

SLP-IOR

Building and solving SLP models with SLP-IOR

Charles University in Prague
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
Department of Probability and Mathematical Statistics

Martin Branda



Content

- 1 Optimization software
- 2 SLP-IOR
- 3 The solver library of SLP-IOR
- 4 MSLiP

Current availability (development) of software tools for SP.

J.J. Bisshop et al.	Paragon Decision Technology	AIMMS
A Meeraus et al.	GAMS	GAMS
B Kristjansson	Maximal Software	MPL
R. Fourier et al.	Northwestern University	AMPL
M. A. H. Dempster et al.	Cambridge University	STOCHGEN
E. Fragniere et al.	University of Geneva	SETSTOCH
A King et al.	IBM COIN-OR	OSL/SE SMI
H. I. Gassmann et al.	Dalhousie University	MSLiP
G. Infanger et al.	Statford University	DECIS
P. Kall et al.	University of Zürich	SLP-IOR
G. Mitra et al.	Brusel University	SPInE
A. Gaivoronsky	Norwegian University of Science and Technology	SQG

SPInE

- = Stochastic Programming Integrated Environment (for multistage SLP).
 - E. Mesisina, G. Mitra (1997). *Modelling and analysis of multistage stochastic programming problems: A software environment*. European Journal of Operational Research 101, pp 343-359.
 - MPL (Mathematical Programming Language)
 - Solvers - FortMP (deterministic), MSLiP (stochastic problems).
 - MDDDB (MultiDimensional DataBases) - Express, Oracle Corp.
 - Procedures for generating scenarios, for building the deterministic equivalent model to the stochastic one.

SLP-IOR

= Stochastic Linear Programming System of the Institute for Operations Research of the University of Zürich.

The main features

- SUPPORT of the entire life cycle of a model:
 - model formulation,
 - analysis of the model instance,
 - model solving,
 - solution analysis.
- CONNECTION to an algebraic modeling system (GAMS).
 - availability of the powerful general-purpose solvers connected to GAMS for solving deterministic equivalents of SLP problems,
 - import and stochastic formulation of models written in the AML of GAMS.
- SLP-IOR (Borland Delphi 6), solvers (Compaq Visual Fortran 6.1).
- FREE OF CHARGE for academic purposes.

Types of SLP problems included in SLP-IOR

- two-stage recourse problems with the subclasses fixed recourse, complete recourse and simple recourse,
- two-stage simple integer recourse problems,
- two-stage multiple simple recourse problems (? integer),
- jointly chance-constrained problems (only RHS stochastic & nondegenerate multivariate normal distribution),
- separate chance constraints problems (only RHS stochastic & $h_i(\xi)$ independent \rightarrow LP),
- multistage recourse problems with scenarios, scenario generation.
- NEW:
 - Integrated probability constraint (joint and separate),
 - CVaR constraint.

ω is a random vector on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , random parts - affine sums:

$$q(\omega) = q^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n q^i \omega_i, \quad h(\omega) = h^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n h^i \omega_i,$$

$$T(\omega) = T^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n T^i \omega_i, \quad W(\omega) = W^0 + \sum_{i=1}^n W^i \omega_i.$$

Available probability distributions:

- **Univariate discrete distributions:** empirical, uniform, binomial, hypergeometric, geometric, negative binomial, Poisson.
- **Univariate continuous distributions:** uniform, normal, exponential, gamma, beta, Cauchy, Weibull, chi-squared, Fisher's F, Student's t, extreme value, logistic, lognormal, Pareto, power function, triangular distributions.
- **Multivariate discrete distributions:** empirical and uniform distribution.
- **Multivariate continuous distribution:** uniform and normal distribution.

Setting up the model instance

- Menu-driven fashion enables us to set up
 - ❶ the type of the model,
 - ❷ the dimensions,
 - ❸ the stochastic parts,
 - ❹ the probability distribution of the random vector ω ,
 - ❺ the affine stochastic relations.
- Matrix editor - direct edit or import (export):
 - Via the Windows Clipboard.
 - Internal data format.
- SMPS format (CORE, TIME, STOCH),
- AML (Algebraic Modeling Language), GAMS.
- Transformation between models (stochastic vs. deterministic).
- A facility for discretizing probability distributions (recourse models).

Diskrétní Gaussovo rozdělení

Informační Bulletin České statistické společnosti 1/1992, J. Anděl,
 "Diskrétní Gaussovo rozdělení".

