

## 1. VECTOR-VALUED FUNCTIONS

**Notation.** We consider  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$ , where  $I = [0, T]$  is time interval,  $X$  is Banach space with norm  $\|u\|_X$ ,  $X^*$  is dual of  $X$ ,  $\langle x^*, x \rangle_{X^*, X}$  is the duality between  $x^* \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ . We usually omit the subscripts.

## 1.1. Vector-valued integrable functions – Bochner integral

**Definition.** Function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is called

1. *simple*, if  $u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_{A_j}(t)x_j$ , where  $A_j \subset I$  are (Lebesgue) measurable, and  $x_j \in X$
2. *measurable* (strongly measurable), if there are  $u_n(t)$  simple such that  $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  (strongly in  $X$ ) for a.e.  $t \in I$
3. *weakly measurable*, if the (scalar) function  $t \mapsto \langle x^*, u(t) \rangle$  is (Lebesgue) measurable for any  $x^* \in X^*$  fixed

**Remarks.**

- (strongly) measurable  $\implies$  weakly measurable
- $u(t)$  is simple  $\iff u(t)$  is measurable and  $u(I) \subset X$  finite

**Theorem 1.1.\***<sup>1</sup> [Pettis] Function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is measurable iff  $u(t)$  is weakly measurable and there is  $N \subset I$  of measure zero such that  $u(I \setminus N) \subset X$  is separable (“essentially separably-valued”).

**Corollaries.** ① For  $X$  separable weak measurability implies measurability.

②  $u_n(t)$  measurable,  $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  a.e.  $\implies u(t)$  measurable

③ Continuity implies measurability.

**Definition.** Function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is called (Bochner) integrable, provided there exist  $u_n(t)$  simple such that  $\int_I \|u(t) - u_n(t)\|_X dt \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The (Bochner) integral of  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is defined as follows:

1.  $\int_I u(t) dt = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j \lambda(A_j)$ , if  $u(t)$  is simple
2.  $\int_I u(t) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_I u_n(t) dt$ , if  $u(t)$  is (Bochner) integrable

**Remark.** One has to check these definitions is correct (i.e. independent of  $x_j, A_j$  in the first part, and of  $u_n(t)$  in the second part).

One also proves that  $\|\int_I u(t) dt\|_X \leq \int_I \|u(t)\|_X dt$  for any  $u(t)$  integrable.

**Theorem 1.2.\*** [Bochner] Function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is Bochner integrable iff  $u(t)$  is measurable and  $\int_I \|u(t)\|_X dt < \infty$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Theorems marked with  $\star$  were not proven in this class.

**Theorem 1.3.\*** [Lebesgue] Let  $u_n(t) : I \rightarrow X$  be measurable,  $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ , and let there exist  $g(t) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  integrable such that  $\|u_n(t)\| \leq g(t)$  for a.e.  $t$  and all  $n$ . Then  $u(t)$  is Bochner integrable and  $\int_I u_n(t) dt \rightarrow \int_I u(t) dt$ ; in fact one even has  $\int_I \|u_n(t) - u(t)\| dt \rightarrow 0$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Recall.** For a scalar  $x(t) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we say that  $t$  is a Lebesgue point, if  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h |x(t+s) - x(t)| ds = 0$ .  
Lebesgue's theorem: if  $x(t) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is (locally) integrable, then a.e.  $t \in I$  is a Lebesgue point.

**Definition.** We say that  $t \in I$  is a Lebesgue point of a function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$ , provided that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2h} \int_{-h}^h \|u(t+s) - u(t)\|_X ds = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** [Lebesgue] If  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is Bochner integrable, then a.e.  $t_0 \in I$  is a Lebesgue point.

**Remarks.** Let  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  be Bochner integrable.

- Fix  $t_0 \in I$  and set  $U(t) = \int_{t_0}^t u(s) ds$ . Then  $U'(t) = u(t)$  in each Lebesgue point of  $u(t)$ , in particular a.e. in  $I$ .
- Let  $\psi_0(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convolution kernel, i.e. a bounded measurable function supported in  $[-1, 1]$  such that  $\int_{-1}^1 \psi(s) ds = 1$  (and possibly with additional regularity and symmetries).

Define  $\psi_n(t) = n\psi_0(nt)$ , and  $u * \psi_n(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t-s)\psi_n(s) ds$ . (Note that this only makes sense for  $t \in [1/n, T - 1/n]$ , or one has to define  $u(t)$  outside of  $I$  e.g. by zero).

Then  $u * \psi_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  at each Lebesgue point of  $u(t)$ , in particular a.e. in  $I$ .

**Definition.** For  $p \in [1, \infty)$  we set

$$L^p(I; X) = \left\{ u(t) : I \rightarrow X; u(t) \text{ is measurable and } \int_I \|u(t)\|_X^p dt < \infty \right\}$$

For  $p = \infty$  we set

$$L^\infty(I, X) = \left\{ u(t) : I \rightarrow X; u(t) \text{ is measurable and } t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_X \text{ is essentially bounded} \right\}$$

Essential boundedness means: there is  $c > 0$  such that  $\|u(t)\|_X \leq c$  pro a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Remarks.** These are Banach spaces – with the usual norm, and the convention that  $u(t), v(t)$  are considered identical whenever  $u(t) = v(t)$  a.e. This is proved just as in the scalar case.

If  $X$  is a Hilbert space with scalar product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_X$ , then  $L^2(I; X)$  is Hilbert space with scalar product  $\int_I (u(t), v(t))_X dt$ .

Note that  $L^1(I; X)$  is just the space of integrable functions, and  $L^p(I; X) \subset L^q(I; X)$  if  $p \geq q$  thanks to the boundedness of  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** [Approximation and density.] Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Then:

1. Simple functions are dense in  $L^p(I; X)$ .
2. Functions of the form  $u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(t)x_j$ , where  $\varphi_j(t) \in C_c^\infty(I; \mathbb{R})$ , are dense in  $L^p(I; X)$ .
3. If the space  $Y$  is dense in  $X$ , then the space  $C_c^\infty(I; Y)$  is dense in  $L^p(I; X)$ .
4. Let  $\psi_n(t)$  be a sequence of regularizing kernels, and let  $u(t)$  be extended by 0 outside of  $I$ . Then  $u * \psi_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  in  $L^p(I; X)$ , for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Remarks.** It follows that if  $X$  is separable, then also  $L^p(I; X)$  is separable for  $p < \infty$ . But none of these holds for  $p = \infty$ . We will talk on these spaces (duality, geometry) a little bit later.

