

Approximation properties of the classes of flat modules originating from algebraic geometry

International Conference in Homological Algebra

University of Kentucky, Lexington, July 23, 2015

Jan Trlifaj (Univerzita Karlova, Praha)

Motivation - the Quillen-Hovey theory

[Quillen'1967]

Let \mathcal{G} be a Grothendieck category. The unbounded derived category $D(\mathcal{G})$ can be studied via model category structures on the category $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ of unbounded chain complexes over \mathcal{G} :

Morphisms between A and B of $D(\mathcal{G})$ are the $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$ -morphisms between cofibrant and fibrant replacements of A and B , respectively, modulo chain homotopy.

[Hovey'2002]

Such model category structures correspond to functorially complete cotorsion pairs in $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{G})$.

A basic example from algebraic geometry

$\mathcal{G} = Qcoh(X)$, the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on a scheme X .

Quasi-coherent sheaves as representations

Let X be a scheme and \mathcal{O}_X its structure sheaf.

[Enochs-Estrada'2005]

A **quasi-coherent sheaf** Q on X can be represented by an assignment

- to every affine open subscheme $U \subseteq X$, an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module $Q(U)$ of sections, and
- to each pair of embedded affine open subschemes $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$, an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -homomorphism $f_{UV} : Q(U) \rightarrow Q(V)$ such that

$$\text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_X(V)} \otimes f_{UV} : \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} Q(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} Q(V) \cong Q(V)$$

is an $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ -isomorphism.

+ compatibility conditions for the f_{UV} .

Properties of the representations

Exactness

The functors $\mathcal{O}_X(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} -$ are exact, i.e., the $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ are flat.

The affine case

If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ for a commutative ring R , then $Qcoh(X) \cong \text{Mod-}R$.

Non-uniqueness of the representations

Not all affine open subschemes are needed: a set of them, \mathcal{S} , covering both X , and all $U \cap V$ where $U, V \in \mathcal{S}$, will do.

Extending properties of modules to quasi-coherent sheaves

Examples

If each module of sections is

- projective,
- (restricted) flat Mittag-Leffler,
- flat,

then the quasi-coherent sheaf Q is called

- an infinite dimensional vector bundle,
- (restricted) Drinfeld vector bundle,
- flat quasi-coherent sheaf.

[Raynaud-Gruson'1971, Estrada-Guil-T.'2014]

The notions above are **local**, i.e., independent of the representation (choice of the affine open covering \mathcal{S} of the scheme X).

Flat Mittag-Leffler modules

[Raynaud-Gruson'1971]

A module M is **flat Mittag-Leffler** provided that each finite subset of M is contained in a countably generated projective and pure submodule of M .

Notation: \mathcal{FM} .

[Herbera-T.'2012]

Equivalently: M is locally \mathcal{C} -free, where \mathcal{C} is the class of all countably presented projective modules.

A basic definition

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of countably presented modules.

A module M is **locally \mathcal{C} -free** provided there exists a set $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ consisting of submodules of M such that

- each countable subset of M is contained in a module from \mathcal{S} , and
- \mathcal{S} is closed under unions of countable chains.

Cohomology of quasi-coherent sheaves

[Gillespie'2004, Estrada-Guil-Prest-T.'2012]

Introduce a method of constructing functorially complete cotorsion pairs in $\mathcal{C}(\text{Qcoh}(X))$, and hence model category structures, using complete cotorsion pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of modules such that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$.

A pair of classes $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a **complete cotorsion pair** in $\text{Mod-}R$ if

- $\mathcal{A} = {}^\perp \mathcal{B} := \{A \in \text{Mod-}R \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(A, B) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$,
- $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^\perp$,
- for each module M there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ (i.e., \mathcal{A} is a **special precovering class**), and
- for each module M' there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow A' \rightarrow 0$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ (i.e., \mathcal{B} is a **special preenveloping class**).

Module approximations

A class of modules \mathcal{A} is **precovering** if for each module M there is $f \in \text{Hom}_R(A, M)$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that each $f' \in \text{Hom}_R(A', M)$ with $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ factorizes through f :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & M \\ \uparrow & \nearrow f' & \\ A' & & \end{array}$$

f is an **\mathcal{A} -precover** of M . If f is also right minimal (i.e., f factorizes through itself only by an automorphism of A), then f is an **\mathcal{A} -cover** of M . If f is surjective and $\text{Ext}_R^1(\mathcal{A}, \text{Ker}(f)) = 0$, then f is called **special**. If \mathcal{A} provides for covers (special precovers) of all modules, then \mathcal{A} is called a **covering (special precovering)** class.

Preenveloping and **(special) enveloping** classes are defined dually.

Transfinite extensions

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of modules. A module M is **\mathcal{C} -filtered** (or a **transfinite extension** of the modules in \mathcal{C}), provided that there exists an increasing sequence $(M_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \sigma)$ consisting of submodules of M such that $M_0 = 0$, $M_\sigma = M$,

- $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta$ for each limit ordinal $\alpha \leq \sigma$, and
- for each $\alpha < \sigma$, $M_{\alpha+1}/M_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an element of \mathcal{C} .

