

Representation theory of GL_n and S_m , characters

We already learned the notion of rational representation for linear algebraic group, their complete reducibility and many other properties. We shall start by an exercise:

Exercise 1: Let us consider the embedding $GL_n(K) \times GL_m(K) \hookrightarrow GL_{m+n}(K)$
and prove
$$A, B \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

a/ $GL_n(K) \times GL_m(K)$ is Zariski closed in $GL_{m+n}(K)$,

b/ There is canonical isomorphism $K[GL_n] \otimes_K K[GL_m] \xrightarrow{\sim} K[GL_n \times GL_m]$

$$f \otimes g \mapsto ((A, B) \mapsto f(A) \otimes g(B))$$

(start from the subspace $M_n \times M_m \subset M_{m+n}$)

c/ For $G \subset GL_n(K)$, $H \subset GL_m(K)$ subgroups, $G \times H \subset GL_{m+n}(K)$
via $G \times H \hookrightarrow GL_n(K) \times GL_m(K) \hookrightarrow GL_{m+n}(K)$. Then b/
induces an isomorphism $K[G] \otimes_K K[H] \xrightarrow{\sim} K[G \times H]$

(b/ \Rightarrow the map exists and is unique; choose a basis (over K)
 $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ of $K[G]$ and assume the function $\sum_i f_i \otimes h_i$ is
identically $= 0$ on $G \times H$. Then it follows $h_i(B) = 0 \forall B \in H$.)

For $G \subset GL(V)$ ^{lin.} alg. group, if the represent. of G on $V^{\otimes m}$ is
completely reducible for $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, then \forall rational representation of G
is completely reducible. E.g., \forall rational represent. of $T_n \subset GL_n(K)$
(diagonal matrices = Cartan subgroup) is completely reducible.

Frobenius reciprocity (motivated by the structure of induced modules
for the pair of finite groups (group and its
subgroup).)

Def 2: Let $H \subset G \subset GL_n(K)$ linear algebraic groups,
 W a rational H -module. Then the induced G -module

$$\text{Ind}_H^G(W) := \left\{ \eta: G \rightarrow W \text{ regular} \mid \eta(gk^{-1}) = k\eta(g) \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{l} G \subseteq \text{End}(V), W \subseteq W \\ \text{closed} \quad \quad \quad \text{closed} \\ \text{regular map is a restr. of} \\ \text{polyn. map } \text{End}(V) \rightarrow W \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{Hom}_H(G, W)$$

$$\text{or, } \text{Ind}_H^G(W) = [K[G] \otimes W]^H, \quad k \cdot (f \otimes w) := f^k \otimes kw \\ k \in H, g \in G \quad f^k(g) := f(g \cdot k)$$

(\Rightarrow $\text{Ind}_H^G(W)$ is a loc. finite, rational G -mod.)

Theorem 3: Let $H < G < GL_n(K)$ linear alg. groups, V a rational G -module and W a rational H -module. There is canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_G(V, \text{Ind}_H^G(W)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_H(V|_H, W)$$

given by $\varphi \mapsto e_W \circ \varphi$ with $e_W: \text{Ind}_H^G(W) \rightarrow W$

Pf: The map $\varphi \mapsto e_W \circ \varphi$ is well-defined and linear. $\alpha \mapsto \alpha(e)$, $e \in G$.

Its inverse is: for $\psi: V|_H \rightarrow W$ a H -linear map and $v \in V$, we define $\varphi_v: G \rightarrow W$ by $\varphi_v(g) := \psi(g^{-1}v)$. The map φ_v is clearly H -equivariant, and so $\varphi_v \in \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$. Another elementary calculation shows that $\varphi: V \rightarrow \text{Ind}_H^G(W)$

is G -equivariant linear map, and $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_v$ is the inverse map to $\varphi \mapsto e_W \circ \varphi$. \square

Unipotent subgroup of $GL_n(K)$, fixed vectors and highest weights

For $i \neq j, s \in K$, define $u_{ij}(s) := E + sE_{ij} \in GL_n(K)$
 \uparrow unit matrix \quad elementary matrix

We have $u_{ij}(s) \cdot u_{ij}(s') = u_{ij}(s+s')$, hence

$U_{ij} := \{ u_{ij}(s) \mid s \in K \}$ is 1-param. subgroup of $GL_n(K)$,

isomorphic to a additive group $(K, +, 0) : U_{ij} \cong K^+$.