## 1.2. AC functions and weak time derivative

**Recall.** Function  $x(t) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called absolutely continuous, provided that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any disjoint, finite collection of intervals  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \subset I$ , if  $\sum_j (\beta_j - \alpha_j) < \delta$  then  $\sum_j |x(\beta_j) - x(\alpha_j)| < \varepsilon$ .

**Proposition 1:** If  $x(t) \in AC(I; \mathbb{R})$ , then  $x'(t)$  exists finite a.e. in  $I$ ,  $x'(t) \in L^1(I; \mathbb{R})$  and  $x(t_2) - x(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} x'(s) ds$  for any  $t_1, t_2 \in I$ .

**Proposition 2:** Let  $g(t) \in L^1(I; \mathbb{R})$ . Fix  $t_0 \in I$  and set  $x(t) = \int_{t_0}^t g(s) ds$  for  $t \in I$ . Then  $x(t)$  is AC and  $x'(t) = g(t)$  a.e. in  $I$ .

We want to generalize this to vector-valued case. In fact Proposition 2 follows quite easily from the above (cf. Remark after Theorem 1.4). Proposition 1 is generalized in Theorem 1.5 below.

**Definition.** Function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$ , is called absolutely continuous, writing  $u(t) \in AC(I; X)$ , provided that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any disjoint, finite collection of intervals  $(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \subset I$ , if  $\sum_j (\beta_j - \alpha_j) < \delta$  then  $\sum_j \|u(\beta_j) - u(\alpha_j)\|_X < \varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  be absolutely continuous, let  $X$  be reflexive and separable space. Then  $u'(t)$  (strong derivative) exists for a.e.  $t \in I$ . Moreover,  $u'(t)$  is (Bochner) integrable and  $u(t_2) - u(t_1) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} u'(s) ds$  for any  $t_1, t_2 \in I$ .

**Notation.** Let  $\mathcal{D}(I) = C_c^\infty(I; \mathbb{R})$  be the space of test functions, i.e. infinitely smooth functions with support strictly inside of  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $u(t) \in L^1(I; X)$ .

1. If  $\int_I u(t)\varphi(t) dt = 0$  for all  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ , then  $u(t) = 0$  a.e. in  $I$ .
2. If  $\int_I u(t)\varphi'(t) dt = 0$  for all  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ , then there is  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $u(t) = x_0$  a.e. in  $I$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $u(t), g(t) \in L^1(I; X)$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1. There exist  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $u(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t g(s) ds$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ .
2.  $\int_I u(t)\varphi'(t) dt = - \int_I g(t)\varphi(t) dt$  for all  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ .
3.  $\frac{d}{dt} \langle x^*, u(t) \rangle = \langle x^*, g(t) \rangle$  in the sense of distributions on  $(0, T)$ , for every  $x^* \in X^*$  fixed.

**Definition.** Let  $u(t), g(t) \in L^1(I; X)$ . We say that  $g(t)$  is a weak derivative of  $u(t)$ , if one (hence all) of the assertions of Lemma 1.3 hold. We write  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) = g(t)$ . We further define the space

$$W^{1,p}(I; X) = \left\{ u(t) \in L^p(I; X); \frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^p(I; X) \right\}$$

**Remarks.** By Lemma 1.3 part 1, weakly differentiable functions are just AC functions; equivalently, primitive functions to integrable functions (up to a modification a.e.).

In applications we often have  $u(t) \in L^p(I; Y)$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^q(I; Z)$  with some spaces  $Y, Z$ . This requires there is some space  $X$  such that  $Y \subset X, Z \subset X$  (often simply  $Y \subset Z = X$ ), so that  $u(t)$  is weakly differentiable as a function  $I \rightarrow X$ , and moreover  $u(t), \frac{d}{dt}u(t)$  have the above-mentioned higher integrability.

**Recall.**  $X$  is called *reflexive*, if the canonical embedding  $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$  is isometrically *onto*. The sequence  $u_n$  converges weakly to  $u$  in  $X$ , if  $\langle x^*, u_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle x^*, u \rangle$  for any  $x^* \in X^*$  fixed. We denote weak convergence by  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ .

The key application (as far as the PDE theory goes) of these concepts is the Eberlein-Šmulian theorem: if  $X$  is reflexive, and  $u_n \subset X$  is a bounded sequence, then there is a subsequence  $\tilde{u}_n$  and  $u \in X$  such that  $\tilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup u$ .

**Definition.** Space  $X$  is called *strictly convex*, if  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$  and  $x \neq y$  implies  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| < 1$ . It is called *uniformly convex*, if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$  implies  $\|\frac{x+y}{2}\| \leq 1 - \delta$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $X$  be uniformly convex, let  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , and let  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ . Then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

**Remark.** It is easy to verify that Hilbert space is uniformly convex, and it is elementary to prove Theorem 1.6 if  $X$  is Hilbert. Uniformly convex spaces have a number of good properties (e.g. they are always reflexive).

As a typical example, spaces  $L^p(\Omega)$  are uniformly convex for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . An obvious generalization is

**Theorem 1.7.\*** Let  $X$  be uniformly convex, let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Then  $L^p(I; X)$  is uniformly convex.

**Recall.** We call  $p, p' \in [1, \infty]$  Hölder conjugate, if  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ . By Hölder's inequality we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|^{p'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

It follows that any  $v(x) \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  fixed defines an element  $F \in (L^p(\Omega))^*$  by the formula  $F : u(\cdot) \mapsto \int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)$ . Conversely, for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , any element of  $(L^p(\Omega))^*$  has such a representation, and in this sense  $(L^p(\Omega))^* = L^{p'}(\Omega)$ .

