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#### Abstract

We investigate the convergence of GMRES for an $n$ by $n$ Jordan block $J$. For each $k$ that divides $n$ we derive the exact form of the $k$ th ideal GMRES polynomial and prove the equality $$
\max _{\|v\|=1} \min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\|p(J) v\|=\min _{p \in \pi_{k}\|v\|=1} \max _{\| v}\|p(J) v\|,
$$ where $\pi_{k}$ denotes the set of polynomials of degree at most $k$ and with value one at the origin, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. In other words, we show that for a Jordan block worst-case GMRES and ideal GMRES in these steps are the same. Moreover, we derive lower and upper bounds on the norm of the $k$ th ideal GMRES polynomial in these steps. For the Jordan block with eigenvalue one, we present an explicit formula for its singular value decomposition and use it to improve the bound on the ideal GMRES residual norm in the considered steps $k$.
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## 1 Introduction

The GMRES method of Saad and Schultz [9] is a very popular iterative method for solving systems of linear algebraic equations $A x=b$. Its convergence behavior has been investigated for many years, but a complete understanding

[^0]still remains elusive. A natural approach, that is common throughout numerical analysis, is to study the algorithm's worst-case behavior. Here for each iteration step $k$ one needs to analyze the quantity
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\left\|r_{0}\right\|=1} \min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\left\|p(A) r_{0}\right\|, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\pi_{k}$ denotes the set of polynomials of degree at most $k$ and with value one at the origin, $r_{0} \equiv b-A x_{0}$ is the initial residual, and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Changing the order of maximization and minimization in (1) leads to the ideal GMRES approximation problem [5],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\|p(A)\| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the submultiplicativity property of the Euclidean norm, (2) is an upper bound for (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\left\|r_{0}\right\|=1} \min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\left\|p(A) r_{0}\right\| \leq \min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\|p(A)\| . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To better understand the convergence behavior of GMRES, several researchers tried to identify cases in which (3) is an equality. The best known result of this type is that (3) is an equality whenever $A$ is normal [4,7]. In addition, (3) is an equality for arbitrary $A$ and $k=1[4,7]$, for triangular Toeplitz matrices when the right hand side of (3) equals one [2], and also when the matrix $p_{*}(A)$ that solves the ideal GMRES approximation problem (2) has a simple maximal singular value [4, Lemma 2.4]. On the other hand, some examples of nonnormal matrices have been constructed, for which (3) is a sharp inequality $[2,11]$. Despite the existence of these counterexamples, it is still an open question whether (3) is an equality (or at least tight inequality) for larger classes of nonnormal matrices.

In this paper we investigate the ideal GMRES approximation problem and the inequality (3) for a general Jordan block, the prototype of a nonnormal matrix. Understanding of this case appears to be a prerequisite for the analysis of other classes of nonnormal matrices, particularly the general triangular Toeplitz matrices. We show that (3) is an equality whenever $k$ divides $n$. For such $k$ we also derive the exact form of the ideal GMRES polynomial, and bounds on the actual value of (2) (in this case coinciding with (1)). For the special case of a Jordan block with eigenvalue one we develop a very tight bound on (2) in the considered steps $k$.

Apart from providing general understanding of the GMRES convergence behavior, the investigation of the behavior for a (single) Jordan block can be
interesting in practical applications. For example, discretized convection-diffusion operators can often be considered the direct sums of several nonsymmetric Toeplitz matrices, each of which close to a Jordan block. In such cases the initial phase of convergence of GMRES for the direct sum of Jordan blocks can be analyzed using knowledge about the GMRES convergence for a single Jordan block (see e.g. [8] for details).

