

1
Observation: $\forall \sigma \in \text{Aff}_2(k) \exists! \bar{\sigma} \in \text{Aff}_2(L)$ $k \subseteq L$

such that $\bar{\sigma}(x) = \sigma(x) \forall x \in A^2(k)$.

T&N In the sequel $\bar{\sigma}$ will denote the extension of $\sigma \in \text{Aff}_2(k)$ to $\text{Aff}_2(L)$ from the observation.

Observation ^(A) Let $a, f \in k[x, y] \subseteq L[x, y]$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aff}_2(k)$

(1) $(\sigma^{-1})^*(a(\sigma^*(x), \sigma^*(y))) = a(\sigma^*(\sigma^{-1})^*(x), \sigma^*(\sigma^{-1})^*(y)) = a(x, y)$,

(2) if $f(x, y) = a(\sigma^*(x), \sigma^*(y)) \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} a = (\sigma^{-1})^*(f)$,

(3) $(\bar{\sigma})^*(a) = \sigma^*(a) \in k[x, y]$.

Observation ^(B) Let $\sigma \in \text{Aff}_2(k)$, $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in L$, $u := \sigma^*(x)(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$,

$\Delta := \sigma^*(y)(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$. Then

(1) $(u, \Delta) \stackrel{(3)}{=} (\sigma^*(x)(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}), \sigma^*(y)(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})) = \bar{\sigma}(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$,

(2) $(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \bar{\sigma}^{-1}(u, \Delta) \Rightarrow k(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}) = k(u, \Delta)$,

(3) $(\sigma^{-1})^*(f)(u, \Delta) = f(\bar{\sigma}^{-1}(u, \Delta)) \stackrel{(2)}{=} f(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta})$.

^{correction!}
Lemma 5.7 Let L be an AFF over k given by $f(x, y) = 0$,

$\mathcal{P} = (\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2) \in A_2(k)$, $A \in GL_2(k)$, $\sigma = \mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{P}_{-\mathcal{P}}$,

$(u, v) = \sigma(x, y)$, $w_\sigma := (\sigma^{-1})^*(L)$. Then

(1) L is an AFF over k given by $w_\sigma(u, v) = 0$.

(2) If f is smooth at $\mathcal{P} \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(k)$, then $\exists A$

such that either $w_\sigma = u$

or $w_\sigma = \lambda(x) + u g(x, y) + v$ where $\lambda \in k[x]$,

$g \in k[x, y]$, $\lambda \neq 0$, $\text{mult}(\lambda) \geq 2$, $\text{mult} g \geq 1$.

(3) Let $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(L) = a_1(x - \mathcal{P}_1) + a_2(y - \mathcal{P}_2)$ for $\mathcal{P} \in V_{\mathcal{P}}(k)$.

Then A is a matrix from (2) (i.e. $\sigma = \mathcal{V}_A \mathcal{P}_{-\mathcal{P}}$ determines

the polynomial w_σ from (2)) if and only if $A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof: (1) $(\sigma^{-1})^*$ is \mathcal{L} -isomorphism & f is irreducible \Rightarrow

by Obs. $\beta(3)$: $w_{\sigma}(u, A) = f(\alpha/\beta) = 0 \Rightarrow (\sigma^{-1})^*(f)$ is irreducible
by Obs. $\beta(2)$: $K(u, A) = K(\alpha/\beta) = L \Rightarrow L$ is given & $w_{\sigma}(u, A) = 0$

(2) $\exists p \in V_f(K) \Rightarrow \exists a_1, a_2 \in K \exists \tilde{f} \in K[x, y]$ with mult $\tilde{f} \geq 2$

such that $f(x, y) = \underbrace{a_1(x - \gamma_1) + a_2(y - \gamma_2) + \tilde{f}(x - \gamma_1, y - \gamma_2)}$

(i) by 3.8: $\Delta_x(f) = \tau_x(L(\tau_x(f))) = \underbrace{a_1(x - \gamma_1) + a_2(y - \gamma_2)}$

(ii) f is smooth at $p \Rightarrow \Delta_x(f) \neq 0 \Rightarrow (a_1, a_2) \neq (0, 0)$

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ c_1 & c_2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(K) \Rightarrow \Delta_1 = \det A \neq 0 \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow A^{-1} = \Delta_1^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} c_2 & -b_2 \\ -c_1 & b_1 \end{pmatrix}$

Since $\sigma = \nu_A \tau_x \Rightarrow \sigma^{-1} = \tau_x \nu_{A^{-1}} \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow (\sigma^{-1})^* = (\nu_{A^{-1}})^* (\tau_x)^*$

4

Compute: $(\sigma^{-1})^*(k) = (V_{A^{-1}})^*(\tau_x)^*(k) = (V_{A^{-1}})^*(a_1x + a_2y + \tilde{f}) =$
 $= \Delta^{-1}(a_1(c_2x - b_2y) + a_2(-c_1x + b_1y)) + (V_{A^{-1}})^*(\tilde{f}(x, y)) =$
 $= \Delta^{-1}((a_1c_2 - a_2c_1)x + (b_1a_2 - b_2a_1)y) + \hat{f} =$

