Perspectives on using implicit type constitutive relations in the modelling of the behaviour of non-Newtonian fluids

> Vít Průša prusv@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

Mathematical Institute, Charles University

29th April 2015

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

#### Constitutive relations

Governing equations (incompressible homogeneous material):

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= \boldsymbol{0} \\ \rho \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{v}}{\mathrm{d} t} &= \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} + \rho \boldsymbol{b} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \mathbb{T}^\top \end{aligned}$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

#### Constitutive relations

Governing equations (incompressible homogeneous material):

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\nu} &= \boldsymbol{0} \\ \rho \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t} &= \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} + \rho \boldsymbol{b} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \mathbb{T}^\top \end{aligned}$$

Constitutive relations (Navier–Stokes),  $\mathbb{D} =_{\text{def}} \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \boldsymbol{v} + \nabla \boldsymbol{v}^{\top})$ :

$$\mathbb{T} = -p\mathbb{I} + 2\mu\mathbb{D}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Constitutive relations

Governing equations (incompressible homogeneous material):

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\nu} &= \boldsymbol{0} \\ \rho \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t} &= \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} + \rho \boldsymbol{b} \\ \mathbb{T} &= \mathbb{T}^\top \end{aligned}$$

Constitutive relations (Navier–Stokes),  $\mathbb{D} =_{\text{def}} \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \boldsymbol{\nu} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\nu}^{\top})$ :

$$\mathbb{T} = -p\mathbb{I} + 2\mu\mathbb{D}$$

Different perspective,  $\operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{D} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{T}_{\delta} =_{\operatorname{def}} \mathbb{T} - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} (\mathbb{T}) \mathbb{I}$ :

$$\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = 2\mu \mathbb{D}$$

#### Constitutive relations for non-Newtonian fluids

Standard approach: Stress is an function of kinematical variables.

$$\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = \mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{D})$$

Example:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = 2\left(\mu_{\infty} + \frac{\mu_{0} - \mu_{\infty}}{\left(1 + \alpha \left|\mathbb{D}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}\right)\mathbb{D}$$

Pierre J. Carreau. Rheological equations from molecular network theories. J. Rheol., 16(1):99-127, 1972

# This approach dominates the standard phenomenological theory of constitutive relations.

C. Truesdell and W. Noll. The non-linear field theories of mechanics. In S. Flüge, editor, *Handbuch der Physik*, volume III/3. Springer, Berlin, 1965



(a) Polymer dispersion C5G5 (styren/ethyl acrylate copolymer particles in glycol), shear stress ramp experiment.



(b) Steady-state stress/shearrate behaviour; constant applied shear stress (triangles) and constant applied shear rate (circles), TTAA/NaSal solution.

#### Figure: Experimental data for some fluids.

H. M. Laun. Normal stresses in extremely shear thickening polymer dispersions. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech., 54:87–108, 1994

Philippe Boltenhagen, Yuntao Hu, E. F. Matthys, and D. J. Pine. Observation of bulk phase separation and coexistence in a sheared micellar solution. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:2359–2362, Sep 1997

#### Constitutive relations for non-Newtonian fluids

Alternative approach: There is a relation between stress and kinematical variables.

$$\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta},\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{O}$$

Example:

$$\mathbb{T}_{\delta} = 2\left(\mu_{\infty} + (\mu_{0} - \mu_{\infty}) e^{-\frac{|\mathbb{T}_{\delta}|}{\tau_{0}}}\right) \mathbb{D}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Gilbert R. Seely. Non-newtonian viscosity of polybutadiene solutions. AIChE J., 10(1):56-60, 1964

#### Constitutive relations for non-Newtonian fluids

Alternative approach: There is a relation between stress and kinematical variables.

$$\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta},\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{O}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Shear stress and shear rate



Example

 $\mathbb{T} \approx \sigma$  (shear stress)  $\mathbb{D} \approx \dot{\gamma}$  (shear rate, strain rate)



Philippe Boltenhagen, Yuntao Hu, E. F. Matthys, and D. J. Pine. Observation of bulk phase separation and coexistence in a sheared micellar solution. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:2359–2362, Sep 1997

