# Variable density Navier-Stokes equations 3 Uniqueness and optimal control results

E. Fernández-Cara (Univ. of Sevilla, Spain)

Prague, May-June 2011

#### Contents

- Uniqueness
  - A partial result
  - Additional comments and questions

- Optimal control
  - Formulation of the problems
  - Existence
  - Characterization of optimality
  - Further comments and questions

#### Uniqueness A partial result

No satisfactory general result for N = 2 (???)

Minimal regularity hypotheses?

Uniqueness for N=2 and some particular structure of  $\rho_0$ ?

#### Theorem:

Assume:  $\rho_0 \geq \alpha > 0$ ,  $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\rho})$  is a solution with  $\nabla \overline{\rho}, \nabla \overline{\mathbf{u}}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}_t \in L^2(L^{\infty})$ ,  $\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}} \in C^0(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ . Then  $\mathbf{u} = \overline{\mathbf{u}}$  a.e.

#### Sketch of the proof:

- (A) Energy identity for  $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})$ :
  - $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \overline{\rho} \mid \overline{\mathbf{u}} \mid^2 + \mu \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} \mid \nabla \overline{\mathbf{u}} \mid^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 \mid \mathbf{u}_0 \mid^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} \overline{\rho} \overline{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \mathbf{f}$
- (B) Energy inequality for  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$ :
  - $\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho \mid \mathbf{u} \mid^2 + \mu \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} | \nabla \mathbf{u} |^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 \mid \mathbf{u}_0 \mid^2 + \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{f}$
- (C) Motion equation for  $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\mathbf{u}})$ :

$$\iint_{\Omega\times(0,t)} \left\{ \overline{\rho}(\overline{\mathbf{u}}_t + (\overline{\mathbf{u}}\cdot\nabla)\overline{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mu\nabla\overline{\mathbf{u}} : \nabla\mathbf{u} \right\} = 0$$

(D) Motion equation for  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$ :

$$\iint_{\Omega\times(0,t)} \left\{ \rho(\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u}\cdot\nabla)\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{f}) \cdot \overline{\mathbf{u}} + \mu\nabla\mathbf{u} : \nabla\overline{\mathbf{u}} \right\} = 0$$

(A) + (B) - (C) - (D) leads to ...

## Uniqueness Sketch of the proof:

. . .

with  $A, B_{\varepsilon} \in L^{\infty}(0, T)$ .

Transport equations for  $\rho$  and  $\overline{\rho}$ :

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}|\rho-\overline{\rho}|^2 = \int_{\Omega}\nabla\overline{\rho}\cdot(\mathbf{u}-\overline{\mathbf{u}})(\rho-\overline{\rho})$$

Consequences:

$$(\int_{\Omega} |\rho - \overline{\rho}|^2)(t) \le C \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} |\mathbf{u} - \overline{\mathbf{u}}|^2 \quad \forall t$$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} \rho \mid \mathbf{u} - \overline{\mathbf{u}} \mid^{2}\right)(t) + \mu \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^{2} \leq \iint_{\Omega \times (0,t)} C(s) |\mathbf{u} - \overline{\mathbf{u}}|^{2}$$

with  $C \in L^{\infty}(0, T)$  and . . .



### Uniqueness

#### Additional comments and questions:

- No satisfactory for N=2!Minimal regularity hypotheses on  $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})$ ? Can we have only  $\rho_0 \geq 0$ ?
- Another strategy: Duality Let  $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})$ ,  $(\rho, \mathbf{u})$  be two solutions. Set  $(\sigma, \mathbf{w}) := (\rho \overline{\rho}, \mathbf{u} \overline{\mathbf{u}})$

$$\begin{array}{c} E(\rho, \mathbf{u}) = 0 \\ E(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad \Rightarrow \tilde{E}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})(\sigma, \mathbf{w}) = 0 \quad \text{(linear in } (\sigma, \mathbf{w})\text{)}$$

Task:  $N(\tilde{E}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})) = \{0\}$ , equivalent to  $R(\tilde{E}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}})^*)$  is dense Therefore: try to solve a linear problem with RHS in a dense subspace Same requirements on  $(\rho, \mathbf{u}), (\overline{\rho}, \overline{\mathbf{u}}) \dots$ 

### Uniqueness

#### Additional comments and questions:

Many other questions can be considered.
 For instance: how "large" is the set of uniqueness data?
 An "entropy condition" ensuring uniqueness
 (maybe together with N = 2 and/or u ∈ L<sup>r</sup>(0, T; L<sup>s</sup>))?, etc.

## Optimal control Formulation of the problems

$$\begin{cases} & \text{Minimize } J(\mathbf{v}, \rho, \mathbf{u}) \\ & \text{Subject to } \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \quad (\mathbf{v}, \rho, \mathbf{u}) \text{ solves NS-}\rho \end{cases}$$

• The state equation is NS- $\rho$ :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\rho \mathbf{u})_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \mathbf{1}_{\omega}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x},t) \in Q, \\ \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x},t) \in Q, \\ \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (\mathbf{x},t) \in \Sigma, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0, \quad (\rho \mathbf{u})|_{t=0} = \rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

• The constraints:  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}} \subset L^2(\omega \times (0,T))^N$ , closed and convex

## Optimal control Some particular cases:

#### Cost:

$$J(\mathbf{v}, \rho, \mathbf{u}) = \iint_{Q} \left( \frac{\mathbf{a}}{2} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{d}|^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{a}'}{2} |\rho - \rho_{d}|^{2} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{b}}{2} \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\mathbf{v}|^{2}$$

$$J(\mathbf{v}, \rho, \mathbf{u}) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{a}{2} |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, T) - \mathbf{u}_e|^2 + \frac{a'}{2} |\rho(\mathbf{x}, T) - \rho_e|^2 \right) + \frac{b}{2} \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\mathbf{v}|^2$$

