

In the sequel Lds an AFP over  $K$  given by  $f(x, y) = 0$

for  $\alpha, \beta$  transcendental

Comment: The case  $\beta$ -algebraic means that  $K(x, \beta) \cong \tilde{K}(x)$  which is trivial from the point of view places and we have described in 2.14

Observation

Let  $w: K[x, y] \rightarrow K[x, \beta]$  be substitution mapping  $w(m) = m(x, \beta)$ , and  $P \neq 0$  be a prime ideal of  $K[x, \beta]$

- (1)  $w$  is a surjective ring homomorphism and  $\text{Ker } w = (f)$ .
- (2)  $(f) \subseteq w^{-1}(P)$  and  $w^{-1}(P)$  is a prime ideal of  $K[x, y] \Rightarrow \exists \mathcal{I}_f \in V_f$  such that  $P = w(\mathcal{I}_f)$
- (3)  $\hat{K} := K[x, \beta]/P = \hat{K}[x + P, \beta + P]$  is  $K$ -algebra,  $P$  is maximal  $\Rightarrow \hat{K}$  is algebraic extension of  $K \Rightarrow [\hat{K}:K] < \infty$
- (4)  $[\hat{K}:K] = 1 \Leftrightarrow K[x, y]/\mathcal{I}_f \cong K \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{I}_f \in V_f(K)$

Comment: We describe  $K$ -rational  $\beta$ -places maximal ideals  $P$

Lemma 5.15 Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_{K[x, y]}$ ,  $\tilde{P} := P \cap K[x, \beta]$

- (1)  $K[x, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow \tilde{P}$  is maximal in  $K[x, \beta]$ ,  $\dim_K K[x, \beta]/\tilde{P} < \infty$  and  $v_P(x) \geq 0, v_P(\beta) \geq 0$
- (2)  $K[x, \beta] \not\subseteq \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow \tilde{P} = 0$  and either  $v_P(x) < 0$  or  $v_P(\beta) < 0$
- (3)  $u = x - \beta$  is a WEP  $\Rightarrow v_P(x) < 0$  &  $v_P(\beta) < 0$  and  $3v_P(x) = 2v_P(\beta)$

Comment: (1) and (2) characterizes the correspondence between primes of  $K[x, \beta]$  and places

Proof (1)  $x, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow v_P(x) \geq 0, v_P(\beta) \geq 0$ , by Observation (3) it is enough to show  $\tilde{P} \neq 0$ .

??  $\tilde{P} = 0 \Rightarrow K[x, \beta] - \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P, P = \mathcal{O}_P^*$   
 $\Rightarrow$  all elements from  $K[x, \beta] - \{0\}$  are invertible in  $\mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow K[x, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P^*$  contradiction  
 Now we can apply Obsen. (3) as  $\tilde{P} \neq 0$

(2) proving by contradiction. ~~Let~~ assume that  $\tilde{P} = 0$   
 $\exists a \in K[x, \beta] - \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow v_P(a) < 0$ , by Observation (3)  $a + \tilde{P}$  is algebraic over  $K$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists m \in K[x]$  of  $\deg m \geq 1: m(a) \in \tilde{P} \subseteq P \Rightarrow v_P(m(a)) \geq 1$   
 but  $v_P(a) < 0 \xrightarrow{2.17} v_P(m(a)) = \deg m \cdot v_P(a) < 0 \leftarrow$  a contradiction  
 $\Rightarrow \tilde{P} \neq 0$ ; indirectly:  $v_P(x) \geq 0, v_P(\beta) \geq 0 \Rightarrow x, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow K[x, \beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P$

(3) Let  $f = y^2 + yg(x) + h(x)$  for  $g, h \in K[x]$ ,  $\deg g \leq 1, \deg h = 3$

Since  $f(\alpha/\beta) = 0 \Rightarrow \beta(\beta + g(\alpha)) = g(\alpha)$ ; Put  $\begin{cases} a_1 = g(\alpha) \\ b_1 = \beta(\beta + g(\alpha)) \end{cases}$ ,  $v_1 = v_P$