## 2 GMRES, worst-case GMRES and ideal GMRES

Let a linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
A x=b, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a nonsingular matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and a right hand side vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be given. Suppose that we solve (4) with GMRES [9]. Starting from an initial guess $x_{0}$, this method computes the initial residual $r_{0} \equiv b-A x_{0}$ and a sequence of iterates $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$, so that the $k$ th residual $r_{k} \equiv b-A x_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|r_{k}\right\|=\left\|p_{k}(A) r_{0}\right\|=\min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\left\|p(A) r_{0}\right\| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The residual $r_{k}$ is uniquely determined by the minimization condition (5) and satisfies the equivalent orthogonality condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k} \in r_{0}+A \mathcal{K}_{k}\left(A, r_{0}\right), \quad r_{k} \perp A \mathcal{K}_{k}\left(A, r_{0}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{K}_{k}\left(A, r_{0}\right)=\operatorname{span}\left\{r_{0}, A r_{0}, \ldots A^{k-1} r_{0}\right\}$ is the $k$ th Krylov subspace generated by $A$ and $r_{0}$, and $\perp$ means orthogonality with respect to the Euclidean inner product.

In this paper we are interested in the worst-case and the ideal GMRES behavior, cf. (1) and (2), respectively. The corresponding polynomials are formally defined in the following definition.

Definition 1 Suppose that $k$ and $n$ are integers with $k<n$. Then the polynomial $\psi_{k} \in \pi_{k}$ is called the $k$ th worst-case GMRES polynomial of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if there exists a vector $r_{0}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left\|r_{0}^{(k)}\right\|=1$, such that

$$
\left\|\psi_{k}(A) r_{0}^{(k)}\right\|=\min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\left\|p(A) r_{0}^{(k)}\right\|=\max _{\|v\|=1} \min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\|p(A) v\| .
$$

Furthermore, the polynomial $\varphi_{k} \in \pi_{k}$ is called the $k$ th ideal GMRES polyno-
mial of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, if it satisfies

$$
\left\|\varphi_{k}(A)\right\|=\min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\|p(A)\|=\min _{p \in \pi_{k}} \max _{\|v\|=1}\|p(A) v\| .
$$

In case $A$ is nonsingular, and $\left\|\varphi_{k}(A)\right\| \neq 0$, the ideal GMRES polynomial $\varphi_{k}$ is uniquely determined [5, Theorem 2]. Furthermore, it is easy to see that the worst-case GMRES polynomial $\psi_{k}$ also always exists. However, when $\left\|\psi_{k}(A) r_{0}^{(k)}\right\|<\left\|\varphi_{k}(A)\right\|$, it is unclear whether $\psi_{k}$ is unique.

The following useful result follows from [4, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 2 If the ideal GMRES matrix $\varphi_{k}(A)$ of $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ has a simple maximal singular value, then $\varphi_{k}=\psi_{k}$, and $r_{0}^{(k)}$ is the right singular vector of $\varphi_{k}(A)$ corresponding to its maximal singular value.

Furthermore, the following statements are equivalent:

1. Equality holds in (3).
2. There exists a unit norm vector $r_{0}$ and a polynomial $\psi \in \pi_{k}$, such that $\psi$ is the $k$ th GMRES polynomial for $A$ and $r_{0}$, and $r_{0}$ lies in the span of right singular vectors of $\psi(A)$ corresponding to its maximal singular value.

In addition, if 2. holds, then $\psi=\psi_{k}=\varphi_{k}$.
The following result about ideal and worst-case GMRES for triangular Toeplitz matrices was derived in [2].

Lemma 3 If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is an $n$ by $n$ triangular Toeplitz matrix, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{k}(A)\right\|=1 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad\left\|\psi_{k}(A) r_{0}^{(k)}\right\|=1 . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, ideal GMRES for A stagnates (up to step $k$ ) if and only if worst-case GMRES for A stagnates (up to step $k$ ), and in this case (3) is an equality.

While the ideal and worst-case GMRES residual norms for triangular Toeplitz matrices are the same in case of stagnation, it is in general unknown if they agree when ideal GMRES converges. In fact, this open question posed in [2, p. 722] to some extend motivated our work presented in this paper.

