = \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

 ${\it 2} \ \nu \ {\rm has \ the \ density}$

$$(c_+ \mathbf{I}_{(0,\infty)}(x) + c_- \mathbf{I}_{(-\infty,0)}) |x|^{-\alpha-1}$$

where $c_+, c_- \ge 0$.

We especially suppose L to be α-stable square integrable martingal, α ∈ (0,2). So

$$L_t = \int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x ilde{N}(ds, dx), \ t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

 ${\it 2} \ \nu \ {\rm has \ the \ density}$

$$(c_+ \mathbf{I}_{(0,\infty)}(x) + c_- \mathbf{I}_{(-\infty,0)}) |x|^{-\alpha-1}$$

where $c_+, c_- \ge 0$.

$$q=\mathbb{E}|L_1|^2=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|x|^2d
u(x)$$

Itôo formula

• Let $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t F(s)ds + \int_0^t G(s)dL_s$, $F \in \mathbb{L}^{1,loc}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $G \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, F, Gprogresive (denote $F \in \mathbb{L}^{1,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(R_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $G \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(R_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$),

Itôo formula

 Let X_t = X₀ + ∫₀^t F(s)ds + ∫₀^t G(s)dL_s, F ∈ L^{1,loc}(Ω × ℝ₊, ℝⁿ), G ∈ L^{2,loc}(Ω × ℝ₊, ℝⁿ), F, G progresive (denote F ∈ L^{1,loc}_F(R₊, ℝⁿ), G ∈ L^{2,loc}_F(R₊, ℝⁿ)), f ∈ C²(ℝⁿ).

Itôo formula

Intermediate Stress Stress

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_{[0,t]} f_x(X_s)F(s)ds$$

+ $\int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(X_{s-} + G(s)x) - f(X_{s-}))\tilde{N}(ds, dx)$
+ $\int_{[0,t]} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f(X_{s-} + G(s)x) - f(X_{s-}) - G(s)xf_x(X_{s-}))d\nu(x)ds.$

$$dX_t = (AX_{t_-} + BU_t)dt + \sigma_t dL_t, \qquad (1)$$

where

1

$$dX_t = (AX_{t_-} + BU_t)dt + \sigma_t dL_t, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$U \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k\times n})$$

1

$$dX_t = (AX_{t-} + BU_t)dt + \sigma_t dL_t, \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bullet & U \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k\times n})\\ \bullet & A \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \text{ satisfying} \end{array}$$

$$|e^{tA}| \leq M_0 e^{-\omega t}, \qquad (2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $M_0, \ \omega > 0$, for each $t \geq 0$,

1

$$dX_t = (AX_{t-} + BU_t)dt + \sigma_t dL_t, \qquad (1)$$

•
$$U \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k \times n})$$

• $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$|e^{tA}| \leq M_0 e^{-\omega t}, \qquad (2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_0 , $\omega > 0$, for each $t \ge 0$, **3** B is $n \times k$ matrix,

1

$$dX_t = (AX_{t-} + BU_t)dt + \sigma_t dL_t, \qquad (1)$$

• $U \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{k \times n})$ • $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$|e^{tA}| \le M_0 e^{-\omega t}, \qquad (2)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_0 , $\omega > 0$, for each $t \ge 0$, **3** B is $n \times k$ matrix, **4** $\sigma \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$dX_t = (AX_{t-} + BU_t)dt + \sigma_t dL_t, \qquad (1)$$

 $\quad \mathbf{0} \quad U \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}_+,\mathbb{R}^{k\times n})$ **2** $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ satisfying

$$|e^{tA}| \le M_0 e^{-\omega t}, \tag{2}$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_0 , $\omega > 0$, for each t > 0.

3 B is $n \times k$ matrix,

(a)
$$\sigma \in \mathbb{L}^{2, loc}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n)$$

It is possible to verify that this equation has a unique solution $X \in \mathbb{L}^{2,loc}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{R}_+).$

• Suppose $U_t = K_t X_{t_-}$, K_t to be a random $k \times n$ matrix, t > 0, K uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ *a.s.*

- Suppose $U_t = K_t X_{t_-}$, K_t to be a random $k \times n$ matrix, t > 0, K uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ *a.s.*
- **2** The controlled SDE (1) takes the form

$$dX_t = (A + BK_t)X_{t_-}dt + \sigma_t dL_t.$$
(3)

- Suppose $U_t = K_t X_{t-}$, K_t to be a random $k \times n$ matrix, t > 0, K uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ *a.s.*
- **2** The controlled SDE (1) takes the form

$$dX_t = (A + BK_t)X_{t-}dt + \sigma_t dL_t.$$
(3)

It is possible to prove the existence of the unique solution X ∈ L²_F[0, T] for all T > 0 and by splicing we obtain the solution on L²_F^{/oc}(ℝ₊)

$$J(U,T) = \int_0^T (\langle QX_s, X_s \rangle + \langle RU_s, U_s \rangle) ds, \ T > 0, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$J(U, T) = \int_0^T (\langle QX_s, X_s \rangle + \langle RU_s, U_s \rangle) ds, \ T > 0, \qquad (4)$$

where

1 $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric positive definite,

$$J(U, T) = \int_0^T (\langle QX_s, X_s \rangle + \langle RU_s, U_s \rangle) ds, \ T > 0, \qquad (4)$$

where

- $\textbf{0} \quad Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \text{ symmetric positive definite,}$
- **2** $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ regular symmetric positive definite.

$$J(U,T) = \int_0^T (\langle QX_s, X_s \rangle + \langle RU_s, U_s \rangle) ds, \ T > 0, \qquad (4)$$

where

- $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ symmetric positive definite,
- **2** $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ regular symmetric positive definite.