Consequently,  $L^p(\Omega)$  are reflexive if  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . But  $L^1(\Omega)$ ,  $L^\infty(\Omega)$  are not reflexive, and  $(L^\infty(\Omega))^*$  contains elements that cannot be represented by functions from  $L^1(\Omega)$ .

Once again, we have a vector-valued version of these results.

**Theorem 1.8.** [Hölder's inequality.] Let  $u(t) \in L^p(I; X)$ ,  $v(t) \in L^{p'}(I; X^*)$ , where  $p, p'$  are Hölder conjugate. Then  $t \mapsto \langle v(t), u(t) \rangle$  is measurable and

$$\int_I |\langle v(t), u(t) \rangle| \leq \left( \int_I \|u(t)\|_X^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_I \|v(t)\|_{X^*}^{p'} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$$

**Theorem 1.9.\*** [Dual space to  $L^p(I; X)$ .] Let  $X$  be reflexive, separable and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . Denote  $\mathcal{X} = L^p(I; X)$ . Then for any  $F \in \mathcal{X}^*$  there is  $v(t) \in L^{p'}(I, X^*)$  such that

$$\langle F, u(\cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X}} = \int_I \langle v(t), u(t) \rangle_{X^*, X} dt \quad \forall u(t) \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Moreover,  $v(t)$  is uniquely defined, and its norm in  $L^{p'}(I; X^*)$  equals to the norm of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{X}^*$ .

**Corollaries.** If  $X$  is reflexive, separable, and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , then  $L^p(I; X)$  is also reflexive, separable. Any sequence bounded in  $L^p(I; X)$  has a weakly convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  be weakly differentiable.

1. If  $\eta(t) : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz, then  $u(t)\eta(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is weakly differentiable, and  $\frac{d}{dt}(u(t)\eta(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}u(t)\eta(t) + u(t)\eta'(t)$  a.e. in  $I$ .
2. If  $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ , then  $u*\psi(t)$  is smooth and moreover,  $(u*\psi)'(t) = \frac{d}{dt}u*\psi(t)$  whenever  $t - \text{supp } \psi \subset (0, T)$ .

**Theorem 1.10.** [Extension operator.] Let  $u(t) \in L^p(I; Y)$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^q(I; Z)$ , where  $I = [0, T]$ . Denote  $I_\Delta = [-\Delta, T + \Delta]$  for some  $\Delta > 0$ .

Then there is a function  $Eu(t) \in L^p(I_\Delta; Y)$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}Eu(t) \in L^q(I_\Delta; Z)$  such that  $Eu(t) = u(t)$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}Eu(t) = \frac{d}{dt}u(t)$  a.e. in  $I$ .

**Remarks.** It also follows from the proof that the norms of  $Eu$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}Eu$  are estimated by the corresponding norms of  $u$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}u$ , the mapping  $u \mapsto Eu$  is linear and we can also have  $Eu = 0$  outside (say)  $I_{\Delta/2}$  by multiplication of some cut-off function.

**Theorem 1.11.** [Smooth approximation.] Let  $u(t) \in L^p(I; Y)$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^q(I; Z)$ . Then there exist functions  $u_n(t) \in C^1(I; Y)$  such that  $u_n(t) \rightarrow u(t)$  in  $L^p(I; Y)$  and  $u_n'(t) \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt}u(t)$  in  $L^q(I; Z)$ .

**Notation.** Symbol  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  means *embedding*:  $X \subset Y$  and there is  $c > 0$  such that  $\|u\|_Y \leq c\|u\|_X$  for all  $u \in X$ . Symbol  $X \hookrightarrow\hookrightarrow Y$  means *compact embedding*:  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  and any sequence bounded in  $X$  has a subsequence converging strongly in  $Y$ .

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be separable, reflexive, densely embedded into a Hilbert space  $H$ . By *Gelfand triple* we mean  $X \hookrightarrow H \cong H^* \hookrightarrow X^*$ .

Note that  $X \hookrightarrow H$  implies  $H^* \hookrightarrow X^*$ . Thanks to identification of  $H$  with  $H^*$  (via Riesz theorem), we have also “embedding”  $\iota : X \rightarrow X^*$  is defined by

$$\langle \iota u, v \rangle_{X^*, X} = (u, v)_H \quad u, v \in X$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$  is the scalar product in  $H$ . In this sense, duality  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X, X^*}$  can be seen as a generalization of  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ .

**Lemma 1.5.**  $W^{1,p}(I; X) \hookrightarrow C(I; X)$  in the sense of representative: for any  $u(t) \in W^{1,p}(I; X)$  there is  $\tilde{u}(t) \in C(I; X)$  such that

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{C(I; X)} \leq c\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(I; X)}$$

and  $u(t) = \tilde{u}(t)$  a.e. in  $I$ .

**Remark.** It can be shown that even  $W^{1,p}(I; X) \hookrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(I; X)$ , the space of  $\alpha$ -Hölder functions with  $\alpha = 1 - 1/p$ . Also  $W^{1,\infty}(I; X) = C^{0,1}(I; X)$ , the space of Lipschitz functions.

**Theorem 1.12.** [Continuous representative.] Let  $X \hookrightarrow H \cong H^* \hookrightarrow X^*$  be Gelfand triple, let  $u(t) \in L^p(I; X)$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^{p'}(I; X^*)$ , where  $p, p'$  are Hölder conjugate. Then:

1.  $u(t) \in C(I; H)$  in the sense of representative; more precisely, there is  $\tilde{u}(t)$  such that

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{C(I; H)} \leq C \left( \|u(t)\|_{L^p(I; X)} + \left\| \frac{d}{dt}u(t) \right\|_{L^{p'}(I; X^*)} \right)$$

and  $u(t) = \tilde{u}(t)$  a.e. in  $I$ .

2. function  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_H^2$  is weakly differentiable with  $\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_H^2 = 2\left\langle \frac{d}{dt}u(t), u(t) \right\rangle_{X^*, X}$  a.e. In particular

$$\|\tilde{u}(t_2)\|_H^2 = \|\tilde{u}(t_1)\|_H^2 + 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\langle \frac{d}{dt}u(t), u(t) \right\rangle_{X^*, X} dt$$

for any  $t_1, t_2 \in I$ , where  $\tilde{u}(t)$  is the continuous representative.

