

Embedding algebras into semimodules

David Stanovský

Charles University in Prague
Czech Republic

Novosibirsk State Technical University
Russia

stanovsk@karlin.mff.cuni.cz
<http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~stanovsk>

International Conference on Order, Algebra, and Logics
Nashville 2007



Why linear/affine representations?

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>
<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>
<i>f</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>g</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>

... a mess



Why linear/affine representations?

	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>a</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>
<i>b</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>
<i>c</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>
<i>d</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>
<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>
<i>f</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>
<i>g</i>	<i>f</i>	<i>c</i>	<i>g</i>	<i>d</i>	<i>a</i>	<i>e</i>	<i>b</i>

... a mess

This is just $(\mathbb{Z}_7, *)$ with $x * y = 2x + 4y$.
reduct of an abelian group (linear representation)



Subreducts of semimodules

- ▶ \mathbf{A} is called a *reduct* of \mathbf{B} , if all operations of \mathbf{A} are terms of \mathbf{B} .
- ▶ \mathbf{A} is called a *subreduct* of \mathbf{B} , if it is a subalgebra of a reduct of \mathbf{B} .



Subreducts of semimodules

- ▶ **A** is called a *reduct* of **B**, if all operations of **A** are terms of **B**.
- ▶ **A** is called a *subreduct* of **B**, if it is a subalgebra of a reduct of **B**.

Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, +, \alpha \cdot : \alpha \in R)$ be a semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} :

Terms of \mathbf{B} = expressions

$$t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{R}$.



Subreducts of semimodules

- ▶ **A** is called a *reduct* of **B**, if all operations of **A** are terms of **B**.
- ▶ **A** is called a *subreduct* of **B**, if it is a subalgebra of a reduct of **B**.

Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, +, \alpha \cdot : \alpha \in R)$ be a semimodule over a semiring \mathbf{R} :

Terms of \mathbf{B} = expressions

$$t(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{R}$.

- ▶ *Semiring* = “ring without subtraction”
- ▶ *Semimodule* = “module without subtraction”



Embedding into semimodules

Problem

Determine subreducts of semimodules.



Embedding into semimodules

Problem

Determine subreducts of semimodules.

Theorem (J. Ježek, 1979)

Every algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule.



Embedding into semimodules

Problem

Determine subreducts of semimodules.

Theorem (J. Ježek, 1979)

Every algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule.

Problem

Determine subreducts of semimodules over **commutative** semirings.

- ▶ **Commutativity** of the semiring yields **entropic** algebras.
- ▶ **Idempotent** + **entropic** = **modes**.



Embedding into semimodules

Problem

Determine subreducts of semimodules.

Theorem (J. Ježek, 1979)

Every algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule.

Problem

Determine subreducts of semimodules over **commutative** semirings.

- ▶ **Commutativity** of the semiring yields **entropic** algebras.
- ▶ **Idempotent** + **entropic** = **modes**.

Anna Romanowska (1990's): Which **modes** are subreducts of semimodules over **commutative** semirings?



Szendrei modes

Idempotency:

$$f(x, x, \dots, x) = x$$

Entropy (= all operations commute each other)

$$f(g(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}), \dots, g(x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mn})) = \\ g(f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{m1}), \dots, f(x_{1n}, \dots, x_{mn}))$$

Szendrei identities (= replace only one pair)

$$f(f(x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n}), \dots, f(x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nn})) = \\ f(f(x_{\pi(11)}, \dots, x_{\pi(1n)}), \dots, f(x_{\pi(n1)}, \dots, x_{\pi(nn)}))$$

where $\pi : ij \leftrightarrow ji$ for a single fixed ij .



Subreducts are Szendrei modes

Consider a subreduct (A, f) of a semimodule over a commutative semiring \mathbf{R} . Hence

$$f(a, b, c) = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R$.



Subreducts are Szendrei modes

Consider a subreduct (A, f) of a semimodule over a commutative semiring \mathbf{R} . Hence

$$f(a, b, c) = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(x_1, x_2, x_3), f(y_1, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = \\ \alpha^2 x_1 + \alpha \beta x_2 + \alpha \gamma x_3 + \beta \alpha y_1 + \beta^2 y_2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(f(x_1, y_1, x_3), f(x_2, y_2, y_3), f(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = \\ \alpha^2 x_1 + \alpha \beta y_1 + \alpha \gamma x_3 + \beta \alpha x_2 + \beta^2 y_2 + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha \beta = \beta \alpha$, Szendrei identity follows.



