

## Cayley transform

$S$  symmetric operator on  $H$  (not necessarily densely defined, not necessarily closed)

The Cayley transform of  $S$  is  $C_S := (S - cI)(S + cI)^{-1}$

### Theorem XII.27

(a)  $C_S$  is an isometry of  $D(C_S) = R(S + cI)$  onto  $R(C_S) = R(S - cI)$

•  $S + cI$  is one-to-one by Lemma XII.24, so  $(S + cI)^{-1}$  is defined.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} D((S + cI)^{-1}) &= R(S + cI) \\ R((S + cI)^{-1}) &= D(S + cI) = D(S) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow (S + cI)^{-1} \text{ maps } R(S + cI) \text{ onto } D(S)$$

•  $D(S - cI) = D(S)$

$\Rightarrow C_S = (S - cI)(S + cI)^{-1}$  is well defined,  $D(C_S) = R(S + cI)$ ,  $R(C_S) = R(S - cI)$

•  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \Rightarrow \| (S + \lambda I)x \|^2 = \langle (S + \lambda I)x, (S + \lambda I)x \rangle = \langle Sx, Sx \rangle + \langle Sx, \lambda x \rangle + \langle \lambda x, Sx \rangle + \langle \lambda x, \lambda x \rangle = \|Sx\|^2 + \underbrace{\lambda \langle Sx, x \rangle + \lambda \langle x, Sx \rangle}_{= \dots \text{ symmetric}} + |\lambda|^2 \|x\|^2$   
 $= \|Sx\|^2 + \underbrace{2\operatorname{Re} \lambda}_{\lambda + \bar{\lambda}} \cdot \langle Sx, x \rangle + |\lambda|^2 \|x\|^2$

Apply for  $\lambda = \pm c$ :  $\| (S \pm cI)x \|^2 = \|Sx\|^2 + \|cx\|^2$

$\Rightarrow \| (S + cI)x \| = \| (S - cI)x \|^2$

$\Rightarrow C_S$  is an isometry:

$\forall \| C_S x \| = \| (S - cI)(S + cI)^{-1}x \| = \| (S + cI)(S - cI)^{-1}x \| = \|x\|$

for  $x \in D(C_S) = R(S + cI)$

□

(b)  $I - C_S = 2i (S + iI)^{-1}$ . In particular,  $I - C_S$  is one-to-one and  $\mathcal{R}(I - C_S) = \mathcal{D}(S)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \quad I - C_S &= I - (S - iI)(S + iI)^{-1} = \underbrace{(S + iI)(S + iI)^{-1}}_{I \uparrow \mathcal{R}(S + iI)} - \underbrace{(S - iI)(S + iI)^{-1}}_{\text{definition } \mathcal{R}(S + iI)} \\ &\stackrel{\text{p. 9.60(i)}}{\downarrow} \underbrace{((S + iI) - (S - iI))}_{2iI} (S + iI)^{-1} = 2i (S + iI)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the formula. It follows that  $I - C_S$  is one-to-one (as  $(S + iI)^{-1}$  is one-to-one)

and  $\mathcal{R}(I - C_S) = \mathcal{D}(2i(S + iI)^{-1}) = \mathcal{D}((S + iI)^{-1}) = \mathcal{R}(S + iI)$ .  $\square$

(c)  $S = i(I + C_S)(I - C_S)^{-1}$

$\Gamma$  (b)  $\Rightarrow I - C_S = 2i(S + iI)^{-1}$ , so  $(I - C_S)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}i(S + iI)$

$I + C_S = 2S(S + iI)^{-1}$  (the same proof as in (b):  $(S + iI) + (S - iI) = 2S$ )

$\Rightarrow (I + C_S)(I - C_S)^{-1} = 2S(S + iI)^{-1} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}i(S + iI)\right) = iS(S + iI)^{-1}(S + iI)$

$\downarrow \mathcal{R}(S + iI)$   
 $= \mathcal{R}S$

$= iS \cdot I / \text{p.c.s.} = iS$ . So,  $S = i(I + C_S)(I - C_S)^{-1}$   $\square$

(d)  $C_S$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow S$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}(C_S)$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(C_S)$  is closed

$\Gamma$  Recall that  $\mathcal{D}(C_S) = \mathcal{R}(S + iI)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(C_S) = \mathcal{R}(S - iI)$ .

So, by Lemma 11.24:  $S$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}(C_S)$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{R}(C_S)$  is closed.

Further  $C_S$  is cts (very close to  $\mathcal{C}$ ), so  $C_S$  is closed  $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}(C_S)$  is closed

$\Gamma \Rightarrow$ $C_S$ closed, $x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(C_S)} \Rightarrow \exists (x_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(C_S)$ $x_n \rightarrow x$ $C_S$ cts $\Rightarrow (C_S x_n)$ conv $\Rightarrow C_S x_n \rightarrow y \in H$ $C_S$ closed $\Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{D}(C_S)$ , $y = C_S x$	$\Leftrightarrow$ Assume $\mathcal{D}(C_S)$ is closed $(x_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(C_S)$ , $x_n \rightarrow x \in H$ , $C_S x_n \rightarrow y \in H$ $\mathcal{D}(C_S)$ closed $\Rightarrow x \in \mathcal{D}(C_S) \Rightarrow y = C_S x$ $\square$
--	---