э

Example

 $\mathbb{T} \approx \sigma$  (shear stress)  $\mathbb{D} \approx \dot{\gamma}$  (shear rate, strain rate)



Philippe Boltenhagen, Yuntao Hu, E. F. Matthys, and D. J. Pine. Observation of bulk phase separation and coexistence in a sheared micellar solution. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:2359–2362, Sep 1997

э

Example

 $\mathbb{T} \approx \sigma$  (shear stress)  $\mathbb{D} \approx \dot{\gamma}$  (shear rate, strain rate)



Philippe Boltenhagen, Yuntao Hu, E. F. Matthys, and D. J. Pine. Observation of bulk phase separation and coexistence in a sheared micellar solution. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:2359–2362, Sep 1997

э

#### One-dimensional implicit type relations

One dimensional data:

 $\mathbb{T}pprox\sigma$  (shear stress)  $\mathbb{D}pprox\dot{\gamma}$  (shear rate, strain rate)

Standard approach (does not work):

 $\mathbb{T}_{\delta}=\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{D})$ 

Alternative approach:

$$\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta},\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{O} \qquad ext{or} \qquad \mathbb{D}=\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta})$$

Curves:

$$\dot{\gamma} = e^{-a\sigma} (a_1 \sigma + b_1) + (1 - e^{-b\sigma}) (a_2 \sigma + b_2)$$
(A)  
$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{p_1 \sigma^3 + p_2 \sigma^2 + p_3 \sigma + p_4}{\sigma^2 + q_1 \sigma + q_2}$$
(B)  
$$\dot{\gamma} = (\alpha (1 + \beta \sigma^2)^n + \gamma) \sigma$$
(C)

#### One dimensional implict type relations - curve fitting



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

# Reconstruction of the tensorial constitutive relation from one-dimensional data

Task:

$$f(\sigma,\dot{\gamma})=0\mapsto\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta},\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{O}$$

Experimental data:

$$\mathbb{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & T_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} & T_{\hat{y}\hat{z}} \\ 0 & T_{\hat{z}\hat{y}} & T_{\hat{z}\hat{z}} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbb{D} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{dv^2}{dy} \\ 0 & \frac{dv^2}{dy} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\sigma =_{def} T_{\hat{y}\hat{z}} \quad \text{(shear stress)} \qquad \dot{\gamma} =_{def} \frac{dv^2}{dy} \quad \text{(shear rate)}$$

**-** -

. . .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Reconstruction of the tensorial constitutive relation from one-dimensional data – curve B

Task:

$$f(\sigma,\dot{\gamma})=\mathsf{0}\mapsto\mathfrak{f}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta},\mathbb{D})=\mathbb{O}$$

Fit of one dimensional experimental data:

$$\left(\sigma^{2}+q_{1}\sigma+q_{2}\right)\dot{\gamma}=\left(p_{1}\sigma^{2}+p_{2}\sigma+p_{3}
ight)\sigma$$

Alternatives:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}|^{2} + q_{1} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}| + q_{2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}|^{2} + p_{2} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}| + p_{3} \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_{\delta} \\ (\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2}\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2})_{\delta} + \tilde{q}_{1}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}_{\delta})_{\delta} + q_{2}\mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{4} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}|^{2} + p_{3} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}| + p_{2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_{\delta} \\ (\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2}\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2})_{\delta} + q_{1} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}| \mathbb{D} + q_{2}\mathbb{D} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{4} |\mathbb{T}_{\delta}|^{2} + p_{2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbb{T}_{\delta} + p_{3}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2})_{\delta}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

## Non-newtonian fluids and normal stress differences





(a) Weissenberg effect.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Normal stress differences:

$$\begin{split} N_1 =_{\mathrm{def}} \mathrm{T}_{\hat{z}\hat{z}} - \mathrm{T}_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} \\ N_2 =_{\mathrm{def}} \mathrm{T}_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} - \mathrm{T}_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} \end{split}$$