Main theorem

Theorem

An *idempotent* algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring if and only if it is a *Szendrei mode*.



Main theorem

Theorem

An *idempotent* algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring if and only if it is a *Szendrei mode*.

More general theorem (Michał Stronkowski)

A *Szendrei entropic* algebra *with onto operations* is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring.



Main theorem

Theorem

An *idempotent* algebra is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring if and only if it is a *Szendrei mode*.

More general theorem (Michał Stronkowski)

A *Szendrei entropic* algebra *with onto operations* is a subreduct of a semimodule over a commutative semiring.

J. Ježek and T. Kepka proved these results for binary algebras in early 1980's.



The embedding I.

Fix a Szendrei mode $\mathbf{A} = (A, f_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma)$.

- ▶ Let $\Omega = \{\alpha_{\sigma,i} : \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ } n\text{-ary, } i = 1, \dots, n\}$.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{R}_Σ denote the polynomial semiring $\mathbb{N}[\Omega]/\theta$, where the congruence θ is generated by all pairs

$$(\alpha_{\sigma,1} + \dots + \alpha_{\sigma,n}, 1).$$



The embedding I.

Fix a Szendrei mode $\mathbf{A} = (A, f_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma)$.

- ▶ Let $\Omega = \{\alpha_{\sigma,i} : \sigma \in \Sigma \text{ } n\text{-ary}, i = 1, \dots, n\}$.
- ▶ Let \mathbf{R}_Σ denote the polynomial semiring $\mathbb{N}[\Omega]/\theta$, where the congruence θ is generated by all pairs

$$(\alpha_{\sigma,1} + \dots + \alpha_{\sigma,n}, 1).$$

- ▶ On an \mathbf{R}_Σ -semimodule \mathbf{M} define operations

$$g_\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \alpha_{\sigma,1}a_1 + \dots + \alpha_{\sigma,n}a_n.$$

Then $(M, g_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma)$ is a Szendrei mode.

Where do we get a suitable \mathbf{R}_Σ -semimodule \mathbf{M} ?



The embedding II.

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{F}(A)$ denote the free \mathbf{R}_Σ -semimodule over A .
- ▶ *Á. Szendrei*: $\langle A \rangle_{(F(A), g_{\sigma:\sigma \in \Sigma})}$ is a free Szendrei mode.



The embedding II.

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{F}(A)$ denote the free \mathbf{R}_Σ -semimodule over A .
- ▶ *Á. Szendrei*: $\langle A \rangle_{(F(A), g_{\sigma:\sigma \in \Sigma})}$ is a free Szendrei mode.
- ▶ Let ρ be the relation on $F(A)$ consisting of all pairs

$$(u + \omega b, \quad u + \omega\alpha_{\sigma,1}a_1 + \omega\alpha_{\sigma,2}a_2 + \dots + \omega\alpha_{\sigma,n}a_n),$$

where $b = f_\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (in \mathbf{A})
and $u \in F(A)$, $\omega \in \Omega^*$ arbitrary.

- ▶ Let $\bar{\rho}$ be the congruence of $\mathbf{F}(A)$ generated by ρ .



The embedding II.

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{F}(A)$ denote the free \mathbf{R}_Σ -semimodule over A .
- ▶ *Á. Szendrei*: $\langle A \rangle_{(F(A), g_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma)}$ is a free Szendrei mode.
- ▶ Let ρ be the relation on $F(A)$ consisting of all pairs

$$(u + \omega b, \quad u + \omega\alpha_{\sigma,1}a_1 + \omega\alpha_{\sigma,2}a_2 + \dots + \omega\alpha_{\sigma,n}a_n),$$

where $b = f_\sigma(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ (in \mathbf{A})
and $u \in F(A)$, $\omega \in \Omega^*$ arbitrary.

- ▶ Let $\bar{\rho}$ be the congruence of $\mathbf{F}(A)$ generated by ρ .

Theorem

\mathbf{A} embeds into $(F(A)/\bar{\rho}, g_\sigma : \sigma \in \Sigma)$, by $a \mapsto a/\bar{\rho}$.