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(k) \stackrel{?}{=} 1$$

Maple9.5
 $\stackrel{=}{=} 1.000000005.$

Diskrétní Gaussovo rozdělení

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(k) = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2k^2\pi^2} > 1.$$

(Jarník, *Integrální počet II.*)

Two-stage recourse problems: EVPI, VSS, RFS

Facilities for analyzing the model instance and the solution (ONLY for two-stage recourse problems).

- $EV \leq WS \leq HN \leq EEV$, $EVPI = HN - WS$, $VSS = EEV - HN$.
 - For continuous distributions SLP-IOR offers sampling for estimating EEV , WS .
- **The reliability of the first-stage solution (RFS)** for fixed $x =$ probability that no recourse will be needed

$$RFS = \mathbb{P}\{\omega : T(\omega)x \geq h(\omega)\}.$$

(inequalities in the second stage).

- Checking the complete recourse property and the simple recourse structure.
- Computing the recourse objective function for a given first stage decision x .

Test problem batteries

- Import in SMPS format.
- Randomly generated problem batteries:
 - *deterministic LP problems*,
 - *recourse problems* with guaranteed existence of an optimal solution,
 - *jointly chance-constrained problems* with a known solution.
- Operations that can be performed on each element of the battery
 - discretizing the probability distribution,
 - endowing the test problems with a normal distribution,
 - injecting a fixed distribution,
 - selection of a set of solvers (summary as LaTeX tableaux).

General purpose LP solvers

- **HiPlex** - simplex method (1994).
- **HOPDM** - primal-dual interior-point method (1996).
- **Minos** - simplex method (1995).
- **OB1** - several interior point methods (1989).
- **XMP** - simplex method (1986).

Special purpose LP solvers

- **BPMPD** - augmented interior-point method (1996).
- **MSLiP** - nested Benders decomposition method (1992).
 - Gassmann (1990), *MSLiP: A computer code for the multistage stochastic linear programming problem*, Mathematical Programming 47, 707-423.
- **QDECOM** - regularized decomposition algorithm (1985).
- **SHOR2** - decomposition scheme based on r-algorithm (1998).

Solvers for recourse problems

- **DAPPROX**
- **SDECOM** - stochastic decomposition method
 - Popela: SDECOMP - random sampling within the L-shaped algorithm.
- Simple recourse:
- **SHOR1**
- **SRAPPROX** - recommended, only RHS stochastic.
 - Popela: LSRAPPROX - discrete approximations, L-shaped algorithm, lower and upper bounds.

Solvers for jointly chance-constrained problems

Only RHS stochastic & nondegenerate multivariate normal distribution!

- **PCSPIOR**
- **PROBALL** - recommended
 - Uses Minos 5.4 for solving LP subproblems
- **PROCON**
- For computing the normal distribution function and its gradient:
 - PCSPNOR3 of Szantai,
 - NORSUBS of Deak.

Solver for simple integer recourse

- **SIRD2SCR** - convex hull method implemented by Mayer and van der Vlerk (1994).
 - K. Haneveld, L. Stougie, M.H. van der Vlerk (1996). *An algorithm for the construction of convex hulls in simple integer recourse programming*. Annals of Operations Research, 64, pp. 67-81.
 - Uses SRAPPROX for solving simple recourse subproblems.
 - Finite discrete distribution.

Solver for multiple simple recourse

- **MScr2Scr** - transformation method (to simple continuous recourse) of van der Vlerk, implemented by Mayer and van der Vlerk (2001).
 - M.H. van der Vlerk (2005). **On multiple simple recourse models**. Mathematical Methods of Operations Research, 62, pp. 225-242.
 - Uses SRAPPROX for solving simple recourse subproblems.

Nested Benders decomposition, MSLiP

- Gassmann (1990), *MSLiP: A computer code for the multistage stochastic linear programming problem*. Mathematical Programming 47, 707-423.
- J. Dupacova, J. Hurt, J. Stepan (2002), *Stochastic modeling in economics and finance*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (P. Popela)
 - L-shaped alg. for two stage models, some modifications for recourse problems.
 - MSLiP (MSLSHAP).
- P. Kall, J. Mayer (2005), *Stochastic linear programming*, International Series in Operations Research & Management Science , Vol. 80, Springer. (Pages 29-53)

MSLiP

=Multistage Stochastic Linear Programming - "nested Benders decomposition with added algorithmic features".