## 3 Considerations about $J_{\lambda}$ and technical lemmas

We are concerned with the convergence behavior of ideal and worst-case GMRES for a real $n \times n$ Jordan block,

$$
J_{\lambda}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\lambda & 1 & &  \tag{8}\\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \ddots & 1 \\
& & & \lambda
\end{array}\right] \equiv \lambda I_{n}+E_{n}
$$

If $\lambda=0$, the polynomial numerical hull of $J_{\lambda}$ of each degree contains the origin, which implies that ideal GMRES completely stagnates [1-3]. Hence of interest in our context is only the nonsingular case, i.e. $\lambda \neq 0$. Moreover, we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda>0$, since all results for this case can be easily transferred to the case $\lambda<0$ using the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\lambda}=-I_{n}^{ \pm} J_{-\lambda} I_{n}^{ \pm} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}^{ \pm} \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(1,-1,1,-1, \ldots,(-1)^{n-1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we will make use of the reverse identity matrix defined by

$$
I_{n}^{R} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll} 
& & 1  \tag{11}\\
& . & \\
& . & \\
1 & &
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}
$$

When it is clear from the context, we will skip the index $n$ that denotes the matrix size.

Lemma 4 Suppose that $T$ is any real Toeplitz matrix, and that $T=U S V^{T}$ is its singular value decomposition. Then $V=I^{R} U \hat{I}^{ \pm}$, where $\hat{I}^{ \pm}$denotes a diagonal matrix having entries 1 or -1 on its diagonal (not necessarily alternating).

PROOF. Since each Toeplitz matrix $T$ is symmetric with respect to its antidiagonal, it is easy to see that the matrix $T I^{R}$ is symmetric. Hence there exists a unitary matrix $U$ and a real diagonal matrix $D$, such that $T I^{R}=U D U^{T}$.

Now choose the matrix $\hat{I}^{ \pm}$such that the diagonal entries of $D \hat{I}^{ \pm}$are all positive. Since $\left(\hat{I}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=I$, we see that

$$
T=U\left(D \hat{I}^{ \pm}\right)\left(\hat{I}^{ \pm} U^{T} I^{R}\right)=U\left(D \hat{I}^{ \pm}\right)\left(I^{R} U \hat{I}^{ \pm}\right)^{T},
$$

which shows the assertion.

Lemma 5 Consider the real $m$ by $m$ Jordan block $H=\alpha I_{m}+\beta E_{m}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=U S V^{T} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

be its singular value decomposition. Then for all integers $n$ and $k$ with $m=$ $n / k$, the singular value decomposition of the $n$ by $n$ matrix $B=\alpha I_{n}+\beta E_{n}^{k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\left(U \otimes I_{k}\right)\left(S \otimes I_{k}\right)\left(V \otimes I_{k}\right)^{T} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. Define the $n$ by $n$ matrix $P$ by

$$
P \equiv\left[I_{m} \otimes e_{1}, \ldots, I_{m} \otimes e_{k}\right]
$$

This matrix is the symmetric permutation that maps $\operatorname{vec}(X)$ to $\operatorname{vec}\left(X^{T}\right)$ for each $n$ by $n$ matrix $X$ (see [6, Definition 4.2.9] for the definition of the vec operator). Furthermore,

$$
P^{T} B P=I_{k} \otimes H=I_{k} \otimes\left(U S V^{T}\right)=\left(I_{k} \otimes U\right)\left(I_{k} \otimes S\right)\left(I_{k} \otimes V\right)^{T},
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
B & =P\left(I_{k} \otimes U\right)\left(I_{k} \otimes S\right)\left(I_{k} \otimes V\right)^{T} P^{T} \\
& =\left[P\left(I_{k} \otimes U\right) P^{T}\right]\left[P\left(I_{k} \otimes S\right) P^{T}\right]\left[P\left(I_{k} \otimes V\right) P^{T}\right]^{T} \\
& =\left(U \otimes I_{k}\right)\left(S \otimes I_{k}\right)\left(V \otimes I_{k}\right)^{T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equation we have used [6, Corollary 4.3.10].

## 4 Main result

To formulate our main result, we need to define some quantities related to the step $k=1$ of ideal GMRES for a Jordan block.