Notation: **Trans**(\mathcal{C}).

Example

Let R be a ring and \mathcal{C} the class of all simple modules. Then **Trans**(\mathcal{C}) is the class of all semiartinian modules.

The abundance of approximations

[Eklof-T.'2000]

For each set of modules \mathcal{S} , there is a complete cotorsion pair $({}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp), \mathcal{S}^\perp)$.

[Enochs'2012, Šťovíček'2012]

The class $\text{Trans}(\mathcal{S})$ is precovering for each set of modules \mathcal{S} .

Some examples

[Enochs et al.]

- For each ring R and each $n \geq 0$, the class \mathcal{P}_n is special precovering, \mathcal{F}_n is covering, and \mathcal{I}_n is special preenveloping.
- For each Iwanaga-Gorenstein ring R , the class \mathcal{GP} is special precovering, and \mathcal{GI} is special preenveloping.
- ...

Does \mathcal{FM} fit in this context?

Flat Mittag-Leffler approximations

Theorem (Angeleri-Šaroch-T.)

\mathcal{FM} is (pre) covering, iff R is a right perfect ring (i.e., $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0$).

What is the obstruction for existence of precovers?

Bass modules

Let R be a ring, and \mathcal{C} be a class of countably presented modules.

$\varinjlim_{\omega} \mathcal{C}$ denotes the class of all **Bass modules** over \mathcal{C} , that is, the modules B that are countable direct limits of modules from \mathcal{C} . W.l.o.g., such B is the direct limit of a chain

$$C_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} C_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} \dots \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} C_i \xrightarrow{f_i} C_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \dots$$

with $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f_i \in \text{Hom}_R(C_i, C_{i+1})$ for all $i < \omega$.

Classic Bass modules

Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all finitely generated projective modules. Then the Bass modules coincide with the countably presented flat modules.

If R is not right perfect, then an instance of such a classic Bass module B arises when $F_i = R$ and f_i is the left multiplication by a_i ($i < \omega$).

Note: B is not projective, hence not flat Mittag-Leffler.

Proof of the theorem

It suffices to prove that if R is not right perfect, then the class \mathcal{FM} is not precovering.

Let B be a non-projective classic Bass module. Assume there exists a \mathcal{FM} -precover $f : F \rightarrow B$. Let $K = \text{Ker}(f)$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow F \xrightarrow{f} B \rightarrow 0.$$

Let κ be an infinite cardinal such that $|R| \leq \kappa$ and $|K| \leq 2^\kappa = \kappa^\omega$. Then there exists a 'tree-module' short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow B^{(2^\kappa)} \rightarrow 0$$

such that $G \in \mathcal{FM}$ and D is a free module of rank κ . Clearly, $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$.

Let $\eta : K \rightarrow E$ be a $\{G\}^\perp$ -preenvelope of K with a $\{G\}$ -filtered cokernel. Consider the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & K & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & F & \xrightarrow{f} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \eta \downarrow & & \varepsilon \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{\subseteq} & P & \xrightarrow{g} & B \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \text{Coker}(\eta) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Coker}(\varepsilon) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Then $P \in \mathcal{FM}$. Since f is an \mathcal{FM} -precover, there exists $h : P \rightarrow F$ such that $fh = g$. Then $f = g\varepsilon = fh\varepsilon$, whence $K + \text{Im}(h) = F$. Let $h' = h \upharpoonright E$. Then $h' : E \rightarrow K$ and $\text{Im}(h') = K \cap \text{Im}(h)$.

Consider the ‘restricted’ exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Im}(h') \xrightarrow{\subseteq} \operatorname{Im}(h) \xrightarrow{f \upharpoonright \operatorname{Im}(h)} B \longrightarrow 0.$$

As $E \in G^\perp$ and $G \in \mathcal{P}_1$, also $\operatorname{Im}(h') \in G^\perp$. Applying $\operatorname{Hom}_R(-, \operatorname{Im}(h'))$ to the ‘tree-module’ exact sequence above, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_R(D, \operatorname{Im}(h')) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_R^1(B, \operatorname{Im}(h'))^{2^\kappa} \rightarrow 0$$

where the first term has cardinality $\leq |K|^\kappa \leq 2^\kappa$, so the second term must be zero.

This yields $\operatorname{Im}(h') \in B^\perp$. Then $f \upharpoonright \operatorname{Im}(h)$ splits, and so does the \mathcal{FM} -precover f , a contradiction with $B \notin \mathcal{FM}$. □

The general case

Šaroch's lemma on Bass modules

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of countably presented modules, and \mathcal{L} the class of all locally \mathcal{C} -free modules.

Let B be a Bass module over \mathcal{C} such that B is not a direct summand in a module from \mathcal{L} .

Then **the Bass module B has no \mathcal{L} -precover.**

A connection to tilting theory

T is a (large) **tilting module** provided that

- $\text{pd}(T) < \infty$,
- $\text{Ext}_R^i(T, T^{(X)}) = 0$ for each $i \geq 1$ and each set X ,
- There exists $r < \omega$ and an exact sequence
 $0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow T_0 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow T_r \rightarrow 0$ with $T_j \in \text{Add}(T)$ for all $j \leq r$.