Clearly:  $v_P(a) = v(h) \stackrel{DM}{=} v(\beta) + v(\beta + g(\alpha))$

We prove that  $v(\alpha) < 0 \Rightarrow v(\beta) < 0$  and  $v(\beta) < 0 \Rightarrow v(\alpha) < 0$

(a) Assume for contrary ??  $v(\alpha) < 0 \leq v(\beta)$  We use counting rules of Div:  $(Dv1)-(Dv3), 2.13, 2.17$   
 $\Rightarrow \underbrace{3v(\alpha)}_{\text{deg } h} \stackrel{2.17}{=} v(a) = v(h) = \underbrace{v(\beta)}_{>v(\alpha)} + \underbrace{v(\beta + g(\alpha))}_{>v(\alpha)} > 2v(\alpha) \Rightarrow v(\alpha) > 0 \Rightarrow \text{a contradiction}$

(b) Assume ??  $v(\alpha) \geq 0 > v(\beta) \Rightarrow \underbrace{0 \leq v(a)}_{2.17} = v(h) = \underbrace{v(\beta)}_{<0} + \underbrace{v(\beta + g(\alpha))}_{\leq 0} \stackrel{2.13}{=} 2v(\beta) < 0$   
Hence (a) & (b)  $\Rightarrow v(\alpha) < 0 \ \& \ v(\beta) < 0$

(c) Assume ??  $v(\alpha) \leq v(\beta) \Rightarrow 3v(\alpha) = v(a) = v(h) = v(\beta) + v(\beta + g(\alpha)) \geq 2v(\alpha) \Rightarrow v(\alpha) > v(\beta) \Rightarrow$   
 $3v(\alpha) = v(a) = v(h) = v(\beta) + \underbrace{v(\beta + g(\alpha))}_{\geq v(\alpha)} \geq 2v(\alpha) \Rightarrow v(\alpha) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{a contradiction}$   
(FAE)

Proposition 5.16 Let  $P \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K}$ ,  $\text{deg } P = 1$ ,  $f$  be smooth at  $V_P(K)$ .  
Comment: 5.16 describes all places of  $\text{deg } 1$  with  $v_P(a), v_P(b) \geq 0$  as ideals  $P_x$  for  $x \in V_P(K)$ , but  $\exists$  also places of  $\text{deg } > 1$ !!

(1)  $K[\alpha/\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P$   
(2)  $\exists! \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in V_P(K)$  such that  $v_P(\alpha - \gamma_1) > 0, v_P(\beta - \gamma_2) > 0$   
(3)  $\exists! P_x \in V_P(K)$  such that  $P = P_x$ .  
Proof: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Comment:  $\tilde{P}$  is maximal =  $\omega(P_x)$  for some  $x \in V_P$   
Note that  $0 \neq K[\alpha/\beta]/\tilde{P} \stackrel{\text{S.15(1)}}{=} (K[\alpha/\beta] + \tilde{P})/\tilde{P}$  is a subspace of  $K$ -space  $\mathcal{O}_P/\tilde{P}$   
 $\Rightarrow 0 < \dim_K(K[\alpha/\beta]/\tilde{P}) \leq \dim \mathcal{O}_P/\tilde{P} = \text{deg } P = 1 \Rightarrow \dim_K(K[\alpha/\beta]/\tilde{P}) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in V_P(K) \rightarrow$  the existence is proved. (uniquely)  $\begin{cases} \alpha - \gamma_1, \beta - \gamma_2 \in \tilde{P} \\ \alpha - \gamma_1, \beta - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in \tilde{P} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \gamma_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1 \in \tilde{P} \\ \gamma_2, \tilde{\gamma}_2 \in \tilde{P} \end{cases}$   
(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) by S.13 (uniquely clear!)  
(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) since  $\alpha - \gamma_1, \beta - \gamma_2 \in P_x = P$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in K \Rightarrow \alpha/\beta \in K + P_x = \mathcal{O}_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P \Rightarrow K[\alpha/\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_P$

Corollary 5.17 If  $f$  is smooth at  $x \neq \gamma \in V_P(K)$  and  $P \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K}$ ,  $\text{deg } P = 1$ , then either  $\exists \gamma \in V_P(K) : P = P_x$  or  $\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1} \in P$ .