- Support of an arbitrary number of time periods and finite discrete distributions with Markovian structure.

Scenario TREE = a set of nodes $\mathcal{K} = \{1, \dots, K_T\}$ with stages $\mathcal{K}_t = \{K_{t-1} + 1, \dots, K_t\}$ and probabilities $p_1, \dots, p_T > 0$, $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{K}_t} p_n = 1$, a_n the ancestor of the node n , $\mathcal{D}(n)$ the set of descendants of the node n , $t(n)$ the time stage of the node n .

Nested formulation of the discrete MSLP

For starting node ($n = 1$)

$$F_1 = \min_{x_1, \vartheta_1} \{c_1^T x_1 + \vartheta_1 \text{ s.t. } Ax_1 = b, l_1 \leq x_1 \leq u_1, \vartheta_1 \geq Q_1(x_1)\},$$

$$Q_1(x_1) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}(1)} \frac{p_m}{\rho_n} F_m(x_1).$$

For nested stages $n = 2, \dots, K_{T-1}$

$$F_n(x_{a_n}) = \min_{x_n, \vartheta_n} \{c_n^T x_n + \vartheta_n \text{ s.t. } W_n x_n = h_n - T_n x_{a_n},$$

$$l_n \leq x_n \leq u_n, \vartheta_n \geq Q_n(x_n)\},$$

$$Q_n(x_n) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}(n)} \frac{p_m}{\rho_n} F_m(x_n).$$

For final stage $n = K_{T-1} + 1, \dots, K_T$

$$F_n(x_{a_n}) = \min_{x_n} \{c_n^T x_n \text{ s.t. } Wx_n = h_n - T_n x_{a_n}, l_m \leq x_n \leq u_n\}.$$

(M)(n) Master program = n -th nested two-stage problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(x_{a_n}) &= \min_{x_n, \vartheta_n} c_n^T x_n + \vartheta_n \\
 &\quad \text{s.t.} \\
 W_n x_n &= h_n - T_n x_{a_n}, \\
 \mathbb{I} x_n &\leq u_n, \\
 \mathbb{I} x_n &\geq l_n, \\
 \vartheta_n &\geq Q_n(x_n), \text{ convex constraint,} \\
 Q_n(x_n) &= \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}(n)} \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_n} F_m(x_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

$F_1 = F_1(x_{a_1})$, where we set $x_{a_1} = 0$, $W_1 = A$ and $h_1 = b$.

We set $\vartheta_n = 0$ for $n = K_{T-1} + 1, \dots, K_T$.

(RM)(n) Relaxed Master program, $n = 1, \dots, K_T$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F}_n(x_{a_n}) &= \min_{x_n, \vartheta_n} c_n^T x_n + \vartheta_n \\
 &\quad \text{s.t.} \\
 &\quad W_n x_n = h_n - T_n x_{a_n}, \\
 &\quad F_n x_n \geq f_n, \quad \text{feasibility cuts} \\
 &\quad D_n x_n + \mathbf{1} \vartheta_n \geq d_n, \quad \text{optimality cuts} \\
 &\quad \mathbb{I} x_n \leq u_n, \\
 &\quad \mathbb{I} x_n \geq l_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{F}_1 = \tilde{F}_1(x_{a_1})$, where we set $x_{a_1} = 0$, $W_1 = A$ and $h_1 = b$.

(RM)(n), $n = K_{T-1} + 1, \dots, K_T$, compensatory bounds ϑ_n and cuts are not involved.

(RD)(n) Dual problem to the relaxed master problem (RM)(n),
 $n = 2, \dots, K_T$:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\pi_n, \alpha_n, \beta_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n} \quad & \pi_n^T (h_n - T_n x_{a_n}) + \alpha_n^T f_n + \beta_n^T d_n + \lambda_n^T l_n - \mu_n^T u_n \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \pi_n^T W_n + \alpha_n^T F_n + \beta_n^T D_n + \lambda_n - \mu_n = c_n, \\ & \mathbf{1}^T \beta_n = 1, \\ & \alpha_n, \beta_n, \lambda_n, \mu_n \geq 0, \\ & \pi_n \quad \text{unrestricted.} \end{aligned}$$

We set $\alpha_n, \beta_n = 0$ for $n = K_{T-1} + 1, \dots, K_T$

Algorithm MSLiP

(0)

- Set $v_n^{(0)} = 0$ for all $n = 1, \dots, K_{T-1}$,
- Solve

$$x_1^{(0)} = \arg \min_{x_1} \{ c_1^T x_1 \text{ s.t. } Ax_1 = b, l_1 \leq x_1 \leq u_1, \}.$$

Algorithm MSLiP

(1)

- Solve the dual problem (RD)(m) to the (RM)(m), $\forall m \in \mathcal{D}(n)$.

