

①

(Covariants)

$V, W$  - fin. dim  $K$ -vector spaces,  $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$  is morphism (polynomial map) if the coordinate functions of  $\varphi$  w.r. to some basis of  $V$  are pol. fns on  $W$  ( $f \mapsto \varphi^* f$ ; basis independent).  
 E.g.  $W \rightarrow \underbrace{W \otimes \dots \otimes W}_{r \text{ times}}$ ,  $w \mapsto w \otimes \dots \otimes w$ , or  
 $W \rightarrow S^r(W)$ ,  $r$ -th symmetric power of  $W$ ,  $w \mapsto w^{\otimes r}$ .

$\varphi: W \rightarrow V$ ,  $\varphi^*(f) = f \circ \varphi \in O[W]$  for  $f \in O[V]$ ,  
 and  $\varphi^*: K[V] \rightarrow K[W]$  algebra homomorphism, which  
 determines  $\varphi$ : in the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  we have  $V \xrightarrow{\sim} K^n \Rightarrow$   
 $K[V] \xrightarrow{\sim} K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ ,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$  of  $\varphi: W \rightarrow K^n$  is  
 $\varphi_i = \varphi^*(y_i)$ .

Exercises: a)  $\varphi \mapsto \varphi^*$  defines a bijection between set of morphisms  $W \rightarrow V$  and  $K$ -alg. homom.  $K[V] \rightarrow K[W]$ .  
 If  $\varphi^*$  is surjective, then  $\varphi$  is injective;  $\varphi^*$  is injective iff  $\varphi(W)$  is Zariski dense in  $V$ .  
 b) Every morphism  $\varphi$  can be written uniquely as a sum of homog. components of  $\varphi$  (i.e.,  $\varphi^*(V^*) \subseteq K[W]_d$ ;  
 $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$  is  $d$ -homogeneous if  $\varphi(\lambda w) = \lambda^d \varphi(w)$   
 $\forall w \in W, \lambda \in K$ .)  
 c)  $K[SL(2, K)]$  the algebra of functions  $f|_{SL(2, K)}$ ,  
 $f \in K[M_2(K)]$ . Show that the kernel of restriction  
 map  $K[M_2] \rightarrow K[SL(2)]$  is the ideal  
 generated by  $\det$ :

$$K[SL(2)] = K[\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \bar{d}] \cong K[a, b, c, d] / (ad - bc - 1).$$

(2)  $W, V$  -  $G$ -modules,  $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$  morphism satisfying  $\varphi(g \cdot w) = g \cdot \varphi(w) \forall w \in W, g \in G$  is equivariant morphism.

Def 2:  $W, V$  -  $G$ -modules, a covariant of  $W$  of type  $V$  is a  $G$ -equivariant morphism (polyn. map)  $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$ .

Example 3:  $W \rightarrow W \otimes W \otimes \dots \otimes W$  } covariants w.r. to  $GL(W)$   
 $W \rightarrow S^r(W)$

Number of constructions with covariants:

- $\varphi: W \rightarrow V$  covariant,  $f \in K[V]^G$  an invariant  $\Rightarrow f \circ \varphi \in K[W]^G$
- $V_1, V_2$   $G$ -modules,  $p: V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow U$  projection on some  $G$ -module  $U$   
 $\varphi_1$  covariant of type  $V_1$   $\Rightarrow (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)_U: W \xrightarrow{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2)} V_1 \times V_2 \xrightarrow{p} U$   
 $\varphi_2$   $\xrightarrow{\parallel}$   $V_2$  is covariant of type  $U$

E.g.  $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ , standard repr. of  $SL(2)$  on  $V = K^2$ . Then

$V \otimes V \cong S^2 V \oplus K$ , the projection  $p: V \otimes V \rightarrow K$  is

$(x_1, x_2) \otimes (y_1, y_2) \mapsto x_1 y_2 - y_1 x_2$ , so for  $\varphi, \psi$  covariants of type  $V$  we get  $(\varphi, \psi)_K = \varphi_1 \psi_2 - \varphi_2 \psi_1$   
 $(\varphi \equiv (\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \psi \equiv (\psi_1, \psi_2))$  covariant of type  $K$ .

In general, covariants of a fixed type form a module over the ring of invariants.

- For any  $GL(n)$ -module  $W$  two covariants of type  $M_n$  can be multiplied via (linear projection = matrix multiplication)  $p: M_n \otimes M_n \rightarrow M_n$ , so the covariants of type  $M_n$  form a (non-commutative) algebra over the ring of invariants.

$\varphi: W \rightarrow V$  covariant of type  $V$ ,  $\varphi^*|_{V^*}: V^* \rightarrow K[W]$  is  
 $\lambda \mapsto \lambda \circ \varphi$

$G$ -homomorphism, and  $\varphi^*$  (hence,  $\varphi$ ) is completely determined by this linear map  $\varphi^*|_{V^*}$ :

③ Prop. 4: Let  $W, V$  be  $G$ -modules. The covariants of  $W$  of type  $V$  are in bijection with the  $G$ -hom.  $V^* \rightarrow K[W]$ .