**Remarks.** In other words, there is an embedding (in the sense of representative)

$$\left\{ u(t) \in L^p(I; X), \quad \frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^{p'}(I; X^*) \right\} \hookrightarrow C(I; X)$$

In view of Theorem 1.9, note  $u(t)$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t)$  belong to mutually dual spaces.

**Lemma 1.6.** [Ehrling.] Let  $Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow Z$ . Then for any  $a > 0$  there is  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_X \leq a\|u\|_Y + C\|u\|_Z \quad \forall u \in Y$$

**Theorem 1.13.** [Aubin-Lions lemma.] Let  $Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow Z$ , where  $Y, Z$  are reflexive, separable. Let  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ . Then for any sequence  $u_n(t)$  bounded in  $L^p(I; Y)$ , with  $\frac{d}{dt}u_n(t)$  bounded in  $L^q(I; Z)$ , there is a subsequence converging strongly in  $L^p(I; X)$ .

**Remark.** In other words, under the above assumptions, there is a compact embedding

$$\left\{ u(t) \in L^p(I; Y), \quad \frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^q(I; Z) \right\} \hookrightarrow L^p(I; X)$$

## 2. PARABOLIC 2ND ORDER EQUATION

In this chapter we will consider a nonlinear second order parabolic equation

$$\partial_t u - \operatorname{div} a(\nabla u) + f(u) = h(t, x) \quad (t, x) \in I \times \Omega \quad (\text{P1})$$

$$u = u_0 \quad t = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (\text{P2})$$

$$u = 0 \quad t \in I, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \quad (\text{P3})$$

Here  $u = u(t, x)$  is the unknown solution. The right-hand side  $h = h(t, x)$  and initial condition  $u_0$  in (P2) are given data, and (P3) is the so-called Dirichlet boundary condition.

**Assumptions.** Throughout this chapter, we assume that:

(A0)  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is bounded regular (Lipschitz) boundary  $\partial\Omega$

(A1)  $a(\xi) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  satisfies  $a(0) = 0$  and for  $\forall \xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|a(\xi_1) - a(\xi_2)| \leq \alpha_1 |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \quad (2.1)$$

$$(a(\xi_1) - a(\xi_2)) \cdot (\xi_1 - \xi_2) \geq \alpha_0 |\xi_1 - \xi_2|^2 \quad (2.2)$$

(A2)  $f(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq \ell |z_1 - z_2|$$

**Remark.** Here  $-\operatorname{div} a(\nabla u)$  is a nonlinear 2nd order elliptic operator. For  $a(\xi) = \xi$  and  $f \equiv 0$  we have a heat equation  $\partial_t u - \Delta u = h(t, x)$  as a special case.

**Recall.** The spaces  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ ,  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{-1,2} = (W_0^{1,2})^*$  are reflexive, separable;  $W^{1,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ . Poincaré inequality:

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq c_p \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

implies that  $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$  is an equivalent norm in  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ .

**Notation.** We will write  $L^2$ ,  $W^{1,2}$  instead of  $L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , etc, and  $\|u\|_2$ ,  $\|u\|_{1,2}$  will denote the norms in these spaces. Symbol  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the scalar product in  $L^2$

$$(f, g) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \cdot g(x) dx$$

and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the duality of  $W_0^{1,2}$  and  $W^{-1,2}$ .

We will work with the Gelfand triple  $W_0^{1,2} \hookrightarrow L^2 \cong (L^2)^* \hookrightarrow W^{-1,2}$ , and  $\iota : W_0^{1,2} \rightarrow W^{-1,2}$  is the corresponding embedding, i.e.,  $\langle \iota u, v \rangle = (u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in W_0^{1,2}$ .

**Recall.** Let  $h \in W^{-1,2}$ . The function  $u \in W_0^{1,2}$  is called weak solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} a(\nabla u) &= h & x \in \Omega \\ u &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$(a(\nabla u), \nabla v) = \langle h, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}$$

Expanding the left-hand side this means

$$\int_{\Omega} a(\nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla v(x) dx = \langle h, v \rangle \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}$$

By the (nonlinear) Lax-Milgram theorem, there exists unique such solution  $u$ . It is convenient to introduce the (nonlinear) operator  $\mathcal{A} : W_0^{1,2} \rightarrow W^{-1,2}$ , by the relation

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(u), v \rangle = (a(\nabla u), \nabla v)$$

Then the above problem is written simply as  $\mathcal{A}(u) = h$ , with  $\mathcal{A} : W_0^{1,2} \rightarrow W^{-1,2}$  one-to-one continuous.

**Assumption on the data.** We will assume that the right-hand side of (P1) satisfies  $h(t) \in L^2(I; W^{-1,2})$  and the initial condition  $u_0 \in L^2$ .

**Definition.** Function  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  is called *weak solution* to (P1), provided that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u(t), v) + (a(\nabla u(t)), \nabla v) + (f(u(t)), v) = \langle h(t), v \rangle$$

in the sense of distributions on  $(0, T)$ , for any  $v \in W_0^{1,2}$  fixed.

**Remarks.** Expanding the definition of weak derivative  $\frac{d}{dt}$ , this means

$$-\int_I (u(t), v) \varphi'(t) dt + \int_I (a(\nabla u(t)), \nabla v) \varphi(t) dt + \int_I (f(u(t)), v) \varphi(t) dt = \int_I \langle h(t), v \rangle \varphi(t) dt$$

for any  $v \in W_0^{1,2}$ ,  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ . Expanding further the definition of  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  yields

$$- \int_{I \times \Omega} u(t, x) v(x) \varphi'(t) dt dx + \int_{I \times \Omega} a(\nabla u(t)) \cdot \nabla v(x) \varphi(t) dt dx + \int_{I \times \Omega} f(u(t, x)) v(t, x) dt dx = \int_I \langle h(t), v \rangle dt$$

The definition makes sense: the integrals converge due to assumptions (A1), (A2).

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  be a weak solution. Then

1.  $u(t)$  is weakly differentiable with

$$\frac{d}{dt} u(t) + \mathcal{A}(u(t)) + f(u(t)) = h(t)$$

in particular  $\frac{d}{dt} u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$ .