We get

- dual optimal solution $(\pi_m^*, \alpha_m^*, \beta_m^*, \lambda_m^*, \mu_m^*), \forall m \in \mathcal{D}(n)$,
- or feasible direction $(\pi_{m(j)}^j, \alpha_{m(j)}^j, \beta_{m(j)}^j, \lambda_{m(j)}^j, \mu_{m(j)}^j)$ in which the dual problem to the subproblem $m(j) \in \mathcal{D}(n)$ is unbounded, i.e.

$$\pi_{m(j)}^j (b_{m(j)} - W_m x_n) + \alpha_{m(j)}^j f_m + \lambda_{m(j)}^j l_m - \mu_{m(j)}^j u_m > 0.$$

→ **feasibility cut** of the feasible set of (MR)(n):

$$\underbrace{\pi_{m(j)}^j W_m x_n}_{(F_n)_j} \geq \underbrace{\pi_{m(j)}^j b_{m(j)} + \alpha_{m(j)}^j f_m + \lambda_{m(j)}^j l_m - \mu_{m(j)}^j u_m}_{(f_n)_j}.$$

Algorithm MSLiP

(2)

- If $\vartheta_n < Q_n(x_n) \rightarrow$ **optimality cut** of the feasible set of (MR)(n)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}(n)} \overbrace{p_m \pi_m^i T_m x_n}^{(D_n)_i} + \vartheta_n \geq \\
 & \geq \underbrace{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}(n)} p_m [\pi_m^i h_m + \alpha_m^i f_m + \beta_m^i d_m + \lambda_m^i l_{t(m)} - \mu_m^i u_{t(m)}]}_{(d_n)_i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- Else if $\vartheta_n \geq Q_n(x_n)$ then we have optimal solution x_n of (MR)(n).

Fast-forward-fast-back (FFFB)

- FORWARD pass ($t = 1, \dots, T, n = K_t - 1, \dots, K_t$) terminates by:
 - infeasibility of the relaxed master program (RM)(n) \rightarrow add feasibility cut to (RM)(a_n) & BACKTRACKING,
 - obtaining optimal solutions \hat{x}_n for all $n = 1, \dots, K_T \rightarrow$ BACKWARD pass.
- BACKTRACKING ($n \rightarrow a_n$) terminates by:
 - feasibility of the relaxed master program (RM)(a_n) \rightarrow FORWARD pass,
 - reaching the root node with an infeasible (RM)(1) \rightarrow MSLP is infeasible.
- BACKWARD pass always goes through all nodes (adding optimality cuts if necessary).
 - No optimality cuts have been added \rightarrow optimal solution,
 - else \rightarrow FORWARD pass.

MSLiP

- The algorithm (FFFB) terminates in a **finite number of iterations**.
- If termination occurs after BACKWARD pass then the current solution is optimal.
- **Validity of**
 - feasibility cuts \sim feasible solutions of (M)(n) are not cut off.
 - optimality cuts \sim objective function of (RM)(n) yields a lower bound to the objective function (M)(n).
- Cuts generated by the algorithm are valid.
-

$$„\tilde{F}_1^{(BACKWARD)} \leq F_1 \leq \tilde{F}_1^{(FORWARD)}„$$

QDECOM

= Quadratic DECOMposition, regularizing quadratic term in the objective (two-stage).

(RMQ) Relaxed Master program

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F} = \min_{x, \vartheta^m} & c^T x_n + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{D}} p_m \vartheta^m + \frac{1}{2} \|x - x^{(i-1)}\|^2 \\
 \text{s.t.} & \\
 & Ax = b, \\
 & Fx \geq f, \\
 & D^m x + \mathbf{1} \vartheta^m \geq d^m, \forall m \in \mathcal{D}, \\
 & \mathbb{I}x \leq u, \\
 & \mathbb{I}x \geq l.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thank you for your attention.