$\hat{f} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{mult } \hat{f} \geq 2$

$\Rightarrow \exists d: (a_1, a_2) = d(c_1, c_2) \Rightarrow \Delta \Leftrightarrow d=1$

$= y + \hat{f} \Leftrightarrow A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_2 \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k)$

As $\text{mult } \hat{f} \geq 2 \exists \lambda \in k[\Delta], g \in k[\Delta, \sigma] : \hat{f} = \lambda(x) + y g(x, \sigma)$
 & $\text{mult } \lambda \geq 2 \Rightarrow \text{mult } g \geq 1$

cf $\lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \text{mult } \hat{f} = (\sigma^{-1})^*(k) = y + (1 + g(x, \sigma)) = y$

(3) follows from (+). $\leftarrow \text{irreducible} \Rightarrow g = 0$

Theorem 88 Let L be an APF over k given by $f(x, y) = 0$ and f be smooth at $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in V_f(k)$.

(1) Then $\exists!$ $P \in \mathbb{P}_{L/k}$ such that $V_P(\alpha - \beta_1) > 0, V_P(\beta - \alpha_2) > 0$.

(2) If $l(x, y) = l_0 + l_1 x + l_2 y \in k[x, y]$, then for P from (1)

$$\text{holds: } V_P(l(\alpha, \beta)) \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } l(\alpha) \neq 0, \\ = 1 & \text{if } l(\alpha) = 0 \text{ \& } l \notin (A_\alpha(\beta)), \\ \geq 2 & \text{if } \text{---} \text{---} \text{ \& } l \in (A_\alpha(\beta)). \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $A_\alpha(\beta) = a_1(x - \alpha_1) + a_2(y - \alpha_2) \neq 0$ since f is smooth at α

~~8.8.1~~
 $\Rightarrow \exists (b_1, b_2): A = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ a_1 & a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(k)$, and $\sigma := \sigma_A \tau_\alpha$

~~8.7~~
 $\Rightarrow \mu_\sigma = (\sigma^{-1})^*(\beta) = \begin{cases} \text{either } \beta \\ \text{or } \alpha(x) + y \sigma(x, 0) + \beta \end{cases}$ is a s.s. system of s.s. holds.

6
 If $w_0 \neq 1 \stackrel{5.7}{\Rightarrow} \exists! P \in \mathbb{P}_{nk} : V_P(\alpha) > 0, V_P(\beta) > 0$
 (& $V_P(\beta) > V_P(\alpha)$)

If $w_0 = 1 \Rightarrow \beta = 0 \Rightarrow L = K(\alpha) \cong K(x)$

$\Rightarrow \exists! P \in \mathbb{P}(K) : V_P(\alpha) > 0$ ($P \in \mathbb{P}(K) \setminus \mathbb{P}_P$)

$\Rightarrow V_P(\alpha) = 1$ & $V_P(\beta) = V_P(0) = \infty > V_P(\alpha)$

Observe that $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - \gamma_1 \\ \beta - \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - \gamma_1 \\ \beta - \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \text{Span}_K(\alpha, \beta) = \text{Span}_K(\alpha - \gamma_1, \beta - \gamma_2) \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow l(\alpha, \beta) - \underbrace{l(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}_{\in K} = l_1(\alpha - \gamma_1) + l_2(\beta - \gamma_2) \in \text{Span}_K(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{P}$

Hence $\boxed{V_P(l(\alpha, \beta)) > 0} \Leftrightarrow l(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{P} \Leftrightarrow l(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{P}(nk)$

We have proved
 $V_P(l(\alpha, \beta)) > 0 \iff l(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{l(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0}$
 $\mathbb{P}(nk)$

Let $l(\gamma) = 0$ on the rest of the proof \Rightarrow

$$\Rightarrow l(\alpha/\beta) = l_1(\alpha - \gamma_1) + l_2(\beta - \gamma_2) \in \text{Span}_K(M_A)$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} V_P(U) = 1 \\ V_P(A) = 1 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{213} \\ \Rightarrow \\ \end{array} V_P(l(\alpha/\beta)) > 1 \Leftrightarrow l_1(\alpha - \gamma_1) + l_2(\beta - \gamma_2) \in \text{Span}(A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (l_1, l_2) A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} = (l_1, l_2) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - \gamma_1 \\ \beta - \gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Span}(A)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists c \in K : (l_1, l_2) A^{-1} = (0, c)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (l_1, l_2) \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ -a_1 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{213} \\ \Delta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a_2 \\ -a_1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists d \in K : (l_1, l_2) = d(a_1, a_2)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow l \in (A_{\neq}(A))$$