2.  $u(t) \in C(I; L^2)$  in the sense of representative
3.  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_2^2$  is weakly differentiable, with

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \langle \mathcal{A}(u(t)), u(t) \rangle + \langle f(u(t)), u(t) \rangle = \langle h(t), u(t) \rangle$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Remark.** We agree to always use the continuous representative. (Hence,  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_2^2$  is AC function.) Now it makes sense to speak of the value  $u(t)$  for *all*  $t \in I$ , in particular, the initial condition  $u(0)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** [Gronwall lemma.] Let  $y(t)$ ,  $g(t)$  be nonnegative (scalar) functions,  $y(t)$  continuous and  $g(t)$  integrable, such that

$$y(t) \leq K + \int_0^t g(s) y(s) ds \quad \forall t \in I$$

Then

$$y(t) \leq K \exp \left( \int_0^t g(s) ds \right) \quad \forall t \in I$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Weak solution is unique.

**Recall.** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a Banach space. Operator  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$  is *monotone*, if  $\langle \mathcal{A}(u) - \mathcal{A}(v), u - v \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X}} \geq 0$  for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$ . It is *hemicontinuous*, if the function  $t \mapsto \mathcal{A}(u + tv)$  is continuous (from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathcal{X}^*$ ) for any  $u, v \in \mathcal{X}$  fixed.

**Lemma 2.3.** [Minty's trick.] Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be reflexive, let  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^*$  be monotone, hemicontinuous. Let  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(u_n) \rightharpoonup \alpha$  in  $\mathcal{X}^*$ , and let moreover

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathcal{A}(u_n), u_n \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X}} \leq \langle \alpha, u \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^*, \mathcal{X}}$$

Then  $\mathcal{A}(u) = \alpha$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{A}(u_n) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{A}(u)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [Compactness of w.s.] Let  $u_n(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  be weak solutions such that  $u_n(0) \rightarrow u_0$  in  $L^2$ . Then there is a subsequence  $\tilde{u}_n(t)$  converging weakly to some  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$ , where  $u(t)$  is again a weak solution, and  $u(0) = u_0$ .



**Recall.** The Dirichlet laplacian eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= \lambda u & x \in \Omega \\ u &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

has a sequence  $(w_j, \lambda_j) \in W_0^{1,2} \times (0, +\infty)$  of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues such that  $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $w_j$  form a complete ON basis of  $L^2$ , but also a complete OG basis of  $W_0^{1,2}$ , where the latter space is equipped with the scalar product  $((u, v)) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v$ . The above problem in the weak form can thus be written as

$$((u, v)) = \lambda(u, v) \quad \forall v \in W_0^{1,2}$$

By  $P_n$  we will denote a projection of  $L^2$  onto the finite-dimensional space  $\text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ . Clearly  $\|P_n\| = 1$ . But an important fact is that  $P_n$  is also an ON projection w.r.t.  $W_0^{1,2}$  with the above scalar product  $((\cdot, \cdot))$ , and  $\|P_n\| = 1$  also in this space.

**Theorem 2.3.** [Existence of w.s.] Let  $u_0 \in L^2$  and  $h(t) \in L^2(I; W^{-1,2})$  be given. Then there exists  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  a weak solution to (P1)–(P3) such that  $u(0) = u_0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [Chain rule for weak derivative.] Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be smooth function with  $\psi'$  and  $\psi''$  bounded. Then:

1. If  $u \in W_0^{1,2}$ , then  $\psi(u) \in W_0^{1,2}$ , with  $\nabla \psi(u) = \psi'(u) \nabla u$  in the weak sense. Moreover, the operator  $u \mapsto \psi(u)$  is continuous  $W_0^{1,2} \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}$ .
2. If  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^2(I; W^{-1,2})$ , then  $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \psi(u(t)) dx$  is weakly differentiable

with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \psi(u(t)) dx = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt}u(t), \psi'(u(t)) \right\rangle$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Definition.** If  $v \in L^2$  or  $W^{1,2}$ , then  $v \geq 0$  (resp.  $v \leq 0$ ) means:  $v(x) \geq 0$  (resp.  $v(x) \leq 0$ ) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ . If  $f \in W^{-1,2}$ , then  $f \geq 0$  (resp.  $f \leq 0$ ) means:  $\langle f, v \rangle \geq 0$  (resp.  $\langle f, v \rangle \leq 0$ ) for all  $v \in W_0^{1,2}$  such that  $v \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** [Maximum principle for w.s.] Let  $u(t)$  be a weak solution. Let  $f(\cdot) \geq 0$ ,  $u(0) \leq 0$  and  $h(t) \leq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ . Then  $u(t) \leq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Remarks.** Minimum principle: if  $f(\cdot) \leq 0$ ,  $u(0) \geq 0$  and  $h(t) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ , then  $u(t) \geq 0$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ . Generalization: if  $u(0) \leq M$  and  $u \leq M$  on  $\partial\Omega$  (in the sense of traces), and  $f(\cdot) \geq 0$ ,  $h(t) \leq 0$ , then  $u(t) \leq M$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Recall.** Regularity of the laplace equation: if  $u \in W_0^{1,2}$  is a weak solution to  $-\Delta u = \iota h$ , where  $h \in L^2$  and we moreover have  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ , then  $u \in W^{2,2}$  and there holds  $\|u\|_{2,2} \leq c_R \|f\|_2$ , where  $c_R$  only depends on  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [Strong solution.] Let  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  be a weak solution of the heat equation

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = h(t, x)$$

and let  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}$ ,  $h(t) \in L^2(I; L^2)$  and  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\in L^\infty(I; W_0^{1,2}) \cap L^2(I; W^{2,2}) \\ \frac{d}{dt}u(t) &\in L^2(I; L^2) \end{aligned}$$

**Remarks.** The equation now holds a.e. in  $I \times \Omega$ . Note that  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W^{1,2})$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^2(I; L^2)$  implies (in fact is equivalent to)  $u(t, x) \in W^{1,2}(I \times \Omega)$ .

Note also that even if  $u(0) \in L^2$  only, we have  $u(t) \in W_0^{1,2}$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ , hence the regularity of Theorem 2.5 holds at least *locally*, i.e. on  $[\tau, T]$  for arbitrary  $\tau > 0$ . This is a general principle: parabolic equations *regularize* in time.