Moreover, U_{ij} is normalized by T_m (Cartan subgroup of $GL(V)$):

$$t U_{ij}(s) t^{-1} = U_{ij}(t_i t_j^{-1} s) \text{ for all } t = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & & \\ & t_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \in T_m, s \in K.$$

It is well-known that the elements $U_{ij}(s)$, $i < j$ and $s \in K$, generate the subgroup U_m of upper triangular matrices which are unipotent

$$U_m := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & \dots & * \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \langle U_{ij}(s) \mid i < j, s \in K \rangle.$$

standard unipotent subgroup of $GL_n(K)$.

Lemma 4: Let λ be a weight of W , $w \in W_\lambda$ a weight vector. Then there are elements $w_k \in W_{\lambda + k(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, with $w_0 = w$ such that $U_{ij}(s)w = \sum_{k \geq 0} s^k w_k$, $s \in K$.

Pf: The map $\varphi: K \rightarrow W$
 $s \mapsto U_{ij}(s)w$ is a polynomial map, because

W is a rational GL_n -module and $\det U_{ij}(s) = 1$. Therefore,

$\varphi(s) = \sum_{k \geq 0} s^k \cdot w_k$ for suitable $w_k \in W$. For $t \in T_m$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} t \cdot \varphi(s) &= t U_{ij}(s)w = (t U_{ij}(s) t^{-1})(t w) = U_{ij}(t_i t_j^{-1} s)(t w) \\ &= U_{ij}(t_i t_j^{-1} s)(\lambda(t) \cdot w) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda(t)(t_i t_j^{-1} s)^k \cdot w_k \quad \forall s \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $t \cdot w_k = \lambda(t)(t_i t_j^{-1})^k \cdot w_k \quad \forall k \geq 0$, so $w_k \in W_{\lambda + k(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j)}$. \square

Analogously, let W be a non-trivial $GL_n(K)$ -module. Then

$W^{U_m} \neq 0$, and for $w \in W^{U_m}$ a weight vector of weight λ

and $W' := \langle GL_n w \rangle \subset W$ the $GL_n(K)$ -submodule generated

by w , the weight space W'_λ is equal to Kw and the other weights of W' are all $\prec \lambda$ (in the order on \mathfrak{h}^*).

Exercise 5: $A = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n \in M_n(K)$ the determinants of submatrices $A_r = \{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^r$, $\det A_r$, are called the principal minors. Show that $U_n^{-1} T_n U_n$ ($U_n^{-1} \equiv U_n^T$) is the set of matrices in $M_n(K)$ whose principal minors are all $\neq 0$. In particular, $U_n^{-1} T_n U_n$ is Zariski dense in $M_n(K)$. $U_n^{-1} T_n U_n \equiv$ open cell of GL_n .

For $\text{char}(K) = 0$, $GL_n(K)$ -module W , then W is simple iff $\dim W^{\lambda_n} = 1$. In this case W^{λ_n} is a 1-dimensional weight space W_λ and all other weights of W are $\prec \lambda$.

Exercise 6: Assume $\text{char}(K) = 2$, $V = K^2$ and $G = GL_2(K)$ acting on $W = S^2 V = \text{Sym}^2 V$ (second symmetric power of V)
 a/ $W^{\lambda_2} = K e_1^2$, $\langle GL_2(K) e_1^2 \rangle = K e_1^2 \oplus K e_2^2$ is isomorphic to V ,

b/ $(W^*)^{\lambda_2} = K x_1 x_2 \oplus K x_2^2$, $K x_1 x_2$ is the determinant represent.
 and $\langle GL_2(K) x_2^2 \rangle = W^*$.

For $\text{char}(K) = 0$, if W is simple $GL_n(K)$ -module then $\text{End}_{GL_n}(W) = K$.

Example 7: $\text{char}(K) = 0$, $V = K^n$

a/ $GL_n(K)$ -modules $\Lambda^j V$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ ($V = K^n$) are simple with h.w. $\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_j$. The $GL_n(K)$ -modules $S^k V$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are simple with highest weight $k \epsilon_1$. $(\Lambda^j V)^{\lambda_n} = K(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_j)$,
 $(S^k V)^{\lambda_n} = K e_1^k$.

b/ if W is a simple $GL_n(K)$ -module of h.w. $\lambda = p_1 \epsilon_1 + \dots + p_n \epsilon_n$, then the dual module W^* is simple of h.w. $\lambda^* := -p_n \epsilon_1 - p_{n-1} \epsilon_2 - \dots - p_1 \epsilon_n$ ($p_n \epsilon_1 + \dots + p_1 \epsilon_n = \sigma_0 \cdot \lambda$ is the lowest weight of W , $\sigma_0 \in S_n$: $i \xrightarrow{\sigma_0} n+1-i$, and the weights of W^* are $\{\lambda - \mu \mid \mu \text{ a weight of } W\}$).