Definition 6 If $J_{\omega}=\omega I_{m}+E_{m}$ is a real $m \times m$ Jordan block, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\omega}^{(m)} \equiv \arg \min _{\mu \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|I_{m}-\mu J_{\omega}\right\|, \quad \varrho_{\omega}^{(m)} \equiv\left\|I_{m}-\mu_{\omega}^{(m)} J_{\omega}\right\| . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, the polynomial $1-\mu_{\omega}^{(m)} z$ is the first ideal GMRES polynomial of $J_{\omega}$. Since for any matrix $A$ and $k=1$ equality holds in (3) (see [4,7]), $1-\mu_{\omega}^{(m)} z$ is also the first worst-case GMRES polynomial of $J_{\omega}$. Moreover, according to Lemma 2 , the corresponding worst-case initial residual $r_{0}^{(1)}$ lies in the space of right singular vectors of the matrix $\varphi_{1}\left(J_{\omega}\right)$ corresponding to its maximal singular value. Since $\varphi_{1}$ is the GMRES polynomial for the matrix $J_{\omega}$ and the initial residual $r_{0}^{(1)}$, (6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}\left(J_{\omega}\right) r_{0}^{(1)} \perp J_{\omega} r_{0}^{(1)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we state and prove the main theorem of this paper.
Theorem 7 Let $k$, $m$, $n$ be integers such that $m=n / k>1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z) \equiv\left(1-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} \lambda^{k}\right)+\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}(\lambda-z)^{k} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $k$ th ideal GMRES polynomial $\varphi_{k}$ and also the kth worst-case GMRES polynomial $\psi_{k}$ of the $n$ by $n$ Jordan block $J_{\lambda}$ in (8). Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\lambda k}^{(m)}=\left\|\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right\|=\min _{p \in \pi_{k}\|v\|=1} \max _{\| v}\left\|p\left(J_{\lambda}\right) v\right\|=\max _{\|v\|=1} \min _{p \in \pi_{k}}\left\|p\left(J_{\lambda}\right) v\right\| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if $\lambda^{k}>\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m+1}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-k} \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m+1}\right) \leq\left\|\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right\| \leq \lambda^{-k} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $0 \leq \lambda^{k} \leq \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m+1}\right)$, then $\left\|\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right\|=1$. Note that for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ the upper bound in (18) can be replaced by 1 .

PROOF. First note that by assuming $m>1$ we exclude the trivial case of $n=k$ in which both (1) and (2) are equal to zero for any $n$ by $n$ matrix $A$.

We will prove (16)-(17) by constructing an explicit worst-case initial residual $r_{0}^{(k)}$ for the matrix $J_{\lambda}$, and by showing that $\varphi$ is indeed the $k$ th GMRES polynomial for $J_{\lambda}$ and the constructed vector $r_{0}^{(k)}$.

Using the notation $\alpha \equiv 1-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} \lambda^{k}$ and $\beta=(-1)^{k} \mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}$, we receive $\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)=$ $\alpha I_{n}+\beta E_{n}^{k}$. Furthermore, define the $m$ by $m$ Jordan block $H \equiv \alpha I_{m}+\beta E_{m}$. Then an easy computation shows that

$$
H= \begin{cases}I_{m}-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} J_{\lambda^{k}}, & \text { if } k \text { is odd }  \tag{19}\\ I_{m}^{ \pm}\left(I_{m}-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} J_{\lambda^{k}}\right) I_{m}^{ \pm}, & \text {if } k \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

By definition, $1-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} z$ is the first ideal GMRES polynomial of the $m$ by $m$ Jordan block $J_{\lambda^{k}}=\lambda^{k} I_{m}+E_{m}$. As discussed after Definition 6, it is also the first worst-case GMRES polynomial of $J_{\lambda^{k}}$, i.e. there exists a right singular vector $w$ of $I_{m}-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} J_{\lambda^{k}}$ corresponding to its maximal singular value such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{m}-\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} J_{\lambda^{k}}\right) w \perp J_{\lambda^{k}} w \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the vector $v$ by $v \equiv w$ if $k$ is odd and $v \equiv I_{m}^{ \pm} w$ if $k$ is even. Then it is easy to check that $v$ is a right singular vector of $H$ corresponding to its maximal singular value. Next, from (19) and (20) we see that