Constraints:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}} = L^2(\omega \times (0,T))^N \\ \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}} = \{\, \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\omega \times (0,T))^N : |\mathbf{v}| \leq M \quad \text{a.e.} \,\} \\ \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}} = \{\, \mathbf{v} \in L^2(\omega \times (0,T))^N : \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^I \mathbf{v}^i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{1}_{(t_i,\tau_i)}(t) \quad \text{a.e.,} \quad \mathbf{v}^i \in L^2 \,\} \\ \text{where } M > 0 \text{ and the } t_i \text{ and } \tau_i \text{ satisfy } 0 \leq t_1 < \tau_1 < \cdots < t_I < \tau_I \leq T \end{array}$$

- Goals:
  - Existence, uniqueness
  - Characterization: find a system necessarily satisfied by any optimal solution
  - **②** Computation: provide iterative algorithms that produce sequences of controls  $\mathbf{v}^m$  that converge to a solution

#### Theorem:

#### Assume:

- $\ \, \mathbf{0} \,\, \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}} \subset \mathit{L}^{2}(\omega \times (0,\mathit{T}))^{\mathit{N}} \,\, \text{is non-empty, closed and convex}$
- J is sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous
- **3** Either  $\mathcal{U}_{ad}$  is bounded or J is coercive in  $\mathbf{v}$

Then: existence

Can be applied to the particular cases above ... Uniqueness?

Characterization of optimality:

#### Theorem:

Assume:  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}} \subset L^2(\omega \times (0,T))^N$  is non-empty, closed and convex,  $J \equiv \iint_Q \left( \frac{a}{2} |\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_d|^2 + \frac{a'}{2} |\rho - \rho_d|^2 \right) + \frac{b}{2} \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} |\mathbf{v}|^2$ ,  $(\mathbf{v}, \rho, \mathbf{u})$  is optimal

Then:  $\exists (\eta, \mathbf{w}, \beta)$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} -\rho \mathbf{w}_t - \rho (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w} + \rho (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T \mathbf{w} + \nabla \beta \\ = \mu \Delta \mathbf{w} + \rho \nabla \eta + a (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_d), & \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w} = 0, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in Q \\ -\eta_t - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \eta + (\mathbf{u}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = a'(\rho - \rho_d), & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in Q \\ \mathbf{w} = 0, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Sigma, \\ \eta|_{t=T} = 0, & (\eta \mathbf{w})|_{t=T} = 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} (\mathbf{w} + b\mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v}) \ge 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{v}' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}, \quad \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}$$

Characterization of optimality:

#### Idea of the proof (I): the key idea

Set  $\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{v}) := (\rho, \mathbf{u})$  (the state) and assume regularity. Then  $j(\mathbf{v}) := J(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = F(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$  and

$$\langle j'(\textbf{v}), \textbf{v}' - \textbf{v} 
angle \geq 0 \ orall \textbf{v}' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}$$

One has:

with  $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{d\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{v})^* \cdot F_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v})$  (the so called adjoint state) Hence:

$$\langle \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{v}) + F_{\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{y}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}' - \mathbf{v} \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall \mathbf{v}' \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{ad}}$$



Characterization of optimality:

#### Idea of the proof (II): the rigorous computations

Take  $\mathbf{v}' = \mathbf{v} + \alpha \mathbf{h}$  with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$  (small),  $\mathbf{h} \in L^2(\omega \times (0, T))^N$ ,  $\mathbf{v}' \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}$ . Let  $(\rho', \mathbf{u}')$  be a state associated to  $\mathbf{v}'$ . Then

$$\begin{split} &J(\mathbf{v}',\rho',\mathbf{u}') - J(\mathbf{v},\rho,\mathbf{u}) \\ &= \alpha \Big( \iint_{Q} \left[ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{d}) \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{a}'(\rho - \rho_{d}) \sigma \right] + b \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \!\! \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{h} \, d\mathbf{x} \, dt \Big) + \alpha Z_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

with  $Z_{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ .

After integration by parts:

$$\iint_{Q} (a(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_d) \cdot \mathbf{y} + a(\rho - \rho_d)\sigma) = \iint_{\omega \times (0,T)} \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{h}$$

Consequence:

$$\iint_{\omega\times(0,T)}(\mathbf{w}+b\mathbf{v})\cdot\mathbf{h}\geq0.$$

Further comments and questions:

• A similar result holds when

$$J \equiv \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{a}{2} |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, T) - \mathbf{u}_e|^2 + \frac{a'}{2} |\rho(\mathbf{x}, T) - \rho_e|^2 \right) + \frac{b}{2} \iint_{\omega \times (0, T)} |\mathbf{v}|^2$$
Another interesting optimal control problem: Next Lecture

The interest of the optimality system:
 can be used to introduce iterative algorithms several ways
 The "gradient" of J at v is given by

$$\langle G(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}' 
angle = \iint_{\omega imes (0,T)} (\mathbf{w} + b\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v}'$$

where  ${\bf w}$  solves, together with  $\eta$  and  $\beta$  . . .

- Boundary control: similar ideas work (up to technical details)
- Density-dependent fluids and optimal control?

Formulation of the problems Existence Characterization of optimality Further comments and questions

THANK YOU VERY MUCH SEE YOU TOMORROW AGAIN!