Exercise 8: Show that the vectorspace $M'_n := \{A \in M_n(K) \mid \text{Tr}(A) = 0\}$ form a simple module over $GL_n(K)$ w.r.t. $(g, A) \mapsto g \cdot A \cdot g^{-1}$, of highest weight $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_n$.

Two simple GL_n -modules are isomorphic if and only if they have the same highest weight. For example, this allows explicit characterization of $S_m \times GL_n$ -module structure of $V^{\otimes m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$:

Proposition 9: Let $V = K^n$. The $S_m \times GL_n$ -module $V^{\otimes m}$ admits an isotypic decomposition of the form

$$V^{\otimes m} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} V_{\lambda}(m) = \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} \otimes L_{\lambda}(m), \quad \text{where}$$

$L_{\lambda}(m)$ is a GL_n -module of h.w. λ , M_{λ} is a simple S_m -module and λ runs through the set $\{\sum p_i \epsilon_i \mid p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n \geq 0, \sum p_i = m\}$.

Irreducible characters of $GL(V)$ and S_m : $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Characters of } \text{polyn. repr. of } GL_n \\ \text{Schur } \begin{array}{l} \text{polynomials} \\ \text{irred.} \end{array} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{Characters of symmetric groups} \end{array} \right\}$
char(K)=0

T_n -- Cartan subgroup of $GL_n(K)$
 (subgroup of diagon. matrices)

$\rho: GL_n(K) \rightarrow GL(W)$
 rational repr.

$$\chi_{\rho}: (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \text{Tr} \left(\rho \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & x_n \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad \text{character of } \rho^{\otimes m} \chi_W$$

Lemma 10: Let ρ, ρ' be rational repr. of GL_n .

a/ $\chi_{\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots]$, and $\chi_{\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for ρ polynomial.

b/ χ_{ρ} is a symmetric function.

c/ If ρ, ρ' are equivalent repr. of GL_n , then $\chi_{\rho} = \chi_{\rho'}$.

Pf: a/ and c/ follow from definition. As for b/: this follows from the action of S_n on T_n by conjugation. In fact, the group S_n of permutation matrices in GL_n normalizes Cartan

↳ subgroup (torus T_n):

$$\sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & & \\ & t_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & t_n \end{pmatrix} \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} t_{\sigma(1)} & & & \\ & t_{\sigma(2)} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \\ & & & & t_{\sigma(n)} \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow S_n \text{ acts on the character group } \mathcal{X}(T_n) \text{ defined by } \sigma(\chi(t)) := \chi(\sigma^{-1}t\sigma)$$

For a rational represent. $\rho: GL_n \rightarrow GL(W) \Leftrightarrow \sigma(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon_{\sigma(i)} \forall i=1, \dots, n$
 the linear map $\rho(\sigma)$ induces an isomorphism

$$W_\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{\sigma(\lambda)} \quad (\Rightarrow \text{the weights of } W \text{ are invariant under the action of } S_n.) \quad \blacksquare$$

As a consequence of character theory, χ_W determines the weights and their multiplicities of (rational repr. W), so that two representations with the same character are equivalent.

Proposition 11: a/ W_1, W_2 rational repr. of GL_n . Then $\chi_{W_1 \oplus W_2} = \chi_{W_1} + \chi_{W_2}$ and $\chi_{W_1 \otimes W_2} = \chi_{W_1} \cdot \chi_{W_2}$.

b/ If W is an irred. repr., polynomial of degree m . Then χ_W is a homogen. pol. of degree m .

c/ The character of W^* is $\chi_{W^*}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \chi_W(x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1})$.

Examples 12: $V = K^n$, $\chi_{V^{\otimes m}} = (x_1 + \dots + x_n)^m$,

$$\chi_{S^2 V} = \sum_{i \leq j} x_i x_j, \quad \chi_{\Lambda^2 V} = \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j, \quad \chi_{\det} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n$$

$$\chi_{V^*} = x_1^{-1} + \dots + x_n^{-1}, \quad \chi_{\Lambda^{n-1} V} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_1 \dots \hat{x}_i \dots x_n = (x_1 \dots x_n) \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{x_n} \right)$$

$S^j V$: $\chi_{S^j V}$ are complete symm. pol.: $h_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_j}$,
 " $\chi_{S^j V}$

generating function of $\{\chi_{S^j V}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - x_i t} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j t^j, \quad h_j \equiv \text{special examples of Schur polynomial.}$$