

(1)

# Mutual interpretability of PA and "finite" ZFC

13.21.2019

"finite" ZFC ( $\equiv f\text{ZFC}$ ): as ZFC but with the  
axiom of pairing:

$$\exists y, \varphi \in y, (\forall z \in y, \text{succ}(z) \in y)$$

where  $\text{succ}(x) := x \cup x + 3$ , replaced  
by its negation.

interpretability:  $T$  in a relativization.  $L_T$  is  
interpretable in  $S$  iff

- each symbol  $R(\bar{x}) \in L_T$  can be "interpreted"  
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists a \in L_S \cdot f(a) \models_R(\bar{x})$ , w.c.
- quantifiers  $\forall/\exists$  relativized to some  
 $L_S$ -formula  $\gamma_{\text{down}}(x)$ , s.t.
- all axioms of  $T$  translate into  
 $L_S$ -sentences provable in  $S$ .

Remarks: (1) I.e., we have a uniform way of defining  
in each world of  $S$  a model of  $T$ .

(2) There are many(!) variants of this concept.

(2.)

## PA interprets a fZFC

- domain of  $\exists/\forall$ :  $\varphi_{\text{dom}}(+) \models "t \text{ is an ordinal}"$
- ~~PA~~ interpret. of  $L_{PA}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} x = y &\rightarrow + = y \\ x \leq y &\rightarrow (x \in y \vee x = y) \\ 0 &\rightarrow \emptyset \\ 1 &\rightarrow \text{succ}(\emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

$\text{and } (\exists x \forall y) \text{ and } (x \cdot y)$  are defined by talking about combinations of the conjunction  $\wedge$  with  $+ \cup x$  as  $x = y$ .

- Non-induction ax's of PA translate into properties of first order combinators proved by the LDP (i.e. the well-ordering of  $\omega$ ). One needs to prove that  $+ \text{ and succ} +$  have different combinabilities, summing up we prove below IND.
- IND: Assume a  $L_{PA}$ -fpa translator with  $\varphi(x)$  violating (the translation of) IND:

$$\varphi(\emptyset), \vdash_{L_{PA}} (\varphi(+ \rightarrow \varphi(\text{succ}+)) \wedge \exists y \in \omega, \varphi(y)).$$

The  $\{x \in \omega \mid \varphi(x)\}$  exist by the comprehension at. ( $y$  is a witness for  $\neg$ ) and it witnesses the ~~ax~~ of  $\omega$ : that is a contradiction as we assume in fZFC it's negative.

D

## FZFC enterprises in PA

G3.

For  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$  define  $\zeta_{p,k}$  from

Ex  $\in$  "2" occurs in the (unique) expression  
of  $v$  as a sum of powers of 2"

Formerly:

$\exists a, b, c \leq v : a < b \rightarrow "2^{\frac{a}{2}b}" \wedge 2b \mid c \wedge a+b+c = v$

To define  $\overline{g}$  on  $L_{\partial X}^n$ -f.g. we use Gårding's lemma (cf. Chpt. 5):

- Sequenz  $s = (w_0, \dots, w_n) : w_0 = 1 \wedge (\forall i < n \ w_{i+1} = 2 \cdot w_i \wedge w_n = 5)$

If we translate  $xey \rightarrow xEy$  ( $a\omega = b =$ )  
Then PA proves all (translations of) first order's.

- (a) - first prove (by induction) that  $\exists x \forall y, y \neq x$ ,  
 i.e. - and then we need a method to show that  
 one's like pairing  $F(x)$ , union  $FU_x$ , powerset  
 $FP(x)$ , comprehension  $\{y | x \in y \wedge P(y)\}$ , etc. are solvable.
- The ~~def.~~ definition of the set. of  $\infty$ : follows from (a).

Remark: At. of choice (i.e. W.o principle) follows from  $\forall x \exists z \subseteq x$  such that  $x \in z$ , where  $\in$  is  $\in_{\text{U} \cup V}$ , and  $\in$  is w.o. (of the LND, i.e. of  $\in$ ).