Proof: It follows from 5.16 & 5.15(3).  
Observation: Let  $\tilde{K}$  be the field of constants.

(1) if  $a \in L - \tilde{K} \stackrel{2.5}{\Rightarrow} \exists P \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K} : v_P(a) > 0$   
(2)  $\tilde{K} = \{a \in L \mid v_P(a) = 0 \ \forall P \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K}\}$   
(3) if  $a, b \in L, P \in \mathbb{P}_{L/K}, v_P(a) \neq 0 \neq v_P(b) \stackrel{2.13}{\Rightarrow} v_P(a+b) \neq$   
 $\Rightarrow v_P(a+b) = \min(v_P(a), \gamma v_P(b))$  for all  $\gamma$  except at most one  $\gamma$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists \gamma_0 : \forall \gamma \geq \gamma_0$  the equality holds true.

Comment: Later we will show that for  $f$  w.o.P the condition  $\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1} \in P \Rightarrow \text{deg } P = 1$  &  $\exists!$  such  $P$  which finishes the description of places of  $\text{deg } = 1$

Recall:  $\tilde{K} = \{a \in L \mid a \text{ algebraic over } K\}$   
 $\Rightarrow L - \tilde{K} =$  all transcendental elements of  $L$

Lemma 5.18 Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}_{L|K}$  be pairwise distinct,

$n \geq 1$ ,  $v_i := v_{P_i} \forall i=1, \dots, n$ ,  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then:

(1)  $\exists \Delta \in L^* : v_1(\Delta) > 0$  and  $v_i(\Delta) < 0 \forall i=2, \dots, n$

(2)  $\exists \Delta \in L : v_i(\Delta - a_i) > r \forall i=1, \dots, n$

Comment: This technical lemma (with quite "ugly" proof based on heavy computation) which is needed for important Weak Approximation Theorem. The formulation contains two steps of proof; we will use the result (2) later. We will prove WAT first and then we will prove this lemma.

Theorem 5.19 (Weak Approximation Theorem)

Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $P_1, \dots, P_n \in \mathcal{P}_{L|K}$  be pairwise distinct.

If  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\exists \Delta \in L$  such that  $v_{P_i}(\Delta - a_i) = r_i \forall i=1, \dots, n$

Comment: 5.19 says that we can find for any <sup>finite</sup> system of places  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  and elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  on a single element  $\Delta$  for which  $\Delta \equiv a_i \pmod{P_i^{r_i}}$  where "the dept"  $r_i$  (we have  $P_i \supseteq P_i^2 \supseteq P_i^3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq P_i^{r_i}$ ) is arbitrary. (This is the approximation)

Proof: Fix  $h_i \in L$  such that  $v_i(h_i) = r_i \forall i=1, \dots, n$

(we can do it since  $P_i^{r_i} - P_i^{r_i+1} \neq 0$  and  $h_i \in P_i^{r_i} - P_i^{r_i+1}$ )

We use 5.18(2) two times:

(with  $v_i := v_{P_i}$ ,  $r_i = \max\{r_i, 0\}$ )

$\exists \Delta \in L : v_i(\Delta - h_i) > r_i \geq r_i \forall i=1, \dots, n$  (by 5.18(2) and

$\exists \Delta \in L : v_i(\Delta - (\Delta + a_i)) > r_i \geq r_i \forall i=1, \dots, n$  — u —

$$\Rightarrow \Delta - a_i = \underbrace{(\Delta - (\Delta + a_i))}_{> r_i} + \underbrace{(\Delta - h_i)}_{> r_i} + \underbrace{h_i}_{= r_i}$$

compute  $v_i(\Delta - a_i)$ :

2.13.

$$\Rightarrow v_i(\Delta - a_i) = v_i(h_i) = r_i$$