The regularity can be further improved – as far as the data permit.

### 3. HYPERBOLIC 2ND ORDER EQUATION

In this chapter we will consider a semilinear second order hyperbolic equation

$$\partial_{tt}u - \Delta u + \alpha u_t + f(u) = h(t, x) \quad (t, x) \in I \times \Omega \quad (\text{H1})$$

$$u = u_0 \quad t = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (\text{H2})$$

$$\partial_t u = u_1 \quad t = 0, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (\text{H3})$$

$$u = 0 \quad t \in I, \quad x \in \partial\Omega \quad (\text{H4})$$

Again,  $u = u(t, x)$  is the unknown solution. The right-hand side  $h = h(t, x)$  and initial conditions  $u_0, u_1$  in (H2), (H3) are given. Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed in (H4).

**Assumptions.** We will assume that  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary,  $f(z) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is globally Lipschitz, and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Concerning the data, we assume  $h(t) \in L^2(I; L^2)$ ,  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}$  and  $u_1 \in L^2$ .

**Definition.** Function  $u(t) \in L^\infty(I; W_0^{1,2})$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^\infty(I; L^2)$  is called weak solution to (H1), provided that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(u(t), v) + (\nabla u(t), \nabla v) + \alpha \left( \frac{d}{dt}u(t), v \right) + (f(u(t)), v) = (h(t), v)$$

in the sense of distributions on  $(0, T)$ , for any  $v \in W_0^{1,2}$  fixed.

**Remarks.** Expanding the definition, weak solution means that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I (u(t), w) \varphi''(t) dt + \int_I (\nabla u(t), \nabla w) \varphi(t) dt + \alpha \int_I \left( \frac{d}{dt}u(t), w \right) \varphi(t) dt \\ + \int_I (f(u(t)), w) \varphi(t) dt = \int_I (h(t), w) \varphi(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for any  $v \in W_0^{1,2}$ ,  $\varphi(t) \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ . On the other hand, if define  $A : W_0^{1,2} \rightarrow W^{-1,2}$  as  $\langle Au, v \rangle = (\nabla u, \nabla v)$  for  $v \in W_0^{1,2}$  (so essentially  $A = -\Delta$  weakly), then the weak formulation can be written more succinctly as

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \iota u(t) + Au(t) + \alpha \iota \frac{d}{dt}u(t) + \iota f(u(t)) = \iota h(t)$$

as equation in  $W^{-1,2}$ . Or equivalently, denoting  $v(t) = \frac{d}{dt}u(t)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\iota v(t) + Au(t) + \alpha \iota v(t) + \iota f(u(t)) = \iota h(t)$$

It can be shown that if  $u(t)$  is weak solution, then  $u(t) : I \rightarrow W_0^{1,2}$  and  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) : I \rightarrow L^2$  are weakly continuous (in the sense of representative). Hence the initial conditions (H2), (H3) are meaningful.

**Theorem 3.1.** Weak solution is unique.

**Notation.** The energy is defined

$$E[u] = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left\| \frac{d}{dt}u \right\|_2^2 + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 \right)$$

Multiplying (H1) with  $\partial_t u$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , the first two terms give  $\frac{d}{dt}E[u]$ ; unfortunately, this is not justified in the class of weak solutions. We however have the following:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $u(t) \in L^2(I; W_0^{1,2})$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^2(I; L^2)$  be such that  $\frac{d}{dt}\iota u(t) + Au(t) = \iota H(t)$  weakly in  $I$ , where  $H(t) \in L^2(I; L^2)$ . Then  $t \mapsto E[u(t)]$  is weakly differentiable and

$$\frac{d}{dt}E[u(t)] = (H(t), \frac{d}{dt}u(t))$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $h(t) \in L^2(I; L^2)$ ,  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}$  and  $u_1 \in L^2$  be given. Then there exists  $u(t) \in L^\infty(I; W_0^{1,2})$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^\infty(I; L^2)$  a weak solution to (H1)–(H4) such that  $u(0) = u_0$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}u(0) = u_1$  in the sense of representatives.

**Theorem 3.3.** [Strong solution.] Let  $u(t) \in L^\infty(I; W_0^{1,2})$  with  $\frac{d}{dt}u(t) \in L^\infty(I; L^2)$  be a weak solution to the wave equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}u - \Delta u + \alpha \frac{d}{dt}u = h(t)$$

Let the data satisfy  $h(t) \in W^{1,2}(I; L^2)$ ,  $u_1 \in W_0^{1,2}$ ,  $u_0 \in W_0^{1,2}$ ,  $h(0) + \Delta u_0 \in L^2$  and let  $\partial\Omega$  be  $C^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &\in L^\infty(I; W^{2,2}), & \frac{d}{dt}u(t) &\in L^\infty(I; W_0^{1,2}) \\ \frac{d^2}{dt^2}u(t) &\in L^\infty(I; L^2) \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** For parabolic equation, we have seen that any weak solution immediately becomes more regular (i.e. strong) even if  $u(0) \in L^2$  only.

For the wave equation this cannot be true: note that if we reverse time, only the sign of  $\alpha$  is changed.

**Notation.** For any weak solution  $u = u(t, x)$  we define

$$e(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{d}{dt}u(t, x) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2$$

Note that  $e(t, x) \in L^1(I \times \Omega)$  at least, and is related to the energy via

$$E[u(t)] = \int_{\Omega} e(t, x) dx$$

We will also write  $B(x_0, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; |x - x_0| \leq r\}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** [Wave principle.] Let  $u(t)$  be weak solution to the damped wave equation

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}u - \Delta u + \alpha \frac{d}{dt}u = 0$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Let  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\tau \in I$  be such that  $B(x_0, \tau) \subset \Omega$ . Then

$$\int_{B(x_0, \tau-t)} e(t, x) dx \leq \int_{B(x_0, \tau)} e(0, x) dx$$

for all  $t \in [0, \tau]$ .

