# Analysis of a model of elastic plastic mixtures ("Prandtl-Reuss-mixtures")

By Josef Málek and Jens Frehse

Kraków 2012

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Introduction

KMRS model

Prandtl-Reuss

Prandtl-Reuss mixtures

Regularity techniques

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Introduction

KMRS model

**Prandtl-Reuss** 

Prandtl-Reuss mixtures

Regularity techniques

We refer to a paper

J. Kratochvíl, J. Málek, K.R. Rajagopal and A.R. Srinivasa: Modelling of the response of elastic plastic materials treated as mixture of hard and soft regions, ZAMP 55 (2004), 500-518

and to

Phd-Thesis of Luba Khasina

adviced by Kratochvíl-Málek-Frehse, where first steps are done to formulate the model of KMRS in the framework of Sobolev spaces nad variational inequalities.



KMRS consider a body  $\Omega$  consisting of soft and hard material and loading.

During the loading process, soft material is assumed to be perfectly elastic plastic, the hard material is assumed to satisfy a certain hardening rule in the non elastic region.

The beautiness of the theory consists in the fact, that no artificial internal variables enter, the history of the plastic deformation of the soft material replaces the internal variable.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Purpose of the present talk

Presenting a mathematical formulation in the framework of quasi-variational inequalities and Sobolev spaces. The theory turns out to be very similar to the Prandtl-Reuss-law for single materials; all known regularity results hold also for the mixture model.

Regularity techniques

# KMRS-model after simplification and choice of example for illustration

Ω
[0, T]
$\sigma_s = \sigma_s(x, t), x \in \Omega, t \in [0, T]$
$\sigma_h$
$\mathbf{e} = rac{1}{2} ( abla \mathbf{u} + ( abla \mathbf{u})^{\mathrm{T}})$
e <sub>ps</sub>
e <sub>hs</sub>
$\alpha = \alpha(x, t)$
1 - lpha

basic domain  $\subset \mathbb{R}^3$ loading interval stress of the soft material stress of the hard material

#### strain

plastic strain of the soft material plastic strain of the hard material volume fraction of the soft material volume fraction of the hard material

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, \mbox{ (later } 0 < \varepsilon_0 < \alpha < 1 - \varepsilon_0) \\ \alpha(x,0) = 0, \mbox{ (resp. } \varepsilon_0) \\ \alpha(x,t) = \alpha(x,t; \mathbf{e}_{ps}), \ \alpha \ \mbox{monotone increasing in } t \end{array}$$

## Governing equations

Balance of forces

$$-\operatorname{div}(\alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s} + (1-\alpha)\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}) = \mathbf{f} \text{ in } \Omega$$

Weak formulation with boundary values

$$(lpha \sigma_{s}+(1-lpha) \sigma_{h}, 
abla arphi)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = (\mathbf{f}, arphi)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \int_{\partial \Omega} p_{0} arphi d\mathbf{o}, \ \forall arphi \in H^{1,2}_{\Gamma}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3})$$

Symmetry

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

Hooke's law in the elastic region

$$\sigma_s = C(e - e_{ps}), \quad \sigma_h = C(e - e_{ph}),$$

**C** elasticity tensor, of course different  $C_s$  and  $C_h$  can be used. Plastic incompressibility

trace 
$$\mathbf{e}_{ps} = \text{trace } \mathbf{e}_{ph} = 0.$$

# Yield function and yield condition

Let

$$\mathbf{B}_D = \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{3} (\text{trace } \mathbf{B})\mathbf{I}$$
 "Deviator"

$$F_{s}(\sigma_{s}) = |\sigma_{s}| - \kappa_{s}$$
  
$$F_{h}(\sigma_{h}) = |\sigma_{h}| - \kappa_{h}(t), \quad \kappa_{h}(t) = \kappa_{h}(t, \mathbf{e}_{ps})$$