Let P be the solution of the so called stationary Riccati equation

$$PA + A^T P + Q - PBR^{-1}B^T P = 0.$$
⁽⁵⁾

$$J(U,T) = \int_0^T (\langle QX_s, X_s \rangle + \langle RU_s, U_s \rangle) ds, \ T > 0, \qquad (4)$$

where

•
$$Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$
 symmetric positive definite,

2 $R \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ regular symmetric positive definite.

Let P be the solution of the so called stationary Riccati equation

$$PA + A^T P + Q - PBR^{-1}B^T P = 0.$$
⁽⁵⁾

• Note that P is the limit of the solutions on [0, T] of

$$\dot{P}_t + P_t A + A^T P_t + Q - P_t B R^{-1} B^T P_t = 0$$
(6)

for $t \to \infty$.

Main result

1

Suppose that

$$\frac{\langle PX_t, X_t \rangle}{t} \to 0, \quad t \to \infty, \quad a.s., \tag{7}$$

2 let there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

 $\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup\frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-}\rangle ds}{t} \leq c_1 \ a.s., \tag{8}$

2

0

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup\frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-}\rangle^\alpha ds}{t} \le c_1 \ a.s., \tag{9}$$

3 in the case of $\alpha = 1$ let a.s.

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\sup\frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-}\rangle \log \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-}\rangle ds}{t} = 0.$$
(10)

Suppose that

1

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} K_t = k_0 = -R^{-1}B^T P \quad a.s., \tag{11}$$

2 let there exists

$$P_{\sigma} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\int_{0}^{t} \langle P\sigma_{s}, \sigma_{s} \rangle ds}{t} < \infty$$
 (12)

(which equals $\langle {\cal P}\sigma,\sigma\rangle$ in the case of constant $\sigma)$ Then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{J(U,t)}{t} = P_{\sigma}q, \quad a.s.$$
(13)

Using Itôo formula and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle PX_t, X_t \rangle - \langle PX_0, X_0 \rangle}{t} \\ &= -\frac{J(U, t)}{t} + \frac{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle P\sigma_s, \sigma_s \rangle |x|^2 d\nu(x) ds}{t} \\ &- \frac{\int_0^t \langle (k_0 - K_s) X_s, P(k_0 - K_s) X_s \rangle ds}{t} \\ &+ \frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-} \rangle dL_s}{t}. \end{split}$$

Using Itôo formula and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle PX_t, X_t \rangle - \langle PX_0, X_0 \rangle}{t} \\ &= -\frac{J(U, t)}{t} + \frac{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle P\sigma_s, \sigma_s \rangle |x|^2 d\nu(x) ds}{t} \\ &- \frac{\int_0^t \langle (k_0 - K_s) X_s, P(k_0 - K_s) X_s \rangle ds}{t} \\ &+ \frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-} \rangle dL_s}{t}. \end{split}$$

We can send last part to zero a.s. using our assumptions, the changing time representations and the strong law of large numbers for Lévy processes.

Using Itôo formula and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle PX_t, X_t \rangle - \langle PX_0, X_0 \rangle}{t} \\ &= -\frac{J(U, t)}{t} + \frac{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle P\sigma_s, \sigma_s \rangle |x|^2 d\nu(x) ds}{t} \\ &- \frac{\int_0^t \langle (k_0 - K_s) X_s, P(k_0 - K_s) X_s \rangle ds}{t} \\ &+ \frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-} \rangle dL_s}{t}. \end{split}$$

- We can send last part to zero a.s. using our assumptions, the changing time representations and the strong law of large numbers for Lévy processes.
- We can prove that assuming (11) the third part tends to zero a.s..

Using Itôo formula and (6) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle PX_t, X_t \rangle - \langle PX_0, X_0 \rangle}{t} \\ &= -\frac{J(U, t)}{t} + \frac{\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle P\sigma_s, \sigma_s \rangle |x|^2 d\nu(x) ds}{t} \\ &- \frac{\int_0^t \langle (k_0 - K_s) X_s, P(k_0 - K_s) X_s \rangle ds}{t} \\ &+ \frac{\int_0^t \langle PX_{s_-}, X_{s_-} \rangle dL_s}{t}. \end{split}$$

- We can send last part to zero a.s. using our assumptions, the changing time representations and the strong law of large numbers for Lévy processes.
- We can prove that assuming (11) the third part tends to zero a.s..
- Section 4.5. Assuming (7), the left side tends to zero *a.s.* also.

References.

- [1] Applebaum D. (2004): *Lévy Processes and Stochastic calcullus.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [2] Bertoin J. (1996): *Lévy Processes.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [3] Kallenberg O.: Some time change representations of stable integrals, via predictable transformations of local martingales. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* North-Holland, 40, 199–223 1992.
- [4] Peszat S., Zabczyk J.: Stochastic Partial Differential Equations Driven by Lévy Processes. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [5] Tang H., Wu Z.: Stochastic differential equations and stochastic linear quadratic optimal control problem with Lévy process. Jrl Syst Sci & Complexity, 22, 122–136, 2009.

Thank you.