$$
H v \perp \begin{cases}J_{\lambda^{k}} v, & \text { if } k \text { is odd }  \tag{21}\\ \left(I_{m}^{ \pm} J_{\lambda^{k}} I_{m}^{ \pm}\right) v, & \text { if } k \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, Lemma 5 and Lemma 4 imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|=\varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}=\left\|\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right\| \quad \text { and } \quad H v= \pm \varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)} I_{m}^{R} v \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5 shows that since $v$ is a right singular vector of $H$ corresponding to $\varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}, v \otimes e_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ are right singular vectors of $\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$ corresponding to $\varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}$. Define the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0} \equiv \gamma \sum_{i=1}^{k}(-\lambda)^{i-1} v \otimes e_{i}=\gamma(v \otimes e) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is chosen so that $\left\|r_{0}\right\|=1$, and $e \equiv\left[1,-\lambda, \ldots,(-\lambda)^{k-1}\right]^{T}$. Clearly, $r_{0}$ is a right singular vector of the Toeplitz matrix $\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$ corresponding to $\varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}$, so that Lemma 4 and (22) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right) r_{0} & =\gamma \varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)(v \otimes e) \\
& = \pm \gamma \varrho_{\lambda^{(m)}}^{(m)} I_{n}^{R}(v \otimes e) \\
& = \pm \gamma \varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}\left(\left(I_{m}^{R} v\right) \otimes\left(I_{m}^{R} e\right)\right) \\
& = \pm \gamma\left((H v) \otimes\left(I_{m}^{R} e\right)\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

We next show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right) r_{0} \perp J_{\lambda}^{i} r_{0}, \quad i=1, \ldots, k, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. that $\varphi$ is a GMRES polynomial for the matrix $J_{\lambda}$ and the initial residual $r_{0}$. It is easy to see that (25) holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right) r_{0} \perp E_{n}^{i} J_{\lambda} r_{0}, \quad i=0, \ldots, k-1 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

An elementary computation shows that, for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$,

$$
E_{n}^{i} J_{\lambda} r_{0}= \begin{cases}\gamma\left(\left(J_{\lambda^{k}} v\right) \otimes e_{k-i}\right), & \text { if } k \text { is odd }  \tag{27}\\ \gamma\left(\left(J_{-\lambda^{k}} v\right) \otimes e_{k-i}\right), & \text { if } k \text { is even } .\end{cases}
$$

Using (24) and (27) we obtain

$$
\left(\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right) r_{0}\right)^{T} E_{n}^{i} J_{\lambda} r_{0}= \pm \gamma^{2}\left[(H v)^{T} J_{\lambda^{k}} v\right]\left[e^{T} I_{m}^{R} e_{k-i}\right]
$$

for $k$ odd and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right) r_{0}\right)^{T} E_{n}^{i} J_{\lambda} r_{0} & = \pm \gamma^{2}\left[(H v)^{T} J_{-\lambda^{k}} v\right]\left[e^{T} I_{m}^{R} e_{k-i}\right] \\
& =\mp \gamma^{2}\left[(H v)^{T}\left(I_{m}^{ \pm} J_{\lambda^{k}} I_{m}^{ \pm}\right) v\right]\left[e^{T} I_{m}^{R} e_{k-i}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for $k$ even. Finally, using (21) it is clear that (25) holds.
Summarizing, $\varphi(z)$ is the $k$ th GMRES polynomial for the matrix $J_{\lambda}$ and the initial residual $r_{0}$ that lies in the span of right singular vectors corresponding to the maximal singular value of $\varphi\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$. Then, according to Lemma 2, (17) holds.

