

Theory exploration for working algebraists

David Stanovský

Charles University in Prague
Czech Republic

stanovsk@karlin.mff.cuni.cz
<http://www.karlin.mff.cuni.cz/~stanovsk>

Automatheo Workshop, July 2010

Automated reasoning in algebraic research

Current state:

- first order ATP
 - problems in a small theory, mostly equational problems
 - quasigroups, semigroups, algebraic logic
 - user makes conjectures, computer provides proofs (sometimes)
- nothing else (to my knowledge)

Automated reasoning in algebraic research

Current state:

- first order ATP
 - problems in a small theory, mostly equational problems
 - quasigroups, semigroups, algebraic logic
 - user makes conjectures, computer provides proofs (sometimes)
- nothing else (to my knowledge)

Future:

- smarter methods?
(combination of various techniques, building conjectures, restricted higher order languages, knowledge bases ...)

I. Structure theorems

- automatically derive structure theorems in the spirit of, say, classification of finite abelian groups
- automatically derive representation theorems in the spirit of, say, classification of cyclic groups, or of finite fields

II. Term conditions

- does an algebra have a term satisfying certain equational condition?
- does one term condition imply another?
- “beautification” of term conditions

Structure theorems, finite abelian groups

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group. Then G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order.

Key lemma. If G is an abelian group, A, B its subgroups, $A \cap B = \{1\}$, $AB = G$, then $G \simeq A \times B$.

Proof of theorem.

If G is not cyclic, let A be the largest cyclic subgroup, assume there is no such B , compute for a while, get contradiction.

If G is cyclic, use Chinese Remainder theorem.

Structure theorems, finite abelian groups

Theorem

Let G be a finite abelian group. Then G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order.

Key lemma. If G is an abelian group, A, B its subgroups, $A \cap B = \{1\}$, $AB = G$, then $G \simeq A \times B$.

Proof of theorem.

If G is not cyclic, let A be the largest cyclic subgroup, assume there is no such B , compute for a while, get contradiction.

If G is cyclic, use Chinese Remainder theorem.

A simpler theorem. If G is **any** abelian group **of finite exponent**, then G is isomorphic to a direct product of its prime components

$$G_p = \{a : \text{the order of } a \text{ is } p^k \text{ for some } k\}.$$

Structure theorems, finite abelian groups

structure theorem:

Theorem (Finite abelian groups)

Let G be a finite abelian group. Then G is isomorphic to a direct product of cyclic groups of prime power order.

representation theorem:

Theorem (Cyclic groups)

Let G be a finite cyclic group. Then $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_n$ for some n .

combine:

Corollary

Let G be a finite abelian group. Then $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{k_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n^{k_n}}$.

Structure theorems, differential modes

Differential mode = an algebra $A = (A, *)$ satisfying

$$x * x = x, \quad x * (y * z) = x * y, \quad (x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$$

Left projection algebra = an algebra $A = (A, *)$ with $x * y = x$.

Theorem

*Let A be a differential mode. Then A is a **Mal'cev product** of left projection algebras.*

I.e., there is a congruence α of A such that all blocks $[a]_\alpha$ are left projection algebras, and the factor A/α is also left projection algebra.

Proof. Put $\alpha = \{(a, b) : x * a = x * b \text{ for all } x\}$. Easy to verify.

A more challenging variant: differential modes of higher arities.

$$\alpha = \{(a, b) : f(x, y, a) = f(x, y, b) \text{ and } f(x, a, y) = f(x, b, y) \text{ for all } x, y\}$$

Structure theorems, simple LDLQ

Left distributive left quasigroup = an algebra $A = (A, *, \backslash)$ satisfying

$$x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z), \quad x * (x \backslash y) = x \backslash (x * y) = y$$

simple = no non-trivial congruences

Theorem

Let A be a simple LDLQ. Then A is either *idempotent* ($x * x = x$), or *does not depend on the first variable* ($x * y = f(y)$ for some f).

Proof. Define $\alpha = \{(a, b) : a^m = b^n \text{ for some } m, n\}$. It is a congruence, A/α is idempotent, blocks are subalgebras that do not depend on the first variable. If A is simple, either $\alpha = 0$ and A is idempotent, or $\alpha = 1$ and the latter holds.

Case 1. (David Joyce): it can be represented by conjugation classes in simple groups with $x * y = xyx^{-1}$.

Case 2. (easy): $|A|$ is prime and f a permutation with a single cycle.

Structure theorems, algorithmically?

Maybe the following approach could work:

Hardwire:

- structural concepts - substructures, generators, congruences, products, etc.
- tricks to prove structure theorems

Algorithm:

- inputs a set of axioms
- tries to instantiate structural concepts to fit assumptions of the tricks

Term conditions

strong Mal'cev condition = “there is a term t satisfying ...”

Mal'cev condition = “ $\exists n$ s.t. there are terms t_1, \dots, t_n satisfying ...”

Example:

Let \mathcal{K} be an equationally defined class of algebras. TFAE:

- 1 for all $A \in \mathcal{K}$, all congruences of A permute one another
- 2 there is a term t such that every $A \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies

$$t(x, x, y) = t(y, x, x) = y.$$

Term conditions

strong Mal'cev condition = “there is a term t satisfying ...”

Mal'cev condition = “ $\exists n$ s.t. there are terms t_1, \dots, t_n satisfying ...”

Example:

Let \mathcal{K} be an equationally defined class of algebras. TFAE:

- 1 for all $A \in \mathcal{K}$, all congruences of A permute one another
- 2 there is a term t such that every $A \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies

$$t(x, x, y) = t(y, x, x) = y.$$

Questions:

- Does a given (finite) algebra satisfy a term condition?
- Does one Mal'cev condition imply another one? (For all finite algebras? For all finitely related algebras?)
- Given a Mal'cev condition, can you find a nicer one, equivalent to it?

Some important term conditions

Taylor: t that cannot be interpreted with projection

weak near-unanimity(n): $t(yxx \dots x) = t(xyx \dots x) = \dots = t(xxx \dots xy)$

cyclic(n): $t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = t(x_2, \dots, x_n, x_1)$

Siggers: $t(x, y, y, z) = t(y, x, z, x)$

Jónsson(k): $t_0 = x$, $t_k = z$, $t_i(x, x, y) = t_{i+1}(x, x, y)$ for i even,
 $t_i(x, y, y) = t_{i+1}(x, y, y)$ for i odd, $t_i(x, y, x) = x$.

near-unanimity(n): $t(yxx \dots x) = t(xyx \dots x) = \dots = t(xxx \dots xy) = x$
(all idempotent)

- (easy to do) prove $\exists n$ near unanimity(n) $\Rightarrow \exists k$ Jónsson(k)
- (a challenge) prove $\exists k$ Jónsson(k) \Rightarrow weak near unanimity(3)
- (Valeriote's problem) nice conditions for omitting types

Term conditions, a different problem

Let \mathcal{K} be an equationally defined class of algebras, in the language of a single binary operation $*$. TFAE:

- 1 all $A \in \mathcal{K}$ have well defined algebra of subalgebras
- 2 there are terms t, s such that every $A \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies

$$(x * y) * (u * v) = t(x, u) * s(y, v).$$

An open problem:

prove that if \mathcal{K} is idempotent, then every $A \in \mathcal{K}$ satisfies

$$(x * y) * (u * v) = (x * u) * (y * v).$$

(i.e., beautification to the extent that $t(x, u) = x * u$ and $s(y, v) = y * v$)