Pf: Alg. hom.  $K[V] \rightarrow K[W]$  are in bijective correspondence with  $K$ -linear maps  $V^* \rightarrow K[W]$ . Thus morphisms  $W \rightarrow V$  and  $K$ -linear maps  $V^* \rightarrow K[W]$  are bijective, which induces a bijection between the subset of  $G$ -equivariant morphisms and the subset of  $G$ -homomorphisms.  $\square$

Exercise 5: a/ For  $G = GL(W)$  or  $G = SL(W)$ . The only covariants of  $W$  of type  $W$  are the scalar multiples of  $\text{Id}$ ,  $t \cdot \text{Id} : W \rightarrow W, t \in K$ .

b/  $W$  a representation of  $SL(2)$ ,  $U \leq SL(2)$  the subgroup of upper triangular unipotent matrices. There is an isomorphism

$$K[W \oplus K^2]^{SL(2)} \cong K[W]^U$$

$$f \longmapsto \bar{f}, \quad \bar{f}(w) = f(w, (1, 0)).$$

### Classical invariant theory

Problem: Describe generators + relations for the ring of invariants  $K[W]^G$ .

First fundamental th. (FFT) specifies generators,  
 Second " " (SFT) " " relations.

Exercise 6:  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{\text{Id}, \sigma\}$  acts on fin. dim. v. space  $V$  by  $\sigma(v) = -v$  ( $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ ). Determine generators for the ring of invariants  $K[V]^\sigma = K[V]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ .

(Hint: Invariants are even degree polynomials.)

4

Remark 7: Sometimes one has to replace  $K$  by alg. extension  $L$  or alg. closure  $\bar{K}$  of  $K$ , and to use geometric arguments.

For  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  on  $W = W/K$ , we have for  $W_{\bar{K}} := \bar{K} \otimes_K W$  that  $\bar{K} \otimes_K K[W]^G = \bar{K}[W_{\bar{K}}]^G$ .

Here on  $\bar{K}[W_{\bar{K}}]^G$  we can replace  $G$  by  $\bar{G}$ ,  $G < \bar{G}$ , with a representation  $\bar{\rho}: \bar{G} \rightarrow GL(W_{\bar{K}})$  provided that  $\rho(G)$  is Zariski dense in  $\bar{\rho}(\bar{G}) < GL(W_{\bar{K}})$ . A typical examples are  $G = GL_n(K), SL_n(K)$  with  $\bar{G} = GL_n(\bar{K}), SL_n(\bar{K})$ .

Theorem 8 (Hilbert)  $W$  a  $G$ -module, assume the  $G$ -mod  $K[W]$  is completely reducible (i.e., direct sum of irreducibles.) Then  $K[W]^G$  is finitely generated.

Pf (Outline):  $G$ -mod  $K[W]$  is completely reducible  $\Rightarrow$  there is  $G$ -equivariant projection  $R: K[W] \rightarrow K[W]^G$  (it is identity on  $K[W]^G$ .)  $R$  is called Reynolds operator, and we have  $R(hf) = hR(f)$  for  $h \in K[W]^G$  and  $f \in K[W]$ . It follows that  $R(K[W]I) = K[W]I \cap K[W]^G = I$ .

for any ideal  $I \subseteq K[W]^G$ . Denote by  $I_R$  the maximal homogeneous ideal  $I_R := \bigoplus_{d>0} K[W]_d^G$  of  $K[W]^G$ .

By Hilbert basis theorem,  $K[W]I_R \subseteq K[W]$  is finitely gener. ideal, i.e.  $\exists f_1, \dots, f_s \in I_R$  homog. polyn. s.t.  $K[W]I_R = (f_1, \dots, f_s)$ . But then  $I_R = R(K[W]I_R)$ , as an ideal of  $K[W]^G$ , is also generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_s$ . By Exercise 9, the homog. system of generators of  $I_R$  is also a system of generators for  $K[W]^G$ .  $\square$

⑤ In the same way as we did it for finite groups, the proof shows  $K[W]^G$  is Noetherian (equivalently, every ideal of  $K[W]^G$  is finit. generated: it is a consequence of  $K[W]I \cap K[W]^G = I$  and Noetherian property of  $K[W]$ .)

Exercise 9:  $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$  graded  $K$ -algebra,  $A_i \cdot A_j \subseteq A_{i+j}$ ; assume the ideal  $A^+ = \bigoplus_{i > 0} A_i$  is fin. gener. Then  $A$  is finitely generated  $A_0$ -algebra: if  $A^+$  is generated by homog. elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , then  $A = A_0[a_1, \dots, a_n]$ .

In char  $K=0$  case, we have explicit bound for the degree of gener.

Th. 10: (Noether) Assume  $\text{char}(K)=0$ . Then  $\forall$  repr.  $W$  of finite group  $G$  is  $K[W]^G$  generated by the invariants of degree  $\leq$  to the order of  $G$ .

(The proof was already discussed.) In general, there are various bounds on the degree of generators, most optimal for cyclic or abelian groups.