The upper bound in (18) has been proven in [3]. The lower bound follows from results of [3] as well: Note that $\varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}$ is the norm of the first ideal GMRES polynomial of the Jordan block $J_{\lambda^{k}}=\lambda^{k} I_{m}+E_{m}$. If $\lambda^{k}$ is greater than $R_{1, m}$, the radius of the first degree polynomial numerical hull of $J_{\lambda^{k}}$, then

$$
\min _{p \in \pi_{1}}\left\|p\left(J_{\lambda^{k}}\right)\right\| \geq \frac{R_{1, m}}{\lambda^{k}} .
$$

According to [3, Theorem 3.1], $R_{1, m}=\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{m+1}\right)$. On the other hand, if $\lambda^{k} \leq$ $R_{1, m}$, then the first degree polynomial numerical hull of $J_{\lambda^{k}}$ contains zero and therefore $\varrho_{\lambda k}^{(m)}=1$, see e.g. [3] or [2, Theorem 2.8].

Remark 8 Let $n$ be even, $k=n / 2$, and let $\lambda^{k} \geq R_{1,2}=\frac{1}{2}$. Based on Definition 6 and Theorem 7 it is possible to show that

$$
\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{\lambda^{k}} \frac{4 \lambda^{2 k}-1}{4 \lambda^{2 k}+1}, \quad \varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(2)}=\left\|\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right\|=\frac{4 \lambda^{k}}{4 \lambda^{2 k}+1}
$$

For $0 \leq \lambda^{k} \leq R_{1,2}$, it holds $\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(2)}=0$ and $\varrho_{\lambda^{k}}^{(2)}=1$, cf. [3].
Remark 9 From (16) it is easy to see, that in case $\mu_{\lambda_{k}}^{(m)} \neq 0$, the $k$ roots of $\varphi_{k}$ are uniformly distributed on the circle around $\lambda$ with radius $\left|\left(\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}\right)^{-1}-\lambda^{k}\right|^{1 / k}$. If $\mu_{\lambda^{k}}^{(m)}=0$, then $\varphi_{k}(z)=1$.

## 5 Observations for a general step $k$

In Section 4 we described the ideal GMRES behavior for a Jordan block $J_{\lambda}$ and showed that equality holds in (3) when $k$ divides $n$. We observed numerically that equality in fact holds for every $k$, but we were unable to prove such result.

We next describe observations from our numerical experiments (performed using the semidefinite programming package SDPT3 [10]) that might give some ideas of how to approach this open problem. Denote by $d$ the greatest common divisor of $n$ and $k, n_{d}=n / d, k_{d}=k / d$. Then the ideal GMRES matrix $\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$ has again a special structure determined by powers of the matrix $E_{n}$,

$$
\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)=c_{0} I_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{k_{d}} c_{j}\left(-E_{n}\right)^{j d}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k_{d}} c_{i} i^{i d}=1
$$

and the polynomial $\varphi_{k}$ has the form

$$
\varphi_{k}(z)=c_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{k_{d}} c_{j}(\lambda-z)^{j d}
$$

In our experiments we always observed that $c_{i}>0, i=0, \ldots, k_{d}$, whenever $\left\|\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)\right\|<1$. Using the permutation matrix

$$
P \equiv\left[I_{n_{d}} \otimes e_{1}, \ldots, I_{n_{d}} \otimes e_{d}\right]
$$

it is possible to transform the matrix $\varphi_{k}\left(J_{\lambda}\right)$ to a block diagonal matrix with $d$ identical diagonal blocks $H$ of size $n_{d}$ by $n_{d}$. The matrix $H$ is a banded upper triangular Toeplitz matrix with $c_{0}$ on the diagonal and $(-1)^{i d} c_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k_{d}$
on its superdiagonals. Similar as in (16), the coefficients $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{k_{d}}$ minimize the norm of the $k_{d}$ th ideal GMRES polynomial of the form

$$
c_{0}+\sum_{j=1}^{k_{d}} c_{j}\left(\lambda^{d}-z\right)^{j}, \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k_{d}} c_{i} \lambda^{i d}=1
$$

for the $n_{d}$ by $n_{d}$ Jordan block $J_{\lambda^{d}}=\lambda^{d} I_{n_{d}}+E_{n_{d}}$ (note that the greatest common divisor of $n_{d}$ and $k_{d}$ is 1 ). If one would be able to prove that indeed $c_{i}>0$ for $i=0, \ldots, k_{d}$, then $H$ would be known to be irreducible and thus would be known to have a simple maximal singular value. From this result the proof of Theorem 7 can be generalized to hold for each step $k$.