These are v Mises type yield functions. Yield conditions

$$F_s(oldsymbol{\sigma}_s) \leq 0, \quad F_h(oldsymbol{\sigma}_h) \leq 0$$

Kuhn-Tucker-conditions

$$\dot{\mathbf{e}}_{s} = \lambda_{s} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}|}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{e}}_{h} = \lambda_{h} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}|},$$

 $\lambda_s, \lambda_h \ge 0, \quad \lambda_s F_s(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_s) = 0, \quad \lambda_h F_h(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_h) = 0.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Model for the volume fraction $\alpha$

$$\ell = \int_0^t |\dot{\mathbf{e}}_{
m \textit{ps}}| lpha (\cdot,t) = lpha_0 + (1-lpha_0) e^{-c_0 \ell}$$

Model for the hardening rule and yield parameters

$$\kappa_s = const > 0, \quad \kappa_h = \kappa_s + r_0 \ell.$$

Later we will work with Lipschitz  $\alpha$  and  $\kappa_h$ .

# Prandtl-Reuss law

Formulation as variational inequality Find  $\sigma \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3\times 3}))$  such that  $\dot{\sigma} \in L^{\infty}(L^{2})$  and

$$\mathbb{K} \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(0) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{0} \\ \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = (\mathbf{f}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \int_{\partial \Omega} p_{0} \boldsymbol{\varphi} d\mathbf{o}, \ \forall \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}) \\ F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = |\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D}| - \kappa \\ F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(\mathbf{A}\dot{\sigma}, \sigma - \widetilde{\sigma})_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 0 \quad orall \widetilde{\sigma} ext{ satisfying } \mathbb{K}$$

### Theorem

∃ unique solution

Regularity results: 
$$\dot{\sigma} \in L^{\infty}(L^{2+\delta}), \ \sigma \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}^{1,2}_{loc})$$
 (1992),  
 $\dot{\sigma} \in \mathcal{H}^{1/2,2}(L^2)$  (2011)

Strain and plastic strain can be derived via penalty approximation

Regularity techniques

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Prandtl-Reuss law. Penalty approximation.

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathsf{Penalty}) \\ (\mathbf{A}\dot{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) + (\mu^{-1}\max[|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_D| - \kappa]_+ \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_D}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_D|}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) &= 0 \quad \mu \to \mathbf{0}_+ \\ \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \text{ such that } \boldsymbol{\tau} &= \boldsymbol{\tau}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\boldsymbol{\tau}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\varphi}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Initial condition, symmetry, balance of force remain as before, yield condition is replaced by the penalty term.

Regularity techniques

# How to prove existence of solutions to the Prandtl-Reuss law. Estimates

- Step 0 Solve the "ODE" in an abstract Hilbert space setting or use Rothe approximation  $\Rightarrow$  (Penalty) is solvable
- Step 1 Assume safe load condition, i.e. existence of a "good"  $\hat{\sigma}$ satisfying  $\mathbb{K} \Rightarrow L^{\infty}(L^2)$  estimate for  $\sigma$  and  $L^1(L^1)$  estimate for the penalty term.

Step 2 Test by 
$$\dot{\sigma} - \dot{\hat{\sigma}} \Rightarrow L^2(L^2)$$
 estimate for  $\dot{\sigma}$ 

Step 3 Test by  $\ddot{\sigma} \Rightarrow L^\infty(L^2)$  estimate for  $\dot{\sigma}$ 

Step 4 Go to Step 1 once more  $\Rightarrow L^{\infty}(L^1)$  estimate for penalty term (all estimates uniformly for  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ )

Converge and existence for Prandtl-Reuss Step 5 Use symmetric Helmholtz decomposition  $\Rightarrow \exists \mathbf{v} \in H^{1,2}_{\Gamma}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that  $rac{1}{2}(
abla {f v} + (
abla {f v})^{\mathrm{T}}) = {f A} \dot{\sigma} +$  Penalty term with  $\|\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^{\mathrm{T}}\|_{L^{\infty}(L^{1})} \leq K$  uniformly as  $\mu \to 0$  $\Rightarrow$  The strain velocities are only measures for  $\mu = 0$  $rac{1}{2}(
abla {f v}+(
abla {f v})^{\mathrm{T}})\in \mathcal{C}^*,$  similar, for the penalty term  $\mu^{-1}\max[|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D}|-\kappa]_{+}\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{D}|} \rightharpoonup \dot{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{\Lambda}}} \in C^{*}(0,\,T;\mathbb{R}^{3\times3})$  $\frac{\mu^{-1}\max[|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_D|-\kappa]_+}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_D|} \rightharpoonup \lambda$ 

 $\dot{f \Lambda}$  is the plastic strain velocity If one had  ${m \sigma}_\mu o {m \sigma}$  uniformly  $\Rightarrow$  representation as multiplier

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \lambda \boldsymbol{\sigma}, \quad \lambda \geq 0, \quad \lambda F(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) = 0.$$



## Theorem

 $oldsymbol{\sigma}_{\mu} 
ightarrow oldsymbol{\sigma}, oldsymbol{\sigma}$  solution of the Prandtl-Reuss variational inequality and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^{\mathrm{T}}) = \mathbf{A}\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + \dot{\mathbf{\Lambda}}.$$

A similar procedure can be done for the mixture MDE model of KMRS.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Regularity techniques

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Constitutive elastic law

#### Let

$$au = egin{pmatrix} au_s \ au_h \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{ au} = egin{pmatrix} \hat{ au_s} \ \hat{ au_h} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{Q}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}},\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \mathbf{A}_{s} \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{s} : \boldsymbol{\tau}_{s} + \mathbf{A}_{h} \hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_{h} : \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} \right] dx$$

Here  $\mathbf{A}_s$  and  $\mathbf{A}_h$  are inverse elasticity tensors, say Lame-Navier structure. They model the elastic interaction between the soft and hard material. (It is possible to treat additional interaction terms  $\mathbf{A}_{sh}\hat{\boldsymbol{\tau}}_h:\boldsymbol{\tau}_s$ )

# Penalty approximation for Prandtl-Reuss mixtures

Find  $\sigma_s, \sigma_h \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}))$  such that  $\dot{\sigma}_s, \dot{\sigma}_h \in L^{\infty}(L^2)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{s}(0) &= \sigma_{s0}, \sigma_{h}(0) = \sigma_{h0} \\ \sigma_{s} &= \sigma_{s}^{\mathrm{T}}, \sigma_{h} = \sigma_{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ (\alpha \sigma_{s} + (1 - \alpha) \sigma_{h}, \nabla \varphi)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = (\mathbf{f}, \varphi)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \int_{\partial \Omega} p_{0} \varphi d\mathbf{o}, \ \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}) \end{aligned}$$

(Penmix)  

$$\mathbf{Q}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}, \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau}_{s} \\ (1-\alpha) \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h}, (1-\alpha) \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \mu^{-1} [|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| - \kappa_{s}]_{+} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}|}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{s} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} (1-\alpha) \mu^{-1} [|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}| - \kappa_{h}]_{+} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}|}, \boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

for all  $\tau_s = \tau_s^{\mathrm{T}}, \tau_h = \tau_h^{\mathrm{T}}$  such that  $\operatorname{div}(\alpha \tau_s + (1 - \alpha)\tau_h) = 0.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Consequence of the penalty equation

Choose 
$$\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h} = \mathbf{0}, \operatorname{div}(\alpha \boldsymbol{\tau}_{s}) = \mathbf{0}, \alpha \boldsymbol{\tau}_{s} = \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0} \Rightarrow$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \alpha \mathbf{A}_{s} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s} + \mu^{-1} [|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| - \kappa_{s}]_{+} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}|} \right) : \boldsymbol{\tau}_{0} d\boldsymbol{x} = 0$$

