

Δ_0 -definable functions

We may want to use in formulas for convenience
some function $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$; for example:

$\text{rem}(x, y) :=$ "the remainder of x
modulo y ".

But using it we do not want to leave
 Δ_0 -formulas; i.e. we want $\Delta_0 = \Delta_0(f)$.

The following two conditions will
suffice:

(i) there is a term of L_{PA} , $\tau(x)$,
s.t. $\mathbb{N} \models \forall \bar{x}, f(\bar{x}) \leq \tau(\bar{x})$,

(ii) there is a Δ_0 -formula $\theta_f(\bar{x}, y)$
that defines in \mathbb{N} the graph
of f :

$\mathbb{N} \models \forall \bar{x}, y, f(\bar{x}) = y \Leftrightarrow \theta_f(\bar{x}, y)$.

Lemma: Assume f satisfies both (i) + (ii).

Then any $\Delta_0(f)$ -formula (i.e. formula
fra in the language $L_{PA} \cup \{f\}$) is
equivalent in \mathbb{N} to a Δ_0 -formula.

Prf:

The idea is that any statement

$\alpha(f(t_1', \dots, t_n'))$ can be replaced

by $\exists y \in (t_1', \dots, t_n'), [\exists_f(t_1', \dots, t_n', y) \wedge \alpha(y)]$

In fact, this can be equivalently

written using \forall :

$\forall y \in (t_1', \dots, t_n'), [\exists_f(t_1', \dots, t_n', y) \rightarrow \alpha(y)]$.

Formally one removes occurrences
of f one by one, always taking one
 $f(t_1', \dots, t_n')$ s.t. no term t_i' contains f .

□

Ex 15

(i) $\text{new}(x, y) = z \iff (\exists u \leq x; x = u \cdot y + z), z < y$

(ii) coding pairs:

$$\langle x, y \rangle := \frac{(x+y)(x+y+1)}{2} + x$$

Solutions:

$$\langle x, y \rangle \leq (x+y+1)^2$$

$$\langle x, y \rangle = z \iff z + z = (x+y)(x+y+1) + 2x$$

(where $x := s(0)$).

(iii) Iterate \langle, \rangle to code triples and k -tuples, any fixed $k \geq 2$:

$$\langle x, y, z \rangle := \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$$

⋮