## 6 The special case of the eigenvalue one

For the Jordan block $J_{\lambda}$ with the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$, we are able to say more about the norm of the ideal GMRES polynomial in the considered steps $k=$ $n / m$. Based on the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $J_{1}$ and on the eigenvalue interlacing property we can improve the bound (18). We first derive the SVD of $J_{1}$.

Theorem 10 The SVD of $J_{1}$ is given by $J_{1}=U S V^{T}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
V & =\left\{v_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}, & v_{i j} & =\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 n+1}} \sin \left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right),  \tag{28}\\
U & =\left\{u_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1}^{n}, & u_{i j} & =\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 n+1}} \sin \left(\frac{2 i}{2 n+1} j \pi\right), \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{i}\right), \quad \sigma_{i}=2 \cos \left(\frac{i \pi}{2 n+1}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the matrices $U$ and $V$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=I_{n}^{R} V I_{n}^{ \pm} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. It is easy to check that the matrices $U$ and $V$ defined in (28) and (29) are orthogonal. The relation (31) follows from

$$
\left(I_{n}^{R} U\right)_{i j}=\sin \left(\frac{2(n-i+1)}{2 n+1} j \pi\right)=\sin \left(j \pi-\frac{2 i-1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right)
$$

$$
=(-1)^{j-1} \sin \left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right)=\left(V I_{n}^{ \pm}\right)_{i j} .
$$

We will prove that $S=U^{T} J_{1} V$ for $U, S$ and $V$ defined in (29), (30) and (28). Denote the elements of $J_{1} V$ by $f_{i j}, i, j=1, \ldots, n$. Then it holds for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i j}=v_{i, j}+v_{i+1, j} & =\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 n+1}}\left[\sin \left(\frac{2 i-1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right)+\sin \left(\frac{2 i+1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 n+1}} 2 \sin \left(\frac{2 i j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \\
& =2 \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) u_{i j} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

For $i=n$ and $j=1, \ldots, n$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n j}=v_{n j}=\frac{2}{\sqrt{2 n+1}} \sin \left(\frac{2 n-1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right) . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sin \left(\frac{2 n-1}{2 n+1} j \pi\right) & =\sin \left(j \pi-\frac{2 j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{j-1} \sin \left(\frac{2 j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \\
& =(-1)^{j-1} 2 \sin \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \\
& =2 \sin \left(j \pi-\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \\
& =2 \sin \left(\frac{2 n j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(33) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n j}=2 \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{2 n+1}\right) u_{n j} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing, the formulas (32) and (34) imply that $J_{1} V=U S$, and hence $J_{1}=U S V^{T}$. Since $S$ is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal elements, $J_{1}=U S V^{T}$ is the SVD of $J_{1}$.

From Theorem 7 it is clear, that the numbers $\mu_{1}^{(m)}$ and $\varrho_{1}^{(m)}$ play an important role in the $k$ th ideal GMRES step if $m=n / k$. In the following theorem we bound the value $\varrho_{1}^{(m)}$.

Theorem 11 Consider the $m$ by $m$ Jordan block $J_{1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right) \leq \varrho_{1}^{(m)} \leq \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 m+1}\right) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

PROOF. The upper bound in (35) follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\varphi_{1}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|I_{m}-\frac{1}{2} J_{1}\right\| & =\frac{1}{2}\left\|I_{m}-E_{m}\right\|=\frac{1}{2}\left\|I_{m}+E_{m}\right\| \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\|J_{1}\right\|=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}\left(J_{1}\right)=\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 m+1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}\left(J_{1}\right)$ is known from Theorem 10 . For $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, define the polynomial

$$
p_{\mu}(z) \equiv 1-\mu z
$$

We will investigate the value of $\left\|p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\|$. The norm of the matrix $p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)$ is the square root of the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix

$$
p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)^{T} p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_{\mu} & -\beta_{\mu} & & \\
-\beta_{\mu} & \alpha_{\mu} & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & -\beta_{\mu} \\
& & & -\beta_{\mu}
\end{array} \alpha_{\mu}\right]
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{\mu} \equiv \mu^{2}+(1-\mu)^{2}, \quad \beta_{\mu} \equiv(1-\mu) \mu, \quad \gamma_{\mu} \equiv(1-\mu)^{2} .
$$