By symmetric Helmholtz decomposition

$$\frac{1}{2}\left((\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{s}) + (\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{s})^{\mathrm{T}}\right) = \alpha \mathbf{A}_{s} \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s} + \underbrace{\mu^{-1}[|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| - \kappa_{s}]_{+} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}|}}_{\text{approximate plastic strain velocity of the soft material}}$$

Similarly

$$\frac{1}{2}\left((\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{h}) + (\nabla \dot{\mathbf{u}}_{h})^{\mathrm{T}}\right) = (1 - \alpha)\mathbf{A}_{h}\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} + \mu^{-1}[|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}| - \kappa_{h}]_{+}\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD}|}$$

## Theorem

Assume  $\alpha, \kappa_h$  Lipschitz, **Q** positively definite and smooth data. Assume a safe load condition with smooth stresses  $\hat{\sigma}_s$  and  $\hat{\sigma}_h$ . Let  $0 \le \varepsilon_0 \le \alpha \le 1 - \varepsilon_0$ . Then

- 1. The solutions  $\sigma_s^n, \sigma_h^n$  are uniformly bounded in the norms  $L^{\infty}(L^2), L^{\infty}(L^{2+\delta}), H^{1,\infty}(L^2)$ . Furthermore  $\dot{\sigma} \in H^{1/2}(L^2)$ .
- 2. The partial strain velocities and the partial plastic strain velocities are bounded in  $L^{\infty}(L^1)$ .
- 3. The approximate stresses converge weakly  $\sigma_s^n \rightharpoonup \sigma_s, \sigma_h^n \rightharpoonup \sigma_h \ (\mu \rightarrow 0_+)$  in  $H^{1,2}(L^2) \cap L^2(H^{1,2}_{loc})$ .

## Theorem (continuation)

The limit satisfies the variational inequality

$$\mathbf{Q}\left(\begin{pmatrix}\alpha\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}\\(1-\alpha)\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h}\end{pmatrix},\begin{pmatrix}\alpha(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{s})\\(1-\alpha)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{h})\end{pmatrix}\right)\leq 0 \quad (\mathsf{V})$$

with respect to the yield conditions and the balance of forces defining the convex set.

Since in the application  $\alpha = \alpha(t, x; \sigma_s, \sigma_h)$  and similar  $\kappa_h$ , inequality (V) is a quasi-variational inequality.

# Safe load condition for mixtures

 $\exists \hat{\pmb{\sigma}}_{s}, \hat{\pmb{\sigma}}_{h}$  such that

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}^{\mathrm{T}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h}^{\mathrm{T}}$ 

 $egin{aligned} &(lpha \hat{\pmb{\sigma}}_{s}+(1-lpha) \hat{\pmb{\sigma}}_{h}, 
abla arphi)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = (\mathbf{f}, arphi)_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \int_{\partial \Omega} p_{0} arphi d\mathbf{o}, \ orall arphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Gamma}^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{3}) \ &F_{s}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}) \leq -arepsilon_{0} < 0, \quad F_{h}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}) \leq -arepsilon_{0} < 0 \end{aligned}$ 

Smoothness:  $\hat{\sigma}, \ddot{\hat{\sigma}}, \nabla \dot{\hat{\sigma}} \in L^{\infty}$  is more than necessary for the regularity theory.

# $L^1(L^1)$ estimate using safe load

Test the penalty equation with

$$oldsymbol{ au}_{s}=oldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}-\hat{oldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}, \quad oldsymbol{ au}_{h}=oldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}-\hat{oldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}$$

This admissible since

$$\operatorname{div}(\alpha(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s})+(1-\alpha)(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}-\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}))=0$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s} \\ (1-\alpha) \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{s}) \\ (1-\alpha) (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{h}) \end{pmatrix} \right) + \\ & \left(\alpha \mu^{-1} [|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| - \kappa_{s}]_{+} \frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}|} \right) + \text{penalty for } \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

★□> <圖> < E> < E> E のQ@

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{Q}(\cdots) + \text{pollution} + \left(\alpha\mu^{-1}[|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| - \kappa_{s}]_{+}\left(|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| \underbrace{-\frac{\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}}{|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}|}}_{\geq -\kappa_{s} + \varepsilon_{0}}\right)\right) + \text{penalty for } \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{hD} = 0$$