$\mathcal{T}_T := T^\perp$ is the **right tilting class**, and

$\mathcal{A}_T = {}^\perp(T^\perp)$ is the **left tilting class** induced by T .

The tilting generalization

Replacements

The projective module $R \leftrightarrow$ any tilting module T ,

$\mathcal{P}_0 \leftrightarrow$ the left tilting class \mathcal{A}_T ,

$\mathcal{F}_0 \leftrightarrow$ the direct limit closure $\varinjlim \mathcal{A}_T$,

$\mathcal{FM} \leftrightarrow$ the class \mathcal{L} of all **locally T -free modules**, i.e., the locally \mathcal{C} -free modules, where \mathcal{C} is the class of all countably presented modules from \mathcal{A}_T .

Theorem (Angeleri-Šaroch-T.)

\mathcal{L} is (pre) covering, iff $\mathcal{A}_T = \varinjlim \mathcal{A}_T$, iff each pure embedding in $\text{Add}(T)$ splits (i.e., T is **Σ -pure split**).

The dual setting: contraherent cosheaves

Definition (Positselski)

Let X be a scheme and \mathcal{O}_X its structure sheaf.

A **contraherent cosheaf** P on X can be represented by an assignment

- to every affine open subscheme $U \subseteq X$, of an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module $P(U)$ of cosections, and
- to each pair of embedded affine open subschemes $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$, an $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -homomorphism $g_{VU} : P(V) \rightarrow P(U)$ such that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}(\mathcal{O}_X(V), g_{VU}) : P(V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}(\mathcal{O}_X(V), P(U))$$

is an $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ -isomorphism.

+ compatibility conditions for the g_{VU} .

A drawback and a remedy

The drawback

The $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -module $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ is only flat, but not projective in general, so the Hom-functor above is not exact.

The remedy

Exactness is forced by an extra condition on the contraherent cosheaf P :

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}^1(\mathcal{O}_X(V), P(U)) = 0.$$

Moreover, the $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ -modules $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ are very flat ...

Very flat modules

Definition

A module M over a commutative ring R is **very flat** provided that $M \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$ where $\mathcal{S} = \{R[s^{-1}] \mid s \in R\}$ and $R[s^{-1}]$ denotes the localization of R at the multiplicative set $\{1, s, s^2, \dots\}$.

Notation: $\mathcal{VF} := {}^\perp(\mathcal{S}^\perp)$.

Lemma (Positselski)

Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings such that the induced morphism of affine schemes $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ is an open embedding. Then S is a very flat R -module.

Basic properties of very flat modules

- $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{VF} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0 \cap \mathcal{P}_1$.
- There is a complete cotorsion pair $(\mathcal{VF}, \mathcal{CA})$. The modules in \mathcal{CA} are called **contraadjusted**.
- $\mathcal{VF} = \text{Trans}(\mathcal{VF}^{\leq \omega})$.

Locally very flat modules

Definition

A module M is **locally very flat** provided there exists a set \mathcal{E} consisting of countably presented very flat submodules of M such that each countable subset of M is contained in an element of \mathcal{E} , and \mathcal{E} is closed under unions of countable chains.

Notation: \mathcal{LV} .

Basic properties

Since $\mathcal{P}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{VF}$, we have $\mathcal{FM} \subseteq \mathcal{LV} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_0$.

Also $\mathcal{EC} \subseteq \mathcal{CA}$. If R is a domain, then $\mathcal{DI} \subseteq \mathcal{CA}$.

Example: the case of a Dedekind domain

Lemma (Slávik-T.)

Let R be a Dedekind domain and M be a module.

- $\mathcal{VF} = \text{Trans}(\mathcal{T})$, where \mathcal{T} is the set of all submodules of the modules in \mathcal{S} .
- If M is of finite rank, then $M \in \mathcal{VF}$, iff there exists $0 \neq s \in R$ such that $M \otimes_R R[s^{-1}]$ is a projective $R[s^{-1}]$ -module.
- ('Pontryagin Criterion') $M \in \mathcal{LV}$, iff each finite subset of M is contained in a countably generated very flat pure submodule of M , iff each finite rank submodule of M is very flat.

Locally very flat modules and precovers

Theorem (Slávik-T.)

Let R be a noetherian domain. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- \mathcal{LV} is a (pre) covering class,
- \mathcal{VF} is a covering class,
- $\text{Spec}(R)$ is finite,
- $\mathcal{VF} = \mathcal{F}_0$.

In this case, R has Krull dimension 1.

References

1. L.Angeleri Hügel, J.Šaroch, J.T.: *Approximations and Mittag-Leffler conditions*, preprint (2014).
2. L.Positselski: *Contraherent cosheaves*, preprint (2012), arXiv:1209.2995v4.
3. A.Slávík, J.T.: *Very flat and locally very flat modules*, preprint (2015).