Next, define the $m$ by $m$ matrix $T_{\mu, m}$,

$$
T_{\mu, m} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{\mu} & -\beta_{\mu} & & \\
-\beta_{\mu} & \alpha_{\mu} & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & -\beta_{\mu} \\
& & & -\beta_{\mu}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Denote by $\chi_{\mu, m}$ and $\tau_{\mu, m}$ the characteristic polynomials of $p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)^{T} p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)$ and $T_{\mu, m}$,

$$
\chi_{\mu, m}(\xi) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\xi I_{m}-p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)^{T} p_{\mu}\left(J_{1}\right)\right), \quad \tau_{\mu, m}(\xi) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(\xi I_{m}-T_{\mu, n}\right) .
$$

It is not hard to see that

$$
\chi_{\mu, m}(\xi)=\tau_{\mu, m}(\xi)+\mu^{2} \tau_{\mu, m-1}(\xi)
$$

Using results of classical polynomial theory, the roots of polynomials $\tau_{\mu, m}$ and $\tau_{\mu, m-1}$ interlace. Therefore, the maximal root of $\chi_{\mu, m}$ must lay between maximal roots of $\tau_{\mu, m}$ and $\tau_{\mu, m-1}$ (between the maximal eigenvalues of $T_{\mu, m}$ and $\left.T_{\mu, m-1}\right)$. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{\mu, m}^{(j)}, j=1, \ldots, m$, of the matrix $T_{\mu, m}$ are given by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\mu, m}^{(j)} & =\alpha_{\mu}-2 \beta_{\mu} \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{m+1}\right) \\
& =\mu^{2}+(1-\mu)^{2}-2(1-\mu) \mu \cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{m+1}\right) \\
& =1-2(1-\mu) \mu\left[1+\cos \left(\frac{j \pi}{m+1}\right)\right] \\
& =1-4(1-\mu) \mu \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{j \pi}{2(m+1)}\right) \\
& =1-4 \beta_{\mu} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{j \pi}{2(m+1)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the maximal root of $\chi_{\mu, m}$ lies in the closed interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[1-4 \beta_{\mu} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right), 1-4 \beta_{\mu} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2(m+1)}\right)\right] . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bound (and also the upper bound) of the interval (36) is the smallest one for $\mu=1 / 2$ (take derivatives with respect to $\mu$ to find the extrema). Since

$$
1-4 \beta_{\frac{1}{2}} \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right)=1-\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right)=\cos ^{2}\left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right)
$$

it must hold

$$
\left\|\varphi_{1}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\| \geq \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right)
$$

Let $k, n, m$ be as in Theorem 7 and let $\lambda=1$. Then Theorems 7 and 11 imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 m}\right) \leq\left\|\varphi_{k}\left(J_{1}\right)\right\| \leq \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2 m+1}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, our numerical experiments predict that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{1}^{(m)}=\frac{m+1}{2 m+1} . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unfortunately, we were unable to prove (38) theoretically. It is not hard to determine the value $\varrho_{1}^{(m)}$ exactly some values of $m$, e.g.,

$$
\varrho_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{4}{5}, \quad \varrho_{1}^{(3)}=\frac{2 \sqrt{7}+1}{7} .
$$

However, for a general value of $m$, determining of $\varrho_{1}^{(m)}$ seems to be a nontrivial problem.

## 7 Conclusions

In this paper we investigate the ideal GMRES approximation problem and the inequality (3) for a general Jordan block. We show that (3) is an equality whenever $k$ divides $n$. For such $k$ we also derive the exact form of the ideal GMRES polynomial, and bounds on the actual value of (1). Moreover, these bounds are improved for the special case of a Jordan block with eigenvalue one. Our numerical experience indicates that (3) is indeed an equality for each $k$ if $A$ is a Jordan block, but a complete proof of such result remains the subject of further work.
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