**Remark.** The above theorem uses a formula, which holds for any smooth  $e = e(t, x)$ :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{B(x_0, \tau-t)} e(t, x) dx = \int_{B(x_0, \tau-t)} \partial_t e(t, x) dx - \int_{\partial B(x_0, \tau-t)} e(t, x) dS(x)$$

**Corollary.** [Finite speed of propagation.] Let  $u(t)$  be weak solution to damped wave equation; let  $u(0) = 0$ ,  $\frac{d}{dt}u(0) = 0$  in some  $B(x_0, \tau) \subset \Omega$ . Then  $u \equiv 0$  a.e. in the cone

$$\{(t, x); |x - x_0| \leq \tau - t\}$$

## 4. THEORY OF SEMIGROUPS

### 4.1. Homogeneous problem

Our first aim in this chapter is to develop a theory of abstract equations of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = Ax \tag{4.1}$$

where  $x(t) : I \rightarrow X$  and  $A : X \rightarrow X$  is linear, but not necessarily bounded operator. In other words, we will want to define  $e^{tA}$  in some generalized sense.

**Notation.** In this chapter  $X$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is the space of linear continuous operators  $L : X \rightarrow X$  with the usual norm. By unbounded operator we mean a couple  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ , where  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset X$  is a linear subspace and  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is linear (but not necessarily bounded) operator.

**Definition.** Function  $S(t) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  is called a *semigroup* of operators in  $X$ , if

- (i)  $S(0) = I$
- (ii)  $S(t)S(s) = S(t+s)$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$

If moreover

- (iii)  $S(t)x \rightarrow x$  as  $t \rightarrow 0+$  for any  $x \in X$  fixed, we say that  $S(t)$  form a  *$c_0$ -semigroup* in  $X$ .

**Remarks.** Replacing (iii) by a stronger condition (iii)'  $S(t) \rightarrow I$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  for  $t \rightarrow 0+$ , we obtain the so-called *uniformly continuous semigroup*. In such a case one already has  $S(t) = e^{tA}$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $S(t)$  be a  $c_0$ -semigroup in  $X$ . Then

1.  $\exists M \geq 1, \omega \geq 0$  such that  $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq Me^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$

2. the map  $t \mapsto S(t)x$  is continuous  $[0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  for any  $x \in X$  fixed

**Definition.** By a *generator* of semigroup  $S(t)$  we mean an unbounded operator  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ , defined by

$$Ax = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} (S(h)x - x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$$

where we set

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ x \in X; \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} (S(h)x - x) \text{ exists in } X \right\}$$

**Remark.** It is easy to verify that in the above definition  $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset X$  is a linear subspace, and  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is a linear operator.

**Theorem 4.1.** [Basic properties of generator.] Let  $S(t)$  be a  $c_0$ -semigroup in  $X$ , let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be its generator. Then

1.  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A) \implies S(t)x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for all  $t \geq 0$
2.  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A) \implies AS(t)x = S(t)Ax = \frac{d}{dt}S(t)x$  for any  $t \geq 0$  (derivative at  $t = 0$  is only from the right)
3. for any  $x \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ , one has  $\int_0^t S(s)x ds \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $A\left(\int_0^t S(s)x ds\right) = S(t)x - x$

**Remark.** The above theorem says that  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is invariant w.r.t.  $S(t)$ , that  $A$  and  $S(t)$  commute on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  and most importantly, if  $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ , then  $x(t) = S(t)x_0$  is a classical solution to (4.1) with initial condition  $x(0) = x_0$ .

**Definition.** We say that the unbounded operator  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is *closed*, if  $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  and  $Au_n \rightarrow v$  imply  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $Au = v$ .

**Remark.** It can be shown that  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is closed if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is complete (i.e. Banach) space w.r.t. the norm  $\|u\| + \|Au\|$ . In this situation  $A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is *continuous*.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be a generator of some  $c_0$ -semigroup in  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$  and  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is closed.

**Lemma 4.2.** [Unicity of semigroup.] Let  $S(t)$ ,  $\tilde{S}(t)$  be  $c_0$ -semigroups that have the same generator. Then  $S(t) = \tilde{S}(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be an unbounded operator in  $X$ . We define

- the *resolvent set*  $\rho(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda I - A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X \text{ is one-to-one}\}$
- the *resolvent*  $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)_{-1} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ , defined if  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$
- the *spectrum*  $\sigma(A) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(A)$

**Remarks.** The following are easy to show:

- if  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is closed, then  $R(\lambda, A)$ , once it is defined, must be already continuous, i.e.  $R(\lambda, A) \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ ; moreover  $\rho(A) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open, and the mapping  $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda, A)$  is analytic  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$

- one has the resolvent identity:

$$R(\lambda, A) - R(\mu, A) = (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda, A)R(\mu, A), \quad \text{for any } \lambda, \mu \in \rho(A)$$

- one also has

$$\begin{aligned} AR(\lambda, A)x &= \lambda R(\lambda, A)x - x, & x \in X, \\ R(\lambda, A)Ax &= \lambda R(\lambda, A)x - x, & x \in \mathcal{D}(A); \end{aligned}$$

in particular

$$AR(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda, A)A \quad \text{on } \mathcal{D}(A)$$

**Lemma 4.3.** Let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be a generator of  $c_0$ -semigroup  $S(t)$  on  $X$ ; and let  $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq Me^{\omega t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Then for every  $\lambda > \omega$  one has  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ , the resolvent can be computed as

$$R(\lambda, A)x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t)x \, dt$$

and moreover,  $\|R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{M}{\lambda - \omega}$ .

**Remark.** If  $S(t)$  is a  $c_0$ -semigroup with generator  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ , then  $\tilde{S}(t) = e^{-\omega t} S(t)$  is a  $c_0$ -semigroup with generator  $\tilde{A} = A - \omega I$ , and  $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{A}) = \mathcal{D}(A)$ . Clearly also  $\lambda \in \rho(\tilde{A})$  if and only if  $\lambda + \omega \in \rho(A)$ , and  $R(\lambda, \tilde{A}) = R(\lambda + \omega, A)$ .