Non-newtonian fluids and normal stress differences

Navier–Stokes,  $\mathbb{T} = -p\mathbb{I} + 2\mu\mathbb{D}$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & T_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} & T_{\hat{y}\hat{z}}\\ 0 & T_{\hat{z}\hat{y}} & T_{\hat{z}\hat{z}} \end{bmatrix} = -p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{dv^2}{dy}\\ 0 & \frac{dv^2}{dy} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A non-newtonian model,  $\mathbb{T} = -p\mathbb{I} + 2\mu\mathbb{D} + 4\tilde{\mu}\mathbb{D}^2$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{\hat{x}\hat{x}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & T_{\hat{y}\hat{y}} & T_{\hat{y}\hat{z}} \\ 0 & T_{\hat{z}\hat{y}} & T_{\hat{z}\hat{z}} \end{bmatrix} = -p \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mu \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{dv^2}{dy} \\ 0 & \frac{dv^2}{dy} & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{\mu} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \left(\frac{dv^2}{dy}\right)^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \left(\frac{dv^2}{dy}\right)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Key question

#### How to develop reasonable constitutive relations?

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

General algebraic implicit constitutive relation - restrictions

Incompressible, homogeneous, isotropic fluid:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{1}\mathbb{T}_{\delta} + \alpha_{2}\mathbb{D} + \alpha_{3}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2})_{\delta} + \alpha_{4}(\mathbb{D}^{2})_{\delta} + \alpha_{5}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}_{\delta})_{\delta} + \alpha_{6}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2}\mathbb{D} + \mathbb{D}\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2})_{\delta} \\ + \alpha_{7}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}\mathbb{D}^{2} + \mathbb{D}^{2}\mathbb{T}_{\delta})_{\delta} + \alpha_{8}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2}\mathbb{D}^{2} + \mathbb{D}^{2}\mathbb{T}_{\delta}^{2})_{\delta} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Second law of thermodynamics:

 $\mathbb{T}:\mathbb{D}\geq 0$ 

Dynamical admissibility in simple shear flow:

$$oldsymbol{v} = rac{V_{ ext{top}}}{h}oldsymbol{e}_{\hat{z}}$$

T. Perlácová and V. Průša. Tensorial implicit constitutive relations in mechanics of incompressible non-Newtonian fluids. J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech., 216:13–21, 2015

# Summary

- Some experimental data that can not be interpreted using the standard models T<sub>δ</sub> = f(D).
- Implicit constitutive relations f(T<sub>δ</sub>, D) = 0 provide a tool how to develop constitutive models.
- Building a model using one-dimensional data is always a problem. (Rethinking of experimental procedures is necessary.)

 Construction of a three dimensional fully implicit tensorial constitutive relations (thermodynamic background).

#### Nonmonotone response - gradient and vorticity banding



Peter D. Olmsted. Perspectives on shear banding in complex fluids. Rheol. Acta, 47(3):283-300, 2008

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

#### Nonmonotone response – gradient and vorticity banding



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Jan K. G. Dhont and Wim J. Briels. Gradient and vorticity banding. Rheol. Acta, 47(3):257-281, 2008

#### Nonmonotone response – gradient and vorticity banding



Jean-François Berret. Rheology of wormlike micelles: Equilibrium properties and shear banding transitions. In Richard G. Weiss and Pierre Terech, editors, *Molecular Gels*, pages 667–720. Springer, 2006

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

### Nonmonotone response – gradient and vorticity banding



Jean-François Berret. Rheology of wormlike micelles: Equilibrium properties and shear banding transitions. In Richard G. Weiss and Pierre Terech, editors, *Molecular Gels*, pages 667–720. Springer, 2006

# Key question

#### How to develop reasonable constitutive relations?



Design goals:

- ► Non-monotone response in simple shear flow.
- Viscoelasticity. (Time dependent flows.)
- Normal stress differences. (Three dimensional effects.)

#### Conclusion

- New mathematical models are needed.
- Implicit constitutive relations are of interest.
- Non-monotone response leads to interesting dynamics.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v} &= 0\\ \rho \frac{\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{v}}{\mathrm{d} t} &= \operatorname{div} \mathbb{T} + \rho \boldsymbol{b}\\ \mathbb{T} &= \mathbb{T}^\top\\ \mathfrak{g}(\mathbb{T}_{\delta}, \mathbb{D}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