

Last update: May 15, 2024

NMST424 – MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS 3 – EXAM

Please note that this document is still in evolution.

The exam will be organized as follows. First, an example will be given and there will be about 50 minutes to solve this example. After handing in this example, the student can make a short break, after which he/she gets two theoretical questions.

1. FIRST PART (EXAMPLE)

This part covers: the use of Δ -theorem, deriving asymptotic normality of moment estimators, maximum likelihood estimators, M -estimators and Z -estimators, deriving tests based on the maximum likelihood theory, using EM-algorithm, distinguishing between the concepts of missing values (MCAR, MAR, MNAR). Two illustrative examples are given below.

Example 1. Consider independent and identically distributed random vectors $(X_1, Y_1)^\top, \dots, (X_n, Y_n)^\top$. Suppose that Y_i given X_i has a normal distribution with the mean value βX_i and variance $2 X_i$. Further let X_i is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. Derive the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\beta}_n$ (the maximum likelihood estimator of β).

Suppose that the normality assumption of the previous paragraph does not hold but the conditional mean Y_i given X_i is still βX_i . Construct a sandwich estimator of the asymptotic variance of the estimator $\hat{\beta}_n$ in this situation.

Example 2. Suppose we observe independent and identically distributed random variables X_1, \dots, X_n from a ‘zero-inflated geometric distribution’, that is a mixture of a geometric distribution at the point zero so that

$$P(X_1 = k) = w \mathbb{I}\{k = 0\} + (1 - w) p(1 - p)^k, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $p \in (0, 1)$ and $w \in [0, 1]$ are unknown parameters to be estimated. Let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be the maximum likelihood estimator of $\theta = (\lambda, w)^\top$

- (1) Construct a test for testing the null hypothesis $H_0 : (p, w) = (p_0, \frac{1}{2})$ against the alternative $H_1 : (p, w) \neq (p_0, \frac{1}{2})$.
- (2) Construct a test for testing the null hypothesis $H_0 : w = \frac{1}{2}$ against the alternative $H_1 : w \neq \frac{1}{2}$.
- (3) Show how the EM-algorithm can be used to find the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$.

The (asymptotic) type I error of the tests should be α . Constructing a test means that you:

- (1) give a test statistics;*
- (2) specify a critical region or describe a way how the p-value is calculated (or estimated).*

2. SECOND PART (TWO THEORETICAL QUESTIONS)

In this part the emphasis will be mainly on describing and explaining the methods and formulating and proving theorems. Only the proofs that were shown during the lectures will be a part of the exam.

But also here the questions can be accompanied with simple examples to find out if the student knows how to apply the method in specific situations. I recommend to go through the examples made at the lectures, exercise classes and homework assignments.

3. SOME GENERAL COMMENTS

Before reading the topics for the exam, please have a look at the following general comments.

- In mathematics when explaining things rigorously one needs to write them down. That is why I recommend to write things down when you are preparing for the exam.
- Be careful about what you are saying and writing down. Everything you say/write should be mathematically correct.
- Some of the proofs or derivations are rather technical and some of the assumptions may be difficult to remember. It is much better to say that now I do not remember this step of the proof than writing down something which is visually similar but which is a mathematical nonsense.
- Please keep in mind that you can **fail the exam** even when you pretty well reproduce all the material from the lecture but you keep saying/writing things that are mathematical nonsense. On the other hand you can pass the exam although you do not remember all technical details but everything you say is mathematically correct.

MOMENT ESTIMATION

Asymptotic normality of moment estimators.

MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD METHOD

Asymptotic tests - with/without nuisance parameters.

Asymptotic efficiency of maximum likelihood estimators

Confidence ellipsoids and intervals

Profile likelihood

Conditional and marginal likelihood

Maximum likelihood estimators in non i.i.d. settings.

Random design vs. fixed design

Several independent samples

AR(1) process

M- AND *Z*-ESTIMATORS

The definitions and examples

Maximum likelihood (MLE), least squares (LS) and least absolute deviation (LAD) estimators viewed as *M*- and/or *Z*-estimators.

Parameters identified by the *M*- (*Z*)-estimators

Consistency and asymptotic normality of *Z*-estimators.

The estimation of the asymptotic variance.

M-estimators when the function $\rho(x; t)$ is convex in t . Derivation of the asymptotic distribution of these estimators in specific situations (e.g. a sample quantile, Huber *M*-estimator)

Likelihood under model misspecification. Introducing the idea.

Sandwich-estimator of the variance (general construction as well as the construction in specific examples - linear model, logistic regression, Poisson regression)

M- AND *Z*-ESTIMATORS IN ROBUST STATISTICS

Idea of the breakdown point

Robust estimation of location - Huber estimator when compared to the sample mean and median, studentization of Huber estimator

Robust estimation in linear models - Huber regression estimator when compared to the least squares method and LAD method, studentization of Huber regression estimator.

QUANTILE REGRESSION

The key lemma on identification of quantiles

Regression quantiles - what is being estimated, interpretation of the parameters, transformation of the response

Some notes about the inference in regression quantile models

Asymptotic normality of sample quantiles

EM-ALGORITHM

Description of the algorithm

Theoretical results

Rate of convergence of EM-algorithm

MISSING DATA

Concepts of missing data - MCAR, MAR, MNAR

Methods for dealing with missing data - complete case analysis, available case analysis, observed likelihood methods, imputation (simple, multiple), reweighting.

Example question: Suppose you observe independent random pairs $(Y_1, X_1)^T, \dots, (Y_n, X_n)^T$ such that Y_i given X_i has a Bernoulli distribution with a probability of success given by

$$P(Y_i = 1|X_i) = \frac{\exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i\}}{1 + \exp\{\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i\}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where β_0 and β_1 are unknown parameters. Further suppose that X_i is uniformly distributed on $[-1, 1]$. Finally X_i is always observed and Y_i is missing if only if $X_i > 0$.

Is the missing mechanism MCAR, MAR or MNAR?

Describe briefly how the complete case analysis and direct likelihood method would work in this setting. Are both methods appropriate for estimation of the parameters β_0 and β_1 ?

And what about estimation of $P(Y_i = 1)$? Shall we make use of complete case analysis or prefer an appropriate imputation method?