**Definition.** We call  $S(t)$  a *contraction  $c_0$ -semigroup*, provided that  $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** [Hille-Yosida.] Let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be an unbounded operator on  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:

1. there exists a  $c_0$ -semigroup  $S(t)$  of contractions on  $X$  such that  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is its generator
2.  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is closed,  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$  and for every  $\lambda > 0$  one has  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ ,  $\|R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$

**Remarks.** The proof 2  $\implies$  1 uses the so-called Yosida approximation  $A_n x \rightarrow Ax$ , where  $A_n = n^2 R(n, A) - nI$  are bounded. Other possible „exponential formula“ reads

$$S(t)x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( I - \frac{tA}{n} \right)^{-n} x$$

where  $\left( I - \frac{tA}{n} \right)^{-1} = \frac{n}{t} R\left(\frac{n}{t}, A\right)$ . Cf. the well-known formula  $e^a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - a/n)^{-n}$ .

A general version of Hille-Yosida theorem says:  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is a generator of  $c_0$ -semigroup satisfying  $\|S(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq Me^{t\omega}$ , if and only if  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is closed,  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is dense in  $X$  and for every  $\lambda > \omega$  one has  $\lambda \in \rho(A)$  and  $\|R(\lambda, A)\|_{\mathcal{L}(X)} \leq \frac{M}{\lambda - \omega}$ .

A somewhat related is the Lumer-Phillips theorem:  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is a generator of  $c_0$ -semigroup of contractions, if and only if it is closed, densely defined, and for every  $\lambda > 0$  one has  $\|\lambda x - Ax\|_X \geq \lambda \|x\|_X$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and moreover,  $\lambda_0 I - A : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow X$  is onto for some  $\lambda_0 > 0$ .

#### 4.2. Nonhomogeneous problem

We will now consider a general (nonhomogeneous) Cauchy problem

$$\frac{d}{dt}u = Au + f(t), \quad u(0) = u_0 \quad (4.2)$$

where  $u_0 \in X$  and  $f(t) : I \rightarrow X$  are given,  $I = [0, T]$ . We will assume that  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  is an unbounded operator, which generates a  $c_0$ -semigroup  $S(t)$ , and that  $f(t) \in L^1(I; X)$  at least.

**Definition.** Function  $u(t)$  is called *classical solution* to (4.2), if  $u(t) \in C^1(I; X) \cap C(I; \mathcal{D}(A))$ , and (4.2) holds for every  $t \in I$ .

Function  $u(t)$  is called *strong solution* to (4.2), provided that  $u(t) \in W^{1,1}(I; X) \cap L^1(I; \mathcal{D}(A))$  and (4.2) holds for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Remarks.** Classical implies strong, and strong (in view of Lemma 1.3) is equivalent to

$$u(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t Au(s) + f(s) ds$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$ , where the right-hand side is the absolutely continuous representative of  $u(t)$ .

Note that  $u(t) \in C(I; \mathcal{D}(A))$  (where  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  is considered with the graph norm) is equivalent to:  $u(t) \in C(I; X)$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for every  $t \in I$  and moreover,  $t \mapsto Au(t)$  is continuous  $I \rightarrow X$ .

Analogous assertion is concerning integrability is:

**Lemma 4.4.** [Hille's theorem.] Let  $(A, \mathcal{D}(A))$  be closed operator. Then  $u(t) \in L^1(I; \mathcal{D}(A))$  if and only if  $u(t) \in L^1(I; X)$ ,  $u(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  for a.e.  $t \in I$  and  $Au(t) \in L^1(I; X)$ .

In this situation one also has  $\int_I u(t) dt \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and

$$A \left( \int_I u(t) dt \right) = \int_I Au(t) dt$$

**Definition.** Function  $u(t) : I \rightarrow X$  is called *mild solution* to (4.2), provided that

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s) ds, \quad t \in I$$

**Remarks.** Recalling that  $S(t)$  is a semigroup generated by  $A$ , hence „ $S(t) = e^{tA}$ “, the definition is motivated by the „variation of constants“ formula. The integral is finite in fact,  $u(t) \in C(I; X)$ , see lemma below.

Note that trivially, one has existence and uniqueness of mild solution.

**Lemma 4.5.** [Abstract convolution.] Let  $S(t)$  be a  $c_0$ -semigroup on  $X$ , let  $v(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)f(s) ds$ ,  $t \in I$ . Then

1.  $f(t) \in L^1(I; X) \implies v(t) \in C(I; X)$
2.  $f(t) \in C^{0,1}(I; X) \implies v(t) \in C^{0,1}(I; X)$
3.  $f(t) \in C^1(I; X) \implies v(t) \in C^1(I; X)$ , and  $v'(t) = S(t)f(0) + \int_0^t S(t-s)f'(s) ds$ ,  $t \in X$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** Function  $u(t)$  is mild solution to (4.2), if and only if  $u(t) \in C(I; X)$ , for every  $t \in I$  one has  $\int_0^t u(s) ds \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and

$$u(t) = u_0 + A \left( \int_0^t u(s) ds \right) + \int_0^t f(s) ds \quad \forall t \in I$$

**Corollary.** Strong solution is also mild. Classical and strong solutions, whenever they exist, are unique.

**Remark.** Mild solution, in general, is not strong: assume that  $x \in X$  is such that  $S(t)x \notin \mathcal{D}(A)$  for any  $t > 0$ . Then  $u(t) = tS(t)x$  is mild solution of (4.2) with  $f(t) = S(t)x$ ,  $u_0 = 0$ , but  $u(t) \notin \mathcal{D}(A)$  for any  $t > 0$ .

However, every mild solution is a uniform limit of classical solutions. For  $h > 0$ , we set  $u_h(t) = 1/h \int_t^{t+h} u(s) ds$  and verify (with help of Lemma 4.6.) that  $u_h(t)$  is classical solution of (4.2) with initial condition  $u_h(0) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ , and right-hand side  $f_h(t)$ . Clearly  $u_h(t) \rightrightarrows u(t)$  thanks to properties of convolution.

**Theorem 4.4.** [Regularity of mild solution.]

1. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $f(t) \in C^1(I; X)$ . Then mild solution is classical.
2. Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A)$  and  $f(t) \in C^{0,1}(I; X)$ ; let moreover  $X$  be reflexive. Then mild solution is strong.