Integration with respect to t

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{Q} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s}, & \alpha \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{s} \\ (1-\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h}, & (1-\alpha) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{h} \end{pmatrix} \Big|_{T} - \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{K} |\boldsymbol{\sigma}|^{2} dx dt + \varepsilon_{0} \int \int \alpha \mu^{-1} [|\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{sD}| - \kappa_{s}]_{+} dx dt \leq \mathcal{K}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}})$$

Gronwall  $\Rightarrow L^{\infty}(L^2)$  estimate for  $\sigma, L^1$  estimate for  $\mu^{-1}[|\sigma_{sD}| - \kappa_s]_+$ Inspection return  $\Rightarrow \mu^{-1}[|\sigma_{sD}| - \kappa_s]_+ |\sigma_{sD}| \in L^1(L^1)$ Similar for  $\sigma_h$ . Refined regularity results for  $(\mathbf{u}, \sigma)$  (solution to Prandtl Reuss law) or  $(\mathbf{u}_s, \mathbf{u}_h, \sigma_s, \sigma_h)$  (solution to the Prandtl-Reuss mixture).

#### Theorem

Assumption: reasonably smooth data, safe load condition  $\Rightarrow$ 

$$\sup_{t} \int_{B_{R}} |\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^{T}| \, dx \leq K R^{\alpha} \quad \text{(uniformly for } \mu \to 0\text{)}$$

as well as for  $\mathbf{u}_s$  and  $\mathbf{u}_h$ .

- Technique of proof: Cf. *L*<sup>1</sup>-estimates before & hole filling procedure for the penalty term.
- Consequence the measure lim<sub>µ→0</sub> ∇**u** + ∇**u**<sup>T</sup> cannot concentrate on sets of "low" Hausdorff-dimension (e.g. no pointwise concentration).

Introduction	KMRS model	Prandtl-Reuss	Prandtl-Reuss mixtures	Regularity techniques

## Theorem

Assumption as before,  $\Omega_0 \Subset \Omega$ ,

$$\sup_t \int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla \sigma|^2 \, dx \leq K.$$

• Technique of proof: Difference quotient technique. The pollution terms due to **u** give trouble since  $\nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^T$  is estimated only in  $\mathcal{L}^1$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●



Boundary differentiability:

Let  $D_{\tau}$  be the tangential derivative at the boundary.

- Malek, JF ⇒ D<sub>τ</sub>σ ∈ L<sup>2</sup> up to the boundary for n = 2, Ω circle or circle\smaller circles.
- Bulicek, Malek, JF  $\Rightarrow D_{\tau} \sigma \in L^2$  for  $n \ge 3$ ,  $\Omega$  circle or cirlce\smaller concentric circles.
- Seregin: Normal derivatives to certain approximations are unbounded,  $\Omega =$ circle.

These results are published for Hencky's law but hold for Prandtl-Reuss-problem and Prandtl-Reuss-mixtures as well.

Introduction

KMRS model

Prandtl-Reuss

## Fractional differentiability for $\dot{\sigma}, \dot{\sigma_h}, \dot{\sigma_s}$ .

## Theorem

 $\dot{\sigma}, \dot{\sigma}_h, \dot{\sigma}_s$  have fractional derivatives in the sense of Besov spaces in time direction of order  $\frac{1}{2} - \delta$ , in space direction (interior regularity) of order  $\frac{1}{6} - \delta$ . This is claimed in the limit  $\mu = 0$ .

Proof: Use the function h<sup>-1</sup>(σ(t + s) - σ(t)) as a testfunction and perform h<sup>-1</sup> ∫ ds. The spatial derivatives are obtained via cross interpolation.

Introduction

KMRS model

Prandtl-Reuss

Regularity techniques

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Dziekuje za uwage.

Thank you for your attention.