Non-embeddable modes

- ▶ Free modes (a syntactical proof by M. Stronkowski)
- ▶ A small example: ternary algebra $(\{0, 1, 2\}, f)$ with

$$f(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 2 - x & \text{if } y = z = 1, \\ x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Differential modes (many of them)



Affine representation

Linear representation = (semi)module term

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

Affine representation = (semi)module polynomial

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \mathbf{c}$$



Affine representation

Linear representation = (semi)module term

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n$$

Affine representation = (semi)module polynomial

$$f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + \mathbf{c}$$

Theorem (Ježek-Kepka, Stronkowski)

There is a (non-idempotent) binary algebra, which is *affine* but *non-linear* over a semimodule over *commutative* semiring.



Module representations

Quasi-linear = subreduct of a module

Quasi-affine = polynomial subreduct of a module

- ▶ They are *abelian*, i.e. for every term t

$$\begin{aligned}t(x, u_1, \dots, u_k) &= t(x, v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ \Rightarrow t(y, u_1, \dots, u_k) &= t(y, v_1, \dots, v_k).\end{aligned}$$



Module representations

Quasi-linear = subreduct of a module

Quasi-affine = polynomial subreduct of a module

- ▶ They are *abelian*, i.e. for every term t

$$\begin{aligned} t(x, u_1, \dots, u_k) &= t(x, v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ \Rightarrow t(y, u_1, \dots, u_k) &= t(y, v_1, \dots, v_k). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Under various additional assumptions, *abelian* algebras are *quasi-affine* (e.g., congruence modularity, C. Herrman; more by Á. Szendrei and K. Kearnes)
- ▶ *R. Quackenbush*: Not all *abelian* algebras are *quasi-affine* + an infinite scheme of quasiidentities for quasi-affineness



Quasi-affine = quasi-linear ?

???Theorem??? (S.+Stronkowski)

Quasi-affine algebras are quasi-linear.

1. use Ježek's embedding of \mathbf{A} into a semimodule \mathbf{M}
2. take the smallest congruence α of \mathbf{M} such that the factor is +-cancellative (thus \mathbf{M}/α is a subreduct of a module)
3. quasiidentities describing that $\alpha \cap A^2$ is trivial
4. check that quasi-affine algebras satisfy them



Racapitulation

Semimodules:

	affine		linear
general	ALL	\Leftrightarrow	ALL
commutative semiring	?	$\not\Leftrightarrow$?
commutative, idempotent	Szendrei modes	\Leftrightarrow	Szendrei modes

Modules:

	affine		linear
general	Q. axioms	\Leftrightarrow	Q. axioms
commutative ring	?	(?)	?
commutative, idempotent	(Q. modes)	\Leftrightarrow	(Q. modes)



Racapitulation

Semimodules:

	affine		linear
general	ALL	\Leftrightarrow	ALL
commutative semiring	?	$\not\Leftrightarrow$?
commutative, idempotent	Szendrei modes	\Leftrightarrow	Szendrei modes

Modules:

	affine		linear
general	Q. axioms	\Leftrightarrow	Q. axioms
commutative ring	?	(?)	?
commutative, idempotent	(Q. modes)	\Leftrightarrow	(Q. modes)

Problem

Is every abelian **mode** quasi-affine (i.e. Quackenbush axioms)?



References

Embeddings into semimodules:

- D. Stanovský, *Idempotent subreducts of semimodules over commutative semirings*, submitted.
- M. Stronkowski, *Embeddings of entropic algebras*, PhD Thesis, Warsaw U. of Tech., 2006.
- J. Ježek, *Terms and semiterms*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 20 (1979), 447–460.
- J. Ježek, T. Kepka, *Medial groupoids*, Rozprawy ČSAV 93/2, 1983.
- J. Ježek, T. Kepka, *Linear equational theories and semimodule representations*, Int. J. Algebra Comput. 8 (1998), 599–615.
- A. Kravchenko, A. Pilitowska, A. Romanowska, D. Stanovský, *Differential modes*, 2007.

Szendrei modes

- Á. Szendrei, *Identities satisfied by convex linear forms*, Alg. Universalis 12 (1981), 103–122.

All about modes

- A. Romanowska, JDH Smith, *Modes*. World Scientific, 2002.
- A. Romanowska, *Semi-affine modes and modals*, Sci. Math. Japon. 61 (2005), 159–194.

Quasi-affine algebras

- R. Quackenbush, *Quasi-affine algebras*, Algebra Universalis 20 (1985), 318–327.
- Á. Szendrei, *Modules in general algebra*, in: Contributions to General Algebra 10 (Proc. Klagenfurt Conf., 1997), Johannes Heyn, Klagenfurt, 1998; pp. 41–53.

