

Recall that every WEP is absolutely irreducible (4.9) and if $w \in k[x, s]$ is absolutely irreducible, then (4.10) $K = \widetilde{K}$ in $K(V_w)$. Thus:

Corollary 4.11 If C is a Weierstrass curve, then each $s \in k(C) \setminus K$ is transcendental over K .

Example 4.12: $w = w^2 + w + x^3 + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is WEP

$L :=$ the fraction field of $\mathbb{F}_2[x, s](w) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x, s]_{(w)}/(w)$
 $(\frac{\mathbb{F}_2(V_w)}{(\mathbb{F}_2(V_w))})$

Then $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}_2} = \mathbb{F}_2$ are all (only 2!) algebraic elements of L over \mathbb{F}_2

Then e.g. $X^2 + X + 1$ or $X^3 + X + 1$ are irreducible polynomials over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Similarly: $w \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}[x, s]$ (the same polynomial)
 gives $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}_{2^m}} = \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}(V_w)$.

2

T&N Let $w \in K[x, y]$, L be an AFF over K , $\alpha, \beta \in L$.

We say that w is an AFF in L if given by the equation $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ of (1) $L = K(\alpha, \beta)$

(2) w is irreducible

(3) $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$ in L .

Observation Let $L := K(V_w)$ for irreducible $w \in K[x, y]$ and $\alpha := x + (w), \beta := y + (w)$. Then L is an AFF given by $w(\alpha, \beta) = 0$.

S. Places

In this section K is a field and

$w = yg(x, y) + \lambda(x) + \mu \in K[x, y]$ where $\lambda \in K[x]$ so $g \in K[y]$

$m := \text{mult}(\lambda) \geq 2$ and $\text{mult}(g) \geq 1$

T&N Let $a = \sum_{\substack{i \geq 0 \\ j \geq 0}} a_{ij} x^i y^j \in K[x, y] - \{0\}$

$$\mu(a) := \text{mult}(a(x, y^m)) = \min \{i + jm \mid a_{ij} \neq 0\}$$

"m-weighted multiplicity"

$$N(a) := \{(i, j) \mid i + jm = \mu(a), i \geq 0, j \geq 0\}$$

$$S(a) := \sum_{(i, j) \in N(a)} a_{ij} x^i y^j \in K[x, y] - \{0\}$$

"m-socle"

Observation Let $a, b \in K[x, y] - \{0\}$

$$(1) \text{mult}(a \cdot b) = \text{mult}(a) + \text{mult}(b),$$

$$\text{if } \text{mult}(a) < \text{mult}(b) \Rightarrow \text{mult}(ab) = \text{mult}(a)$$

(a ~~and~~ technical exercise, hint: use the lexicographic order
on indices (i, j) of a_{ij} ; do the analogy of properties of \deg)

$$(2) \mu(a \cdot b) = \text{mult}(a(x, y^m) \cdot b(x, y^m)) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \text{mult}(a(x, y^m)) + \text{mult}(b(x, y^m))$$

$$= \mu(a) + \mu(b)$$

$$\text{if } \mu(a) < \mu(b) \text{ o.e. } \text{mult}(a(x, y^m)) < \text{mult}(b(x, y^m)) \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(a \cdot b) = \mu(a)$$

$$(3) \text{ If } (i+jm) + (k+lm) = \mu(a) + \mu(h) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \mu(a \cdot h) \text{ & } (i+jm) > \mu(a)^4$$

$$\Rightarrow k+lm < \mu(h) \Rightarrow b_{k,l} = 0, \text{ hence:}$$

$$S(a) \cdot S(h) = \sum_{\substack{(i,j) \in S(a) \\ (k,l) \in S(h)}} a_{ij} \cdot b_{k,l} \times^{i+k} y^{j+l} =$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k,l:} a_{ij} b_{k,l} \times^{i+k} y^{j+l} = S(a \cdot h)$$

$$\begin{matrix} i,j,k,l: \\ i+jm + k+lm = \mu(a \cdot h) \end{matrix}$$

$$(4) \mu(a) = \mu(S(a)) \geq \text{mult}(a),$$

$$\text{if } \mu(a) < \mu(h) \stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} S(a \cdot h) = S(a)$$

R&N: Define a K -isomorphism

$$\Lambda: K[x, \delta] \rightarrow K[x, \delta] \text{ & its dual}$$

$$\Lambda(\mu(x, \delta)) = \mu(x, -\alpha(x) - \gamma_2(x, \delta)) \quad (\text{as substitution})$$

5

Lemma S.1. For every $i, j \geq 0$ $\mu(\lambda(x^i y^j)) = i \cdot j \cdot m$ and $\exists \lambda \in K^*$ such that $S(\lambda(x^i y^j)) = \lambda \cdot x^{i+jm}$

Proof: Note that: $\underline{\mu(-\lambda)} = \underline{\mu(\lambda)} = \underline{\text{mult}(\lambda)} = \underline{m}$

$$\underline{\mu(-\gamma g)} = \underline{\mu(\gamma g)} = \underline{\mu(\gamma)} + \underline{\mu(g)} \stackrel{\text{Ob. (2)}}{\Rightarrow} \underline{\mu(\gamma)} = \underline{\text{mult}(\gamma^m)} = \underline{m}$$

$$\geq \underline{\text{mult}(g)} \geq 1 \text{ & Reduces on } m$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\mu(-\lambda - \gamma g)} = \underline{\mu(-\lambda)} = \underline{m}$$

$$\text{and } S(-\lambda - \gamma g) \stackrel{\text{Ob. (4)}}{=} S(-\lambda) = -1 \lambda_m x^m \quad (\text{when } \lambda = \sum \lambda_i x^i)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(\lambda(x^i y^j)) = S(x^i (-\lambda - \gamma g)^j) \stackrel{\text{Ob. (3)}}{=} \underbrace{S(x)^i}_{x^i} \cdot \underbrace{S(-\lambda - \gamma g)^j}_{(-\lambda_m x^m)^j}$$

$$= [(\lambda_m)^j] x^{i+jm} \Rightarrow \mu(\lambda(x^i y^j)) = i \cdot j \cdot m$$

$\in K^*$

(As the assertions are rather technical, illustrate them
on an example)

Example S12 Let $\tilde{w} = (y+x+1)^2 - (x^3+2x+1) \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$

Since $\text{GCD}(x^3+2x+1, \underbrace{(y+x+1)}_{3x^2+2}) = 1$, \tilde{w} is a smooth RP

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Put } w &:= \frac{1}{2}\tilde{w} = \frac{1}{2}(y^2 + x^2 + 2xy + 2x - x^3) = \\ &= y\underbrace{\left(x + \frac{y}{2}\right)}_{g(x,y)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(x^2 - x^3)}_{h(x)} + y \end{aligned}$$

$\text{mult}(g) = 1$, $m := \text{mult}(h) = 2 \Rightarrow w$ is of a required type

$$\mu(g) = \text{mult}\left(\frac{y^2}{2} + x\right) = 1, S(g) = x$$

$$\mu(h) = \text{mult}(h) = 2, S(h) = \frac{1}{2}x^2$$

$$\mu(x^3y^2) = 3 + 2 \cdot 2 = 7, \mu(x^2y^3) = 2 + 3 \cdot 2 = 8$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(x^3y^2 + x^2y^3) = \mu(x^3y^2) = 2$$

$$S(\Lambda(x^3y^2 + x^2y^3)) = S(\Lambda(x^3y^2)) = \frac{1}{4}x^2 \quad